PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Intel Corporation
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (unaudited)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Three Months Ended</th>
<th>Nine Months Ended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net revenues</td>
<td>$ 6,504</td>
<td>$ 6,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs and expenses:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of sales</td>
<td>3,331</td>
<td>3,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development</td>
<td>1,006</td>
<td>930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing, general and administrative</td>
<td>1,095</td>
<td>1,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2002</td>
<td>Dec. 29, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amortization of goodwill</strong></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amortization and impairment of acquisition-related intangibles and costs</strong></td>
<td>102</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purchased in-process research and development</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating costs and expenses</strong></td>
<td>5,540</td>
<td>6,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating income</strong></td>
<td>964</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Losses on equity securities, net</strong></td>
<td>(96)</td>
<td>(182)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest and other, net</strong></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>(70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income before taxes</strong></td>
<td>917</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provision for taxes</strong></td>
<td>231</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net income</strong></td>
<td>$686</td>
<td>$106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic earnings per common share</strong></td>
<td>$0.10</td>
<td>$0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diluted earnings per common share</strong></td>
<td>$0.10</td>
<td>$0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash dividends declared per common share</strong></td>
<td>$0.04</td>
<td>$0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weighted average common shares outstanding</strong></td>
<td>6,646</td>
<td>6,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weighted average common shares outstanding, assuming dilution</strong></td>
<td>6,712</td>
<td>6,876</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Item 1. Financial Statements (continued)

Intel Corporation
Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSETS</strong></td>
<td>(unaudited)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$6,678</td>
<td>$7,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term investments</td>
<td>2,937</td>
<td>2,356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading assets</td>
<td>1,627</td>
<td>1,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable, net</td>
<td>3,089</td>
<td>2,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw materials</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work in process</td>
<td>1,520</td>
<td>1,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finished goods</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,481</td>
<td>2,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax assets</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current assets</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>18,045</td>
<td>17,633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>36,161</td>
<td>34,356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>18,191</td>
<td>16,235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment, net</td>
<td>17,970</td>
<td>18,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable strategic equity securities</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other long-term investments</td>
<td>1,182</td>
<td>1,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>4,334</td>
<td>4,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assets</td>
<td>2,049</td>
<td>2,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ASSETS</strong></td>
<td>$43,636</td>
<td>$44,395</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARKETABLE STRATEGIC EQUITY SECURITIES</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS</td>
<td>1,182</td>
<td>1,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOODWILL</td>
<td>4,334</td>
<td>4,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER ASSETS</td>
<td>2,049</td>
<td>2,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ASSETS</strong></td>
<td>$43,636</td>
<td>$44,395</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY**

Current liabilities:
- Short-term debt $317 $409
- Accounts payable 1,621 1,769
- Accrued compensation and benefits 1,033 1,179
- Accrued advertising 594 560
- Deferred income on shipments to distributors 512 418
- Other accrued liabilities 1,244 1,247
- Income taxes payable 960 988
Total current liabilities 6,281 6,570

Long-term debt 1,000 1,050
Deferred tax liabilities 1,048 945
Stockholders’ equity:
- Preferred stock
- Common stock and capital in excess of par value 8,249 8,833
- Acquisition-related unearned stock compensation (87) (178)
- Accumulated other comprehensive income 22 25
-Retained earnings 27,123 27,150
Total stockholders’ equity 35,307 35,830

**TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY** $43,636 $44,395

---

Item 1. Financial Statements (continued)

**Intel Corporation**

**Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nine Months Ended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(in millions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash flows provided by (used for) operating activities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$ 2,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>3,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of goodwill</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization and impairment of intangibles and other acquisition-related costs</td>
<td>526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased in-process research and development</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losses on equity securities, net</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment on investment in Convera</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss on retirements and impairments of property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred taxes</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax benefit from employee stock plans</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in assets and liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading assets</td>
<td>(335)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>(482)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>(228)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>(148)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued compensation and benefits</td>
<td>(147)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes payable</td>
<td>(46 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assets and liabilities</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total adjustments</td>
<td>3,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by operating activities</td>
<td>5,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash flows provided by (used for) investing activities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions to property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>(3,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions, net of cash acquired</td>
<td>(57 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of available-for-sale investments</td>
<td>(4,619)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturities and sales of available-for-sale investments</td>
<td>4,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other investing activities</td>
<td>(165)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash used for investing activities</td>
<td>(3,958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash flows provided by (used for) financing activities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in short-term debt, net</td>
<td>(180)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions to long-term debt</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment of long-term debt</td>
<td>(3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from sales of shares through employee stock plans and other</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repurchase and retirement of common stock</td>
<td>(3,008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment of dividends to stockholders</td>
<td>(401)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash used for financing activities</td>
<td>(2,942)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>($1,292)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effective the beginning of the first quarter of 2002, the company completed the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, "Business Combinations," and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." SFAS No. 141 requires all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 to be accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. As required by SFAS No. 142, the company discontinued amortizing the remaining balances of goodwill as of the beginning of fiscal 2002. All remaining and future acquired goodwill will be subject to an impairment test in the fourth quarter of each year, or earlier if indicators of potential impairment exist, using a fair-value-based approach. All identifiable intangible assets will continue to be amortized over their estimated useful lives and assessed for impairment under SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." In conjunction with the implementation of SFAS No. 142, the company completed a goodwill impairment review as of the beginning of 2002 and found no impairment.

Upon adoption of the new Business Combination rules, workforce-in-place no longer meets the definition of an identifiable intangible asset. As a result, the net balance of $39 million, along with associated deferred tax liabilities of $19 million, has been reclassified to goodwill as of the beginning of 2002. See "Goodwill."

A reconciliation of previously reported net income and earnings per share to the amounts adjusted for the exclusion of goodwill and workforce-in-place amortization, net of the related income tax effect, is as follows (in millions, except per share amounts):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Three Months Ended</th>
<th>Nine Months Ended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2002</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 686</td>
<td>$ 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill and workforce amortization (net of tax)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted net income</td>
<td>$ 686</td>
<td>$ 504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,068</td>
<td>$ 787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill and workforce amortization (net of tax)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted basic earnings per share</td>
<td>$ 0.10</td>
<td>$ 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill and workforce amortization (net of tax)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted diluted earnings per share</td>
<td>$ 0.10</td>
<td>$ 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill and workforce amortization (net of tax)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted diluted earnings per share</td>
<td>$ 0.10</td>
<td>$ 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Item 1. Financial Statements (continued)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." SFAS No. 146 addresses the timing and amount of costs recognized as a result of restructuring and similar activities. The company will apply SFAS No. 146 to activities initiated after December 31, 2002. The adoption of SFAS No. 146 is not expected to have a material impact on the company's consolidated statements of income or financial position.

Earnings per Share

A reconciliation of the shares used in the computation of the company's basic and diluted earnings per common share is as follows (in millions):
Weighted average common shares outstanding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6,646</th>
<th>6,718</th>
<th>6,669</th>
<th>6,721</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Dilutive effect of employee stock options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>66</th>
<th>158</th>
<th>123</th>
<th>167</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Weighted average common shares outstanding, assuming dilution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6,712</th>
<th>6,876</th>
<th>6,792</th>
<th>6,888</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Weighted average common shares outstanding, assuming dilution, include the incremental shares that would be issued upon the assumed exercise of stock options. For the third quarter of 2002, stock options representing approximately 535 million shares of the company's stock were antidilutive and were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share as their exercise price was higher than the average price of the company's stock during the quarter (223 million shares excluded in the third quarter of 2001). For the nine months ended September 28, 2002, stock options representing approximately 312 million shares were excluded (207 million for the nine months ended September 29, 2001). These options could be dilutive in the future.

Stock Repurchase Program

During the first nine months of 2002, the company repurchased 124.7 million shares of common stock under the company's authorized repurchase program at a cost of $3.0 billion. As of September 28, 2002, a total of 169 million shares remained available for repurchase under the program.

Trading Assets

The company elects to classify as trading assets a portion of its marketable debt securities. For these debt securities, gains or losses from changes in fair value due to interest rate and currency market fluctuations are offset by losses or gains on related derivatives. A portion of the company's marketable equity securities have been classified as trading assets as the company no longer deems the investment to be strategic in nature and has the ability and intent to mitigate equity market risk through the use of derivative instruments. Also included in trading assets is a marketable equity portfolio held to generate returns that offset changes in liabilities related to employee deferred compensation arrangements.

Item 1. Financial Statements (continued)

Intel Corporation, Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements -- unaudited (continued)

Trading Assets (continued)

Trading assets at fair value at the end of each period were as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sept. 28, 2002</th>
<th>Dec. 29, 2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debt instruments</td>
<td>$ 1,313</td>
<td>$ 836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity securities</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity securities offsetting deferred compensation obligations</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 1,627</td>
<td>$ 1,224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Losses on Equity Securities, Net

For the third quarter of 2002, net losses on investments in equity securities included impairments of equity securities of approximately $83 million ($185 million for the third quarter of 2001). For the first nine months of 2002, net losses on investments in equity securities included impairments on equity securities of approximately $347 million ($833 million for the first nine months of 2001).

Interest and Other, Net

Interest and other, net included (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Three Months Ended</th>
<th>Nine Months Ended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>$ 69</td>
<td>$ 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Impairment on investment in Convera
--- (166) --- (166)
Other, net (2) (14) (22) 7
Total $ 49 $ (70) $ 140 $ 320

Acquisition of Development-Stage Operations

During the first nine months of 2002, the company acquired three development-stage operations in exchange for total consideration of approximately $57 million. Approximately $35 million of the consideration was allocated to acquisition-related developed technology, $20 million was allocated to purchased in-process research and development and the remaining amount represented the value of net tangible assets. There was no allocation of purchase consideration to goodwill. The operating results of each of these acquisitions since the date of acquisition has been included in the operating results of the acquiring business unit within either the Intel Communications Group (ICG) operating segment or the "all other" category for segment reporting purposes.

Item 1. Financial Statements (continued)

Intel Corporation, Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements -- unaudited (continued)

Goodwill

During the first nine months of 2002, no goodwill was acquired, impaired or written off. Goodwill by operating segment was adjusted as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intel Communications Group</th>
<th>Wireless Communications and Computing Group</th>
<th>Intel Architecture Business</th>
<th>All Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 29, 2001</td>
<td>$ 3,653</td>
<td>$ 603</td>
<td>$ 68</td>
<td>$ 6</td>
<td>$ 4,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce-in-place reclassified, net of deferred tax</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other adjustments</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 28, 2002</td>
<td>$ 3,649</td>
<td>$ 611</td>
<td>$ 68</td>
<td>$ 6</td>
<td>$ 4,334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Identified Intangible Assets

During the first nine months of 2002, the company acquired developed technology valued at $35 million, with an amortization period of 2 years. Intellectual property assets acquired in the first nine months of 2002 amounted to $147 million, with a weighted average amortization period of 5 years. Of this amount, $145 million represents the value of the license acquired as a result of the settlement agreement with Intergraph Corporation related to the lawsuit in Alabama (see "Contingencies"). The value of the Intergraph license and the amount of the charge to cost of sales in the first quarter of 2002 related to the Alabama lawsuit were determined based on the historical and expected future revenue from sales of the relevant microprocessors.

Identified intangible assets as of September 28, 2002 consisted of the following (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gross Assets</th>
<th>Accumulated Amortization</th>
<th>Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition-related developed technology</td>
<td>$ 1,125</td>
<td>$ (658)</td>
<td>$ 467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other acquisition-related intangibles</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>(48)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual property assets</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>(300)</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total identified intangible assets</td>
<td>$ 1,779</td>
<td>(1,006)</td>
<td>$ 773</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Identified intangible assets as of December 29, 2001 consisted of the following (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gross Assets</th>
<th>Accumulated Amortization</th>
<th>Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Acquisition-related developed technology $1,127 $(421) $706
Other acquisition-related intangibles 293 (202) 91
Intellectual property assets 498 (281) 217
Total identified intangible assets $1,918 $(904) $1,014

Item 1. Financial Statements (continued)

Intel Corporation, Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements -- unaudited (continued)

Identified Intangible Assets (continued)

Other acquisition-related intangibles include items such as trademarks and customer lists. Intellectual property assets primarily represent acquired technology licenses. Identified intangible assets are classified within other assets on the balance sheet. In the quarter following the period in which identified intangible assets become fully amortized, the fully amortized balances are removed from the gross asset and accumulated amortization amounts.

All of the company's identified intangible assets are subject to amortization. Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs included the following (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Three Months Ended</th>
<th>Nine Months Ended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2002</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2002</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles</td>
<td>$58</td>
<td>$89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment of acquisition-related intangibles</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of acquisition-related unearned stock compensation</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other acquisition-related costs</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$102</td>
<td>$162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acquisition-related intangible impairments in the first nine months of 2002 represented write-offs of developed technology and other acquisition-related intangibles, primarily related to the previous acquisition of Xircom, Inc. The amount of the impairment was determined using a fair-value approach based on discounted future cash flows. Other acquisition-related costs include the amortization of deferred cash payments that represent contingent compensation to employees related to previous acquisitions. The compensation is being recognized over the period earned. All amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs, including impairments, is included in "all other" for segment reporting purposes.

Amortization of intellectual property assets was $30 million for the third quarter of 2002 ($84 million for the first nine months of 2002) and $23 million for the third quarter of 2001 ($60 million for the first nine months of 2001). The amortization of an intellectual property asset is generally included in either cost of sales or research and development.

Based on identified intangible assets recorded at September 28, 2002 and impairment charges recorded in the first nine months of 2002, and assuming no subsequent impairment of the underlying assets, the annual amortization expense, excluding acquisition-related stock compensation and other acquisition-related costs, is expected to be as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition-related intangibles</td>
<td>$357</td>
<td>$206</td>
<td>$129</td>
<td>$83</td>
<td>$18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual property assets</td>
<td>$115</td>
<td>$89</td>
<td>$64</td>
<td>$51</td>
<td>$38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acquisition-Related Unearned Stock Compensation

Acquisition-related unearned stock compensation includes the portion of the purchase consideration related to shares issued contingent upon the continued employment of selected employee stockholders, and/or the completion of specified milestones. The unearned stock-based compensation also includes the intrinsic value of stock options assumed in acquisitions that is earned as the employees provide future services. The compensation is being recognized over the period earned, and the expense is included in the amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs. A total of $20 million of expense was recognized in the third quarter of 2002 ($74 million in the first nine months of 2002) related to acquisitions made in prior periods.
Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

In June 2002, the company announced its intention to wind down its Intel Online Services Web hosting business over four quarters beginning in the second quarter of 2002, due to market trends and financial forecasts for the hosting services industry. As a result, the company recognized a related $106 million pre-tax charge in cost of sales in the Consolidated Condensed Statement of Income in the second quarter of 2002. Approximately $90 million of that charge related to the impairment of the Web hosting businesses assets, including leasehold improvements and server equipment. The amount of the impairment was determined based on discounted future cash flows and comparable market prices. The remaining $16 million represented the accrual of lease and other exit-related costs. The total charge was reflected in the "all other" category for segment reporting purposes.

Long Term Debt

During the second quarter of 2002, $54 million of the company's zero-coupon senior exchangeable notes (Intel notes) were exchanged by the holders. In accordance with the terms of the Intel notes, the company delivered a portion of its investment in Samsung Electronic Co., Ltd. convertible notes with a face value of approximately $27 million to the holders who delivered Intel notes for exchange. The remaining Intel note holders may exercise their exchange option on the Intel notes any time prior to January 12, 2004. The Intel notes are redeemable by Intel, provided specified market price criteria are met through February 1, 2004.

During the third quarter of 2002, the company's Series A bonds issued by the Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and Environmental Control Facilities Financial Authority with a carrying value of $82 million were reclassified as short-term debt. The bonds are adjustable and redeemable at the option of either the company or the bondholder every five years through 2013. They are next adjustable and redeemable in September 2003 and accordingly have been reclassified as a current liability. In addition, the Series B bonds with a carrying value of $35 million are adjustable and redeemable in December 2003.

Comprehensive Income

The components of other comprehensive income, net of tax, were as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Three Months Ended</th>
<th></th>
<th>Nine Months Ended</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$ 686</td>
<td>$ 106</td>
<td>$ 2,068</td>
<td>$ 787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in net unrealized gain on available-for-sale investments</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(37)</td>
<td>(28)</td>
<td>(265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in net unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income</td>
<td>$ 690</td>
<td>$ 72</td>
<td>$ 2,065</td>
<td>$ 525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, were as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sept. 28, 2002</th>
<th>Dec. 29, 2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated net unrealized gain on available-for-sale investments</td>
<td>$ 4</td>
<td>$ 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated net unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total accumulated other comprehensive income</td>
<td>$ 22</td>
<td>$ 25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contingencies

In November 1997, Intergraph Corporation filed suit in Federal District Court in Alabama, generally alleging that Intel attempted to coerce Intergraph into relinquishing certain patent rights and that Intel infringed five Intergraph microprocessor-related patents. This suit included alleged violations of antitrust laws and various state law claims.

In August 2001, Intergraph filed a second suit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, alleging that the Intel(R)(Registered Trademark) Itanium(R)(Registered Trademark) processor infringes two Intergraph microprocessor-related patents, and seeking an injunction and unspecified damages.

In April 2002, Intel and Intergraph announced that they entered into a settlement agreement, pursuant to which they agreed to settle the Alabama lawsuit and dismiss it with prejudice. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, Intel will pay Intergraph $150 million on or before November 29, 2002. Intel plans to appeal the trial court's decision, and if Intel prevails on appeal, no further payments will be due to Intergraph under the settlement agreement. However, if Intergraph prevails on either patent, the settlement agreement provides that Intel must pay Intergraph an additional $100 million and will receive a license for the patents at issue in the case. The $150 million payment to Intergraph and the additional $100 million payment, if required, will be capitalized as an intangible asset and amortized over the useful life of the technology underlying the patents at issue in the case.

In May 2000, various plaintiffs filed a class action lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 14d-10 in connection with Intel's acquisition of DSP Communications, Inc. The complaint alleged that Intel and CWC (Intel's wholly owned subsidiary at the time) agreed to pay certain DSP insiders additional consideration of $15.6 million not offered or paid to other stockholders. The alleged purpose of this payment to the insiders was to obtain DSP insiders' endorsement of Intel's tender offer in violation of the anti-discrimination provision of Section 14(d)(7) and Rule 14d-10. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages for the class, and unspecified costs and expenses. In July 2002, the District Court granted Intel's motion for summary judgment, but in October 2002, the District Court vacated the summary judgment and limited discovery is now proceeding. The company disputes the plaintiff's claims and intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously.

In September 2001, VIA Technologies, Inc. and Centaur Technology, Inc. sued Intel in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, alleging that the Intel(R)(Registered Trademark) Pentium(R)(Registered Trademark) 4 processor infringes a VIA microprocessor-related patent. In October 2001, Intel filed counterclaims against VIA, asserting that VIA's C3* microprocessors infringe Intel patents. In January 2002, VIA amended its complaint to allege that Intel's Pentium(R)(Registered Trademark) II, Pentium(R)(Registered Trademark) III, Celeron(R)(Registered Trademark) and Pentium 4 processors infringe another patent. In August 2002, Intel added an additional claim that VIA's C3 microprocessors infringe an additional Intel patent, and VIA added an additional claim that Intel's Pentium III and Pentium 4 processors infringe another VIA patent. Trial is set for the second quarter of 2003. Both parties seek injunctive relief and damages in an unspecified amount. The company disputes the plaintiff's claims and intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously.

In September 2001, Intergraph Corporation filed suit in Federal District Court in Alabama, generally alleging that Intel attempted to coerce Intergraph into relinquishing certain patent rights and that Intel infringed five Intergraph microprocessor-related patents. This suit included alleged violations of antitrust laws and various state law claims.

In August 2001, Intergraph filed a second suit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, alleging that the Intel(R)(Registered Trademark) Itanium(R)(Registered Trademark) processor infringes two Intergraph microprocessor-related patents, and seeking an injunction and unspecified damages.

In April 2002, Intel and Intergraph announced that they entered into a settlement agreement, pursuant to which they agreed to settle the Alabama lawsuit and dismiss it with prejudice. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, Intel will pay Intergraph $150 million on or before November 29, 2002. Intel plans to appeal the trial court's decision, and if Intel prevails on appeal, no further payments will be due to Intergraph under the settlement agreement. However, if Intergraph prevails on either patent, the settlement agreement provides that Intel must pay Intergraph an additional $100 million and will receive a license for the patents at issue in the case. The $150 million payment to Intergraph and the additional $100 million payment, if required, will be capitalized as an intangible asset and amortized over the useful life of the technology underlying the patents at issue in the case.
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Contingencies (continued)

In September, October and November 2001, various plaintiffs filed five class-action lawsuits against Intel alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The five complaints were consolidated in an amended complaint filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. The amended complaint alleges that purchasers of Intel stock between July 19, 2000 and September 29, 2000 were misled by false and misleading statements by Intel and certain of its officers and directors concerning the company's business and financial condition. On October 22, 2002, the U.S. District Court granted Intel's motion to dismiss the amended complaint and gave the plaintiffs thirty days to file a second amended complaint. In addition, various plaintiffs filed stockholder derivative complaints in California Superior Court and Delaware Chancery Court against the company's directors and certain officers, alleging that they mismanaged the company and otherwise breached their fiduciary obligations to the company. In May 2002, the California Superior Court sustained Intel's demurrer to the California complaint and granted plaintiffs leave to file an amended complaint. The Company's demurrer to the amended complaint is pending before the Court. All complaints seek unspecified damages. The company disputes all plaintiffs' claims in all actions and intends to defend the lawsuits vigorously.

In June 2002, various plaintiffs filed a lawsuit in the Third Judicial Circuit Court, Madison County, Illinois against Intel, Hewlett-Packard Co., HPDirect, Inc. and Gateway Inc., alleging that defendants' advertisements and statements misled the public by suppressing and concealing the
alleged material fact that systems that use the Intel Pentium 4 processor are less powerful and slower than systems using the Intel Pentium III processor and a competitor's processors. The plaintiffs claim that their lawsuit should be treated as a nationwide class action. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages and attorney's fees and costs. The company disputes the plaintiffs' claims and intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously.

The company is currently a party to various legal proceedings, including those noted above. While management, including internal counsel, currently believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the company's financial position or overall trends in results of operations, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations of the period in which the ruling occurs.

Operating Segment Information

Intel reports three product line operating segments: the Intel Architecture business, ICG, and the Wireless Communications and Computing Group (WCCG). Beginning in 2002, the company's executive office consists of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Craig R. Barrett, and the Chief Operating Officer (COO), Paul S. Otellini. The CEO and COO have joint responsibility as the Chief Operating Decision Maker as defined by SFAS No. 131.

The Intel Architecture operating segment's products include microprocessors, chipsets and motherboards. ICG's products include Ethernet connectivity products, network processing components, embedded control chips and optical products. WCCG's products include flash memory, application processors and cellular baseband chipsets for cellular handsets and handheld devices.

The "all other" category includes acquisition-related costs, including amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and in-process research and development. Acquisition-related costs also include charges for impairment of goodwill and acquisition-related intangibles, including a $112 million impairment of identified intangibles recorded in the second quarter of 2002, primarily related to the previous acquisition of Xircom. "All other" includes the results of operations of seed businesses that support the company's initiatives and the results of the Web hosting business, including the charge of $106 million recorded in the second quarter of 2002 related to winding down this business. "All other" also includes certain corporate-level operating expenses, including a portion of profit-dependent bonus and other expenses that are not allocated to the operating segments. For 2001, "all other" also included goodwill amortization; however, goodwill is no longer amortized beginning in 2002 (see "Accounting Change").

Item 1. Financial Statements (continued)

Intel Corporation, Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements -- unaudited (continued)

Operating Segment Information (continued)

Segment information is summarized as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Three Months Ended</th>
<th>Nine Months Ended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2002</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2002</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intel Architecture Business:

Revenues          $ 5,407 $ 5,393 $ 16,388 $ 15,653
Operating profit  $ 1,405 $ 1,329 $ 4,569 $ 4,439

Intel Communications Group:

Revenues          $ 482 $ 580 $ 1,536 $ 1,990
Operating loss    $ (177) $ (218) $ (454) $ (606)

Wireless Communications and Computing Group:

Revenues          $ 586 $ 509 $ 1,577 $ 1,714
Operating loss    $ (30) $ (59) $ (196) $ (236)

All Other:

Revenues          $ 29 $ 63 $ 103 $ 199
Operating loss    $ (234) $ (663) $ (1,001) $ (2,349)

Total:

Revenues          $ 6,504 $ 6,545 $ 19,604 $ 19,556
Operating profit  $ 964 $ 389 $ 2,918 $ 1,248
Results of Operations -- Third Quarter of 2002 Compared to Third Quarter of 2001

Our Q3 2002 net revenues of $6.5 billion were flat compared to Q3 2001 as overall we did not see momentum from an economic recovery. Net revenues were higher for the Intel Architecture business on higher sales of microprocessors, as well as for the Wireless Communications and Computing Group (WCCG) on higher sales of flash memory products. These increases were offset by significantly lower revenue for the Intel Communications Group (ICG) and lower revenues for other products in the Intel Architecture business, including chipsets. On a geographic basis, significant revenue growth in the Asia-Pacific region offset declines in other regions.

Cost of sales for Q3 2002 decreased 6% compared to Q3 2001. In the Intel Architecture business, lower start-up manufacturing costs related to microprocessors and lower costs related to chipset products were partially offset by higher costs incurred as a result of higher unit volumes of microprocessors, including microprocessors sold for use in the Microsoft* Xbox*. Costs were also lower in ICG on lower volumes, primarily for telecommunications-related products.

Our gross margin percentage increased to 49% for Q3 2002 from 46% in Q3 2001, primarily due to lower factory start-up costs in the Intel Architecture business and a shift in product mix within the Intel Architecture business, as microprocessors became a higher percentage of overall revenue for the Intel Architecture business. Gross margin percentages in our other businesses also improved. See "Outlook" for a discussion of gross margin expectations.

Intel Architecture Business. Net revenues for the Intel Architecture operating segment remained flat at $5.4 billion in Q3 2002 compared to Q3 2001, primarily due to higher unit volumes of microprocessors, including microprocessors for the Microsoft Xbox, offset by slightly lower average selling prices for microprocessors and a net revenue decrease for other products, including chipsets.

For Q3 2002, a majority of our consolidated net revenues and a substantial majority of our gross margin came from sales of the Intel* Pentium(Registered Trademark) 4 microprocessor and related microprocessors based on the Intel* NetBurst(Trademark) microarchitecture, as well as related chipsets and motherboards. For the same period, sales of Intel* Pentium(Registered Trademark) III microprocessors and related microprocessors based on the P6 microarchitecture, as well as related products, made up a significant, but decreasing, portion of our consolidated net revenues and gross margin.

For Q3 2001, a majority of our consolidated net revenues and a substantial majority of our gross margin came from sales of microprocessors and related products based on the P6 microarchitecture. For the same period, sales of microprocessors based on the Intel NetBurst microarchitecture and related products made up a significant and growing portion of our consolidated net revenues and gross margin.

Net operating profit increased by $76 million, or 6%, for Q3 2002 compared to Q3 2001, primarily due to the impact of higher unit volumes of microprocessors, including microprocessors for the Microsoft Xbox, and lower start-up costs relating to the 0.13-micron technology manufacturing ramp. These improvements were partially offset by lower average selling prices for microprocessors and higher operating expenses, including marketing expenses.

Intel Communications Group. Net revenues decreased by $98 million, or 17%, in Q3 2002 compared to Q3 2001, primarily due to lower overall unit volumes for telecommunications-related products, consistent with the decline in industry-wide demand for these products. Net revenues for Ethernet connections also decreased, even as units increased, due to a shift in product mix from higher-priced adapter cards to lower-priced local area network (LAN) on motherboard products.

Despite the decline in ICG net revenues, the net operating loss improved to $177 million in Q3 2002 from a $218 million loss in Q3 2001, primarily due to a shift to higher margin products, including Ethernet components and network processing components. Network processing components include embedded microprocessors, network processors and input/output processors. In addition, net operating results improved due to lower charges for under-utilized factory capacity and lower operating expenses in Q3 2002, as we streamlined operations and refocused on our core strategic areas. These improvements to net operating results were partially offset by the impact of lower revenues.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

Results of Operations-- Third Quarter of 2002 Compared to Third Quarter of 2001 (continued)

Wireless Communications and Computing Group. Net revenues increased by $77 million, or 15%, in Q3 2002 compared to Q3 2001 due to a significant increase in unit volumes of flash memory products, as we sold a richer mix of higher-density products consistent with the market movement to more feature-rich cellular phones. This increase was partially offset by lower flash memory average selling prices stemming from competitive pricing pressures. We also saw an increase in revenue on higher unit volumes of application processors and baseband chipsets for data-enabled cellular phones and handheld computing devices.

Net operating results decreased to a loss of $30 million in Q3 2002 compared to a loss of $59 million in Q3 2001. Higher unit volumes of flash memory products were offset by lower average selling prices. Higher revenues and lower costs for application processors and baseband
Operating Expenses, Other and Taxes. Research and development spending, excluding purchased in-process research and development (IPR&D), increased $76 million, or 8%, in Q3 2002 compared to Q3 2001. This increase was primarily due to higher spending on the development of manufacturing process technologies, including the 90-nanometer process on 300-millimeter wafers. Marketing, general and administrative expenses increased $31 million, or 3%, in Q3 2002 compared to Q3 2001, primarily due to higher than usual cooperative advertising expenses, as our customers used a higher percentage of their available Intel Inside (Registered Trademark) Program funds. These Intel Inside Program expenses, as well as slightly higher profit dependent expenses, were partially offset by lower spending on new business initiatives as we lowered headcount or exited certain businesses. Operating expenses were 32% of net revenues in Q3 2002 and 30% in Q3 2001, excluding amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs and also excluding amortization of goodwill in 2001.

IPR&D was $6 million in Q3 2002, whereas no IPR&D was recorded in Q3 2001. The IPR&D recorded in Q3 2002 related to the acquisition of two development-stage operations. Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs was $102 million in Q3 2002. Amortization of goodwill and acquisition-related intangibles and costs was $609 million in Q3 2001. Goodwill is no longer being amortized in 2002. For Q3 2001, excluding the amortization of goodwill and taking into account the reclassification of workforce-in-place to goodwill, the amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs would have been approximately $150 million on a pre-tax basis.

Losses on equity securities and certain equity derivatives for Q3 2002 were $96 million compared to $182 million for Q3 2001. The net loss for Q3 2002 was driven by impairment charges, primarily on non-marketable equity securities, of approximately $83 million. The Q3 2001 losses included impairment charges on both marketable and non-marketable equity securities of approximately $185 million. Interest and other, net increased to income of $49 million in Q3 2002 compared to a loss of $70 million in Q3 2001. The increase was primarily due to an impairment charge of $166 million on an equity-method investment taken in Q3 2001, partially offset by lower interest income in Q3 2002 as a result of lower average interest rates.

Our effective income tax rate was 25.2% for Q3 2002, including an adjustment to reflect a new estimated annual tax rate of 27.4% for 2002 (excluding the impact of non-deductible acquisition-related costs). The new expected annual rate is lower than the previous expected rate of 28.4%, reflecting a lower level of expected income for 2002, with a greater portion of our profits generated in low tax rate jurisdictions. The effective rate for Q3 2001 was 22.6%, including the amortization of goodwill, the majority of which was non-deductible, offset by a $100 million one-time tax benefit related to export sales. Excluding these items, the adjusted effective rate for Q3 2001 was approximately 25.7%.

Results of Operations -- First nine months of 2002 Compared to First nine months of 2001

Our net revenues of $19.6 billion for the first nine months of 2002 were flat compared to the first nine months of 2001 primarily due to increased net revenues in the Intel Architecture business offset by significantly lower net revenues for ICG and lower revenues for WCCG. Significant revenue growth in the Asia-Pacific region offset revenue declines in other regions.

Cost of sales for the first nine months of 2002 was approximately flat compared to the first nine months of 2001. In the Intel Architecture business, lower start-up manufacturing costs were more than offset by higher costs related to significantly higher volumes and higher unit costs of microprocessors, including microprocessors for the Microsoft Xbox. Cost of sales was lower due to the impact of lower unit volumes in ICG, as well as lower inventory writedowns for both ICG and WCCG. In addition, cost of sales for 2002 also included a $155 million charge taken by the Intel Architecture business in Q1 relating to the Integraph Corporation litigation settlement agreement and the patents at issue in the Alabama lawsuit (see "Contingencies" in the Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements) and the $106 million charge related to the Q2 2002 decision to wind down the Web hosting business reflected in the "all other" category for segment reporting purposes.

Our gross margin percentage for the first nine months of 2002 increased slightly to 49% from 48% in the first nine months of 2001. The improved margin percentage came primarily from relative growth in the higher margin Intel Architecture business and slightly improved gross margin percentages in our other businesses. These improvements in margin were partially offset by the impacts of the Integraph and Web hosting charges taken in the first nine months of 2002. See "Outlook" for a discussion of gross margin expectations.

Intel Architecture Business. Net revenues for the Intel Architecture operating segment increased by $735 million, or 5%, in the first nine months of 2002 compared to the first nine months of 2001. The increase in net revenues was due to significantly higher unit volumes of microprocessors, including microprocessors for the Microsoft Xbox, as well as higher unit volumes for chipsets and motherboards. These increases in revenues were partially offset by lower average selling prices for microprocessors.

For the first nine months of 2002, a majority of our consolidated net revenues and gross margin came from sales of microprocessors based on the Intel NetBurst microarchitecture and related products. For the same period, sales of microprocessors based on the P6 microarchitecture and related products made up a significant but decreasing portion of our consolidated net revenues and gross margin.

For the first nine months of 2001, a majority of our consolidated net revenues and a substantial majority of our gross margin came from sales of microprocessors and related products based on the P6 microarchitecture. For the same period, sales of microprocessors based on the Intel NetBurst microarchitecture and related products made up a significant portion of our consolidated net revenues, but did not represent a
Net operating profit increased by $130 million, or 3%, for the first nine months of 2002 compared to the first nine months of 2001. In the Intel Architecture business, the impact of significantly higher unit sales of microprocessors and lower start-up costs relating to the 0.13-micron technology manufacturing ramp was partially offset by lower average selling prices and higher unit costs for microprocessors. Results also improved due to higher unit volumes of chips and motherboards. Results for the first nine months of 2002 also included the $155 million Intergraph charge.

**Intel Communications Group.** Net revenues decreased by $454 million, or 23%, in the first nine months of 2002 compared to the first nine months of 2001, primarily due to lower overall unit volumes for telecommunications-related products. In addition, net revenues for Ethernet connections decreased, even as units increased, due to the shift in product mix from adapter cards to LAN on motherboard products. Net revenues also decreased for optical products and microcontrollers.

**Operating Expenses, Other and Taxes.** Research and development spending, excluding IPR&D, increased $168 million, or 6%, in the first nine months of 2002 compared to the first nine months of 2001. This increase was primarily due to higher spending on development of manufacturing process technologies, including the 90-nanometer process on 300-millimeter wafers. Marketing, general and administrative expenses decreased $163 million, or 5%, in the first nine months of 2002 compared to the first nine months of 2001. This was primarily due to the impact of lower spending within ICG and for certain new business initiatives as we lowered headcount or exited certain businesses, as well as lower overall discretionary spending related to cost containment programs. Partially offsetting these spending decreases, expenses for general and cooperative advertising increased. Operating expenses were 32% of net revenues both in the first nine months of 2002 and the first nine months of 2001, excluding amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs and also excluding amortization of goodwill in 2001. IPR&D was $20 million in the first nine months of 2002 compared to $198 million in the first nine months of 2001. The decrease in IPR&D was due to the decrease in the level of acquisition activity during 2002.

Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs was $442 million in the first nine months of 2002, including impairment of acquisition-related intangibles of $112 million. Amortization of goodwill and acquisition-related intangibles and costs was $1.8 billion in the first nine months of 2001, including a write-off of goodwill and acquisition-related intangibles of $124 million related to prior acquisitions. Goodwill is no longer being amortized in 2002. For the first nine months of 2001, excluding the amortization of goodwill and taking into account the reclassification of workforce-in-place to goodwill, the amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs would have been approximately $451 million on a pre-tax basis, including the $124 million write-off of goodwill and acquisition-related intangibles.

Losses on equity securities and certain equity derivatives for the first nine months of 2002 were $201 million compared to $179 million for the first nine months of 2001. The net loss for the first nine months of 2002 consisted of impairment charges, primarily of non-marketable equity securities, of approximately $347 million, partially offset by net gains of approximately $120 million related to equity trading assets. The majority of these gains reflected the impact of designating formerly restricted equity investments as trading assets as they became marketable. The cumulative difference between their cost and fair market value was recorded as a gain in the first nine months of 2002. In the first nine months of 2001, impairment charges of $833 million were partially offset by recognized gains on equities of $565 million. The impairment charges in 2001 were primarily related to marketable equity securities.
Results of Operations -- First nine months of 2002 Compared to First nine months of 2001 (continued)

Interest and other, net decreased to $140 million in the first nine months of 2002 compared to $320 million in the first nine months of 2001. Significantly lower interest income in 2002 primarily as a result of lower average interest rates was partially offset by an impairment charge in the first nine months of 2001 on the company’s equity-method investment in Convera Corporation.

Our effective income tax rate was 27.6% for the first nine months of 2002, compared to 43.3% for the first nine months of 2001. The higher effective rate for the first nine months of 2001 reflected the amortization of goodwill, the majority of which was non-deductible, as well as higher non-deductible acquisition-related IPR&D charges, partially offset by a $100 million one-time tax benefit related to export sales. Excluding the impact of acquisition-related costs, our adjusted rate for the first nine months of 2002 was 27.4% and for 2001 was 25.7%, excluding acquisition-related costs and the one-time charge. The higher adjusted rate for the first nine months of 2002 reflects a change in the expected distribution of income in various tax jurisdictions.

Financial Condition

Our financial condition remains strong. At September 28, 2002, cash, short-term investments and fixed income trading assets totaled $10.9 billion, down from $11.2 billion at December 29, 2001. At September 28, 2002, total short-term and long-term debt was $1.3 billion and represented 4% of stockholders' equity. At December 29, 2001, total debt of $1.5 billion also represented 4% of stockholders' equity.

For the first nine months of 2002, cash provided by operating activities was $5.6 billion. Cash was provided by net income adjusted for non-cash related items, partially offset by cash used for changes in working capital balances, including increases in inventory and receivables and decreases in payables and compensation accruals. Inventory increased from December 29, 2001 as we rebuilt our inventory from relatively low levels, particularly for the Pentium 4 processor. Accounts receivable also increased, primarily due to a higher proportion of sales occurring in the last month of the current quarter, with a slight decrease in the days' sales outstanding. Our five largest customers accounted for approximately 43% of net revenues for the first nine months of 2002. This represents an increase from 38% at December 29, 2001 and reflects a change in the constituents of our top five customers as two of our previous top five customers have merged. Additionally, the five largest customers accounted for approximately 41% of net accounts receivable at September 28, 2002 and December 29, 2001. Accrued compensation and benefits decreased as we paid year-end bonuses and made the annual cash contributions to our profit sharing plans, partially offset by year-to-date accruals.

The main non-operating source of cash during the first nine months of 2002 was $615 million from the proceeds of sales of shares through employee stock plans.

Major non-operating uses of cash during the first nine months of 2002 included capital spending of $3.5 billion for property, plant and equipment, primarily for microprocessor manufacturing capacity, $3.0 billion to repurchase 124.7 million shares of our common stock and $401 million for payment of dividends to stockholders. We also paid approximately $57 million in net cash for the acquisition of three development-stage operations. See "Outlook" for a discussion of capital expenditure expectations in 2002.

At September 28, 2002, marketable strategic equity securities totaled $56 million, a decrease of $99 million compared to $155 million at December 29, 2001. Net unrealized appreciation was approximately $8 million on a pre-tax basis at September 28, 2002, compared to net unrealized appreciation of $46 million at December 29, 2001. At September 28, 2002, the carrying value of our strategic investments in non-marketable equity securities, including equity derivatives, decreased to $1.1 billion, compared to $1.5 billion at December 29, 2001, primarily as a result of impairment charges on non-marketable investments and the reclassification of investments that became marketable during the first quarter of 2002 and were reclassified to trading assets and other long-term investments.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

Financial Condition (continued)

Another potential source of liquidity is authorized borrowings, including commercial paper, of $3.0 billion. Maximum borrowings under our commercial paper programs during the first nine months of 2002 were approximately $230 million, although no commercial paper was outstanding at the end of the period. We also maintain the ability to issue an aggregate of approximately $1.4 billion in debt, equity and other securities under U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) shelf registration statements.

We believe that we have the financial resources needed to meet our business requirements for the next twelve months, including capital expenditures for the expansion or upgrading of worldwide manufacturing capacity, working capital requirements, the dividend program and potential future acquisitions or strategic investments.

Employee Stock Options

Section I. Option Program Description

Our stock option program is a broad-based, long-term retention program that is intended to attract and retain talented employees and align stockholder and employee interests. The program currently consists of two plans: one under which officers, key employees and non-employee directors may be granted options to purchase shares of our stock, and a broad-based plan under which options may be granted to all employees
other than officers and directors. Substantially all of our employees participate in one of the plans. Options granted by the company expire no later than 10 years from the grant date and generally vest within 5 years.

We have a goal to keep the dilution related to our option program to a long-term average of less than 2% annually. The dilution percentage is calculated as the new option grants for the year, net of options forfeited by employees leaving the company, divided by the total outstanding shares at the beginning of the year.

All stock option grants are made after a review by, and with the approval of, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. All members of the Compensation Committee are independent directors, as defined in the applicable rules for issuers traded on The Nasdaq Stock Market*. See the "Report of the Compensation Committee on Executive Compensation" appearing in our proxy statement dated April 10, 2002 for further information concerning the policies and procedures of the company and the Compensation Committee regarding the use of stock options.

Section II. Distribution and Dilutive Effect of Options

Options granted to employees, including officers, and non-employee directors from 2000 through the first nine months of 2002 are summarized as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2002 YTD</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net grants 1 during the period as % of outstanding shares 2</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants to listed officers 3 during the period as % of total options granted</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants to listed officers during the period as % of outstanding shares</td>
<td>&lt;0.1%</td>
<td>&lt;0.1%</td>
<td>&lt;0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative options held by listed officers as % of total options outstanding</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Option grants net of options forfeited and excluding options assumed in connection with acquisitions.
2 Outstanding shares as of the beginning of each period.
3 See Section IV for definition of "listed officers".
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Employee Stock Options (continued)

Section II. Distribution and Dilutive Effect of Options (continued)

In the first nine months of 2002, options granted to the listed officers were a higher percentage of the total options granted to all employees than in the other years shown as they included additional grants to key officers in recognition of their future potential in leading the corporation. These additional grants are not made every year. Based on our current plans, the grants to listed officers as a percentage of total grants are expected to decrease as we make additional option grants during the remainder of 2002. This expectation depends on actual option grants during the final quarter of the year for planned hiring, acquisition, merit and retention-based programs.

Refer to our proxy statement dated April 10, 2002 for additional information about the compensation of the listed officers and stock option grants to the listed officers.

Section III. General Option Information

Additional information with respect to stock option plan activity is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Shares in millions)</th>
<th>Options Outstanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shares Available for Options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 30, 2000</td>
<td>347.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental grant</td>
<td>(51.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002 merit grant</td>
<td>(67.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other grants</td>
<td>(118.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options assumed in acquisitions</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercises</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancellations</td>
<td>45.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additional shares reserved: 900.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Shares</th>
<th>Grants</th>
<th>Exercises</th>
<th>Cancellations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 29, 2001</td>
<td>1,054.6</td>
<td>(41.9)</td>
<td>(43.4)</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 28, 2002</td>
<td>1,043.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

December 29, 2001:
- Shares: 1,054.6
- Grants: (41.9)
- Exercises: (43.4)
- Cancellations: 30.3

September 28, 2002:
- Shares: 1,043.0

Refer to pages 30 and 31 of our 2001 Annual Report to Stockholders for additional information about our employee stock option plan activity for the years 1999 through 2001, and the pro forma earnings presentation as if we had expensed our stock option grants using the fair value method of accounting.

In-the-money and out-of-the-money option\(^1\) information as of September 28, 2002 is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Shares in millions)</th>
<th>Exercisable</th>
<th>Unexercisable</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In-the-money</td>
<td>137.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>145.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$6.23</td>
<td>$10.73</td>
<td>$6.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-the-money</td>
<td>133.5</td>
<td>456.2</td>
<td>589.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$25.45</td>
<td>$32.76</td>
<td>$31.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total options</td>
<td>270.6</td>
<td>464.5</td>
<td>735.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outstanding</td>
<td>$15.71</td>
<td>$32.37</td>
<td>$26.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Out-of-the-money options have an exercise price equal to or above the current market value of Intel stock, $14.89 at the end of the third quarter of 2002.

---
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**Section IV. Executive Options**

Options granted to listed officers\(^1\) for the nine months ended September 28, 2002 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual Grants</th>
<th>Number of Securities Underlying Options Per Grant (#)(^2)</th>
<th>Percent of Total Options Granted to Employees Year to Date(^3)</th>
<th>Exercise or Base Price ($/Share)(^4)</th>
<th>Expiration Date</th>
<th>Potential Realizable Values at Assumed Annual Rates of Stock Price Appreciation for Option Term ($)(^5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Barrett</td>
<td>584,000</td>
<td>1.40%</td>
<td>$29.33</td>
<td>4/9/2012</td>
<td>10,770,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Grove</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Otellini</td>
<td>664,000</td>
<td>1.59%</td>
<td>$29.33</td>
<td>4/9/2012</td>
<td>12,245,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Vadasz</td>
<td>92,000</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
<td>$29.33</td>
<td>4/9/2012</td>
<td>1,696,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Bryant</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>0.96%</td>
<td>$30.50</td>
<td>3/26/2012</td>
<td>7,671,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>404,000</td>
<td>0.97%</td>
<td>$29.33</td>
<td>4/9/2012</td>
<td>7,450,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) The "listed officers" are those listed in our proxy statement dated April 10, 2002, defined as the Chief Executive Officer and each of the four other most highly compensated executive officers.

\(^2\) These options are exercisable on various dates between 2004 and 2007, except for the option for 400,000 shares granted to Mr. Bryant that is first exercisable on various dates between 2007 and 2010.
Based on a year-to-date total of approximately 41.9 million shares subject to options granted to employees under the company’s option plans.

Under all stock option plans, the option purchase price is equal to the fair market value at the date of the grant. Options were granted on March 26, 2002 and April 9, 2002.

In accordance with the U.S. SEC rules, these columns show gains that could accrue for the respective options, assuming that the market price of Intel common stock appreciates from the date of grant over a period of 10 years at an annualized rate of 5% and 10%, respectively. If the stock price does not increase above the exercise price at the time of exercise, realized value to the named executives from these options would be zero.

Option exercises for the nine months ended September 28, 2002 and option values for listed officers as of September 28, 2002 is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)</th>
<th>Value Realized ($)</th>
<th>Number of Shares Underlying Unexercised Options at End of September 28, 2002 (#)</th>
<th>Values of Unexercised In-the-Money Options at September 28, 2002 ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. Barrett</td>
<td>544,000</td>
<td>2,194,348 2,194,348</td>
<td>17,765,500 17,765,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Grove</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,792,793 1,792,793</td>
<td>17,265,700 17,265,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Otellini</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>911,241 911,241</td>
<td>7,077,900 7,077,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Vadasz</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>594,852 594,852</td>
<td>3,518,500 3,518,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Bryant</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 These amounts represent the total number of shares subject to stock options held by the listed officers at September 28, 2002. These options were granted on various dates from 1993 through 2002.

2 These amounts represent the difference between the exercise price of the stock options and a stock price of $14.89 at the end of the third quarter of 2002 for all in-the-money options held by the listed officer. The in-the-money stock option exercise prices range from $2.81 to $7.67. These stock options were granted at the fair market value of the stock on the grant date.

---
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Section V. Equity Compensation Plan Information

The number of shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding options granted to employees and non-employee directors, as well as the number of shares remaining available for future issuance, under the company’s equity compensation plans as of September 28, 2002 are summarized in the following table (shares in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan category</th>
<th>(A)</th>
<th>(B)</th>
<th>(C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options</td>
<td>Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options</td>
<td>Number of shares remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding shares reflected in column (A))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders</td>
<td>191.6</td>
<td>$13.77</td>
<td>279.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders</td>
<td>526.2</td>
<td>$31.15</td>
<td>873.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>717.8</td>
<td>$26.51</td>
<td>1,152.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Includes 169.8 million shares available for future issuance under Intel’s 1984 Stock Option Plan, as amended, generally used for grants to officers and directors. Also includes 109.7 million shares available under Intel’s Employee Stock Participation Plan.

2 Shares available under Intel’s 1997 Stock Option Plan used for grants to employees other than officers and directors. We anticipate that any new option plans, and any material amendments to existing plans, will be submitted for stockholder approval in accordance with applicable NASDAQ rules.

3 Total does not include 17.3 million shares issuable under outstanding options, with a weighted average exercise price of $14.74, originally granted under plans assumed by Intel in connection with acquisitions. Intel does not intend to grant any further options under these plans.

Strategy

This Strategy section and the Critical Accounting Estimates, Employee Stock Options and Outlook sections contain a number of forward-looking statements, all of which are based on current expectations. Actual results may differ materially. These statements do not reflect the
potential impact of any mergers, acquisitions, divestitures or other business combinations that had not closed as of October 14, 2002.

Our goal is to be the preeminent building block supplier to the worldwide Internet economy. As we focus on our core competencies in silicon design and manufacturing, and digital computing and communications, we believe we are well positioned to drive the convergence of computing and communications through silicon integration. Our primary focus areas are the desktop, mobile and enterprise platforms within the Intel Architecture business, and the networking infrastructure and wireless handheld communications platforms.

All of our businesses operate in highly innovative environments characterized by continuing and rapid introduction of new products that offer improved performance at lower prices. Competition comes both from established businesses and from new entrants to the marketplace. The trend toward convergence in computing and communications products may increase the number of our competitors due to the crossover of relevant product offerings. Competition tends to increase pricing pressure on our products and can result in our being unable to price particular product offerings in line with our original plans and expectations. It is inherently difficult to compete against some market participants because they have entrenched products and product designs. We may continue to take various steps, including introducing new products and discontinuing older products, reducing prices and offering rebates and other incentives, at such times as we deem appropriate, in order to increase acceptance of our latest technology and to remain competitive within each relevant market segment.
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Strategy (continued)

Our research and development activities focus on technological processes for manufacturing and on the development of competitive product offerings. Our work on both processes and products may involve current-generation activities and work on process and product roadmaps that extend forward for a number of succeeding generations. Manufacturing process work, particularly for future process technology generations, may involve substantial experimentation, invention and evaluation relating to numerous aspects of manufacturing capability. These efforts rely to varying degrees on the work of third parties such as university researchers and the manufacturers of semiconductor factory equipment. Process development work may involve alternative and competing technologies, and, for technological or other business reasons, not all of these efforts will result in technology that we deploy in our manufacturing operations.

Products under development involve various types of input/output capabilities and instruction-set architectures. We do not expect that all of our product development projects will result in products that are released for sale, as from time to time we may terminate product development before completion or decide not to manufacture and sell a developed product. There may be a number of reasons why we decide not to move forward with a product; for example, we may decide that the product might not be sufficiently competitive in the relevant market; or we may decide for technological or marketing reasons to offer a different product. Our products often incorporate features that will only increase the performance of the product or be otherwise useful to the end-user if other companies have developed operating systems, other software applications or other hardware that take advantage of these features. We work with other hardware and software companies, and industry groups, to encourage product offerings that do take advantage of these features.

We plan to cultivate new businesses as well as continue to work with the computing industry to seek to expand Internet capabilities and product offerings, and develop compelling software applications and operating systems that can take advantage of higher performance microprocessors and chipsets, with the goal of driving demand toward our newer products in each market segment.

Intel Architecture Business. The Intel Architecture business supports the desktop and mobile platforms with the IA-32 architecture. The IA-32 architecture includes both the Intel NetBurst and P6 microarchitectures. Our strategy for desktop and mobile platforms is to introduce ever-higher performance microprocessors and chipsets, tailored for the different market segments of the worldwide computing market, using a tiered branding approach.

We offer Pentium 4 processors for the performance market segment, focused on both home and business applications. During the third quarter of 2002, we introduced Pentium 4 processors with speeds up to 2.8 GHz, including versions supporting the faster 533-MHz system bus. These processors are optimized to deliver high performance across a broad range of business and consumer applications, especially the latest technologies in Web, interactive 3D, and streaming video and audio environments. They also enhance the user's experience in many applications, such as e-Learning, Internet browsing and gaming. We remain on track to introduce a 3-GHz Pentium 4 processor in the fourth quarter that will bring Hyper Threading (HT) Technology to the desktop. HT Technology allows a multithreaded software program to run as though it has two processors at its disposal, though only one processor is physically in place. We also introduced the 2-GHz Intel Celeron processor for the value market segment. This is the first desktop value processor produced on Intel's most advanced 0.13-micron technology. We also introduced new chipsets that support HT Technology along with leading edge DDR memory and high-performing integrated graphics. For the mobile market segment, we broadened the mobile processor family with the introduction of new processors at speeds up to 2.2 GHz including several low-voltage processors for mini, sub-notebooks and Tablet PCs. In addition, we announced our intent to bring the "Banias" mobile processor to market in the first half of 2003. Banias is the codename for the first computing technology designed from the bottom up for the unique requirements of Mobile PC users. We expect that many products based on the Banias processor will include 802.11a/b wireless networking technology along with software that will simplify and improve the security of wireless networking.
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The Intel Architecture business also supports enterprise computing with the Intel® Xeon® processor family for workstations and mid-range to high-end servers, and the Intel® Itanium® processor family for enterprise-class servers. Our strategy for the enterprise platform is to provide high performance processors and the best price for performance across the entire range of server and workstation market segments. During the third quarter, we introduced Intel Xeon processors at 2.6 and 2.8 GHz for two-way servers and workstations, featuring 512 kilobyte (KB) of level-two cache and built on Intel's industry-leading 0.13-micron process technology. We believe that many historically specialized tasks can be performed on Intel silicon-based platforms, as traditional computing and telecommunications converge and as silicon performance improves in accordance with "Moore's Law". Moore's Law predicts that the number of transistors on a chip will double about every couple of years. This convergence of computing and communications is expected to create opportunities for server components (such as blade servers used in data centers) in new and existing communications market segments.

Intel Communications Group. Within ICG, our strategy is to be the leading supplier of silicon and integrated building blocks for networking and communications original equipment manufacturers (OEMs). We are developing products that focus on technologies that we believe will be essential to build out the Internet: Ethernet connectivity products, optical products and network processing components. Our strategy for Ethernet connectivity is to expand our product portfolio in the LAN market segment and to address the emerging metropolitan area network (MAN) and networked storage market segments. Within the LAN market segment, we are investing in Gigabit Ethernet technologies, 10-Gigabit Ethernet and wireless technologies based on the wireless 802.11 industry standards. In the networked storage market segment, we are developing products that enable storage resources to be added to any location on either of the two most prevalent types of storage networks, Ethernet or Fibre Channel. Our strategy for the optical market segment is to deliver products based on industry standards, including Ethernet and data transport standards in the telecommunications industry (SONET/SDH). We are providing 10-Gigabit optical products at multiple levels of integration with decreased power consumption and increased signal transmission capability. In network processing, we deliver products that are basic building blocks for modular networking infrastructure. These products include advanced, programmable processors that are used to manage and direct data moving across the Internet and corporate networks. Key to executing these strategies is the move to our 90-nanometer manufacturing process. When we have transitioned to this new process, we expect to build a greater portion of our communications products internally. This process includes the use of high-speed silicon-germanium transistors and "mixed-signal" circuitry, aimed at bringing about a new generation of faster, more integrated, less-costly communications chips.

Wireless Communications and Computing Group. The WCCG strategy is to deliver complete solutions that enable quick deployment of applications and services for wireless Internet and handheld computing devices. Key to this strategy is the Intel® Personal Internet Client Architecture (Intel® PCA), an architecture framework that describes the communication and application subsystems for data-enabled cellular phones and portable handheld devices. The Intel PCA scalable platform is expected to speed up application development and allow faster time-to-market for our customers. We are working toward the convergence of communications and computing in this segment by developing technology that combines memory and logic functions in one component for mobile handheld clients. Moving forward, we plan to create "wireless Internet on a chip" capabilities by adding baseband communications features to existing memory and logic functionality. Our current products for the handheld platform include Intel® flash memory, processors based on the Intel® StrongARM® architecture and Intel® XScale® microarchitecture, and cellular baseband chipsets.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The methods, estimates and judgments we use in applying our accounting policies have a significant impact on the results we report in our financial statements. Some of our accounting policies require us to make difficult and subjective judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates of matters that are inherently uncertain. Our most critical accounting estimates include: the assessment of recoverability of goodwill and other intangible assets, which impacts write-offs of goodwill and identified intangibles; valuation of non-marketable equity securities, which impacts gains (losses) on equity securities when we record impairments; and valuation of inventory, which impacts cost of sales and gross margin. Below, we discuss these policies further, as well as the estimates and judgments involved. We also have other policies that we consider to be key accounting policies, such as our policies for revenue recognition, including the deferral of revenues on sales to distributors; however, these policies do not meet the definition of critical accounting estimates because they do not generally require us to make estimates or judgments that are difficult or subjective.

In conjunction with the implementation of the new accounting rules for goodwill, as of the beginning of fiscal 2002, we completed a goodwill impairment review for ICG and WCCG, the reporting units that have substantially all of our recognized goodwill, and found no impairment. According to our accounting policy under the new rules, we will perform a similar review annually, or earlier if indicators of potential impairment exist. Based on our review of indicators in the first three quarters of 2002, we have determined that an impairment review is not required prior to the annual review in the fourth quarter of 2002. Our impairment review process is based on a discounted future cash flow approach that uses our estimates of future market growth rates, market share, revenues and costs for these groups as well as appropriate discount rates. These estimates are consistent with the plans and estimates that we use to manage the underlying businesses. The estimates we
used assume that we gain market segment share in the future and that the communications businesses would experience a gradual recovery and a return to growth from the current trends. If we fail to deliver new products for these groups, if the products fail to gain expected market acceptance, or if we fail to achieve our assumed revenue growth rates or assumed gross margin, we may incur charges for impairment of goodwill in the future.

At September 28, 2002, the carrying value of our portfolio of strategic investments in non-marketable equity securities, excluding equity derivatives, totaled $884 million. Our ability to recover our investments in private, non-marketable equity securities and to earn a return on these investments is largely dependent on equity market conditions and the occurrence of liquidity events, such as initial public offerings, mergers and private sales. All of these factors are difficult to predict, particularly in the current economic environment. Under our accounting policy, the carrying value of a non-marketable investment is the amount paid for the investment unless it has been determined to be impaired, in which case we write the investment down to its impaired value. We are required to review all of our investments periodically for impairment; however, for non-marketable equity securities, we have no open-market valuations to refer to, and the impairment analysis requires significant judgment. This includes assessment of each investee's financial condition, the business outlook for its products and technology, its projected results and cash flows, the likelihood of obtaining subsequent rounds of financing and the impact of any relevant contractual equity preferences. As the equity markets deteriorated over the past two years, we have experienced substantial impairments in our portfolio of non-marketable equity securities. If equity market conditions do not improve, it is likely that additional investments within our existing portfolio will become impaired; however, we are not in a position to know at the present time which specific investments, if any, are likely to be impaired or the extent or timing of individual impairments. During the first nine months of 2002, we recorded impairments of non-marketable equity investments of $337 million, and impairments of non-marketable equity investments for all of 2001 amounted to $448 million.

Our policy for valuation of inventory, including the determination of obsolete or excess inventory, requires us to estimate the future demand for our products within specific time horizons, generally six months or less. The estimates of future demand that we use in the valuation of inventory are the basis for our published revenue forecast, which is also consistent with our short-term manufacturing plan. If our demand forecast for specific products is greater than actual demand and we fail to reduce manufacturing output accordingly, we could be required to record additional inventory reserves, which would have a negative impact on our gross margin.
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As global economic conditions are uncertain and we have not seen an economic recovery in our business, it continues to be difficult to predict demand for our products. For the fourth quarter of 2002, we expect revenues to be between $6.5 billion and $6.9 billion, compared to third quarter revenues of $6.5 billion. Revenues in this range would be flat to up 6% compared to the third quarter, and at the low-end of historical patterns. The outlook for the telecommunications industry continues to be weak. Service providers continue to reduce capital equipment purchases and telecommunications OEMs continue to reduce headcount and report lower revenue. In this environment, revenue growth for ICG is largely dependent on our securing design wins for new products, and OEMs taking these product designs to production.

Our financial results are substantially dependent on sales of microprocessors and related components by the Intel Architecture operating segment. Revenues are partly a function of the mix of microprocessor types and speeds sold as well as the mix of related chipsets, motherboards and purchased components, all of which are difficult to forecast. Because of the wide price differences among performance desktop, value desktop, mobile and server microprocessors, the mix of types of microprocessors sold affects the average price that we will realize and has a large impact on our revenues and gross margin. Microprocessor revenues are also dependent on the availability of other parts of the system platform, including chipsets, motherboards, operating system software and application software. Revenues are also affected by our sales of other semiconductor and non-semiconductor products and are subject to the impact of economic conditions in various geographic regions.

We expect the gross margin percentage in the fourth quarter of 2002 to be approximately 49%, plus or minus a couple of points, which is flat with the third-quarter gross margin. Our third-quarter gross margin percentage of 49% was at the low end of our expectation of 51%, plus or minus a couple of points, due to unrealized manufacturing savings along with higher than expected charges for under-utilized factory capacity. Our gross margin varies, depending on unit volumes, the mix of types and speeds of processors sold, as well as the mix of microprocessors, related chipsets and motherboards, and other semiconductor and non-semiconductor products. Variability of other factors will also continue to affect cost of sales and the gross margin percentage, including unit costs and yield issues associated with production at factories, timing and execution of the manufacturing ramp, excess of manufacturing capacity, the reusability of factory equipment, insufficient or excess inventory, inventory obsolescence and variations in inventory valuation.

We have significantly expanded our semiconductor manufacturing and assembly and test capacity over the last few years, and we continue to plan capacity based on the assumed continued success of our strategy and the acceptance of our products in specific market segments. However, during the third quarter we lowered our estimate of capital spending for 2002 to $4.7 billion, from our previous expectation of between $5.0 and $5.2 billion. Cost savings within ongoing construction projects account for the majority of the spending reduction. In addition, the company is slightly reducing its fourth-quarter equipment spending by re-using certain equipment from older process technology. This capital-spending plan is dependent on expectations regarding production efficiencies and delivery times of various machinery and equipment, and construction schedules for new facilities. We had under-utilized capacity in the third quarter of 2002 and we expect charges for under-utilized capacity to increase in the fourth quarter. If the demand for our products does not grow and continue to move toward higher
performance products in the various market segments, revenues and gross margin would be adversely affected and manufacturing capacity would continue to be under-utilized. However, in the long-term, revenues and gross margin may also be affected if we do not add capacity fast enough to meet market demand when economic conditions improve.

We expect depreciation expense to be approximately $1.2 billion for the fourth quarter of 2002.

Spending on research and development, excluding IPR&D, plus marketing, general and administrative expenses in the fourth quarter of 2002 is expected to be approximately $2.1 billion, flat compared to third quarter expenses. Expenses, particularly certain marketing- and compensation-related expenses, may vary from this expectation, depending in part on the level of revenues and profits.

---
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Research and development spending, excluding IPR&D, is expected to be approximately $4.0 billion in 2002.

In July 2002, we announced that we expected to reduce our workforce by approximately 4,000 employees over the remainder of 2002, primarily through attrition, voluntary separation programs and some targeted business disinvestments. The planned reduction excludes any headcount additions from acquisitions in 2002. We continue to expect that we will meet our headcount reduction goal by year-end.

We completed the adoption of Statements of Financial Accounting Standards Nos. 141 and 142 on accounting for business combinations and goodwill as of the beginning of 2002. Accordingly, we no longer amortize goodwill from acquisitions, but we continue to amortize acquisition-related intangibles and costs. Consequently, we expect amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs to be approximately $90 million in the fourth quarter.

We expect losses from equity securities and interest and other for the fourth quarter of 2002 to be a net loss of $50 million. This is primarily due to an expected net loss on equity securities and associated equity derivatives of approximately $90 million, primarily as a result of impairment charges, offset by expected net interest income. Our expectations for impairment charges in the fourth quarter are based on our experience and it is not possible to know at the present time which specific investments are likely to be impaired or the extent or timing of individual impairments. Our expectations for gains or losses from equity securities and interest and other assume no unanticipated events and vary depending on equity market levels and volatility, gains or losses realized on the sale or exchange of securities, interest rates, cash balances, and changes in the fair value of derivative instruments.

We currently expect our tax rate for 2002 to be approximately 27.4%, excluding the impact of acquisition-related costs and an expected fourth-quarter tax benefit of approximately $65 million related to a small divestiture that closed early in the fourth quarter. The tax rate is lower than the previous expectation of 28.4% due to lower than expected profits for the year and a higher percentage of profits expected in low tax jurisdictions.

We are currently a party to various legal proceedings. Although litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties, management, including internal counsel, does not believe that the ultimate outcome of these legal proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our financial position or overall trends in results of operations. However, if an unfavorable ruling were to occur in any specific period, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations of that period. Management believes that, given our current liquidity and cash and investment balances, even an adverse judgment would not have a material impact on cash and investments or liquidity.

We operate globally, with sales offices, research and development, and manufacturing, assembly and test in many countries, and so we are subject to risks and factors associated with doing business outside the United States. Global operations involve inherent risks that include currency controls and fluctuations, tariff, import and other related restrictions and regulations. If terrorist activity, armed conflict, civil or military unrest or political instability occurs in the United States, Israel or other locations, such events may disrupt logistics, security and communications, and could also result in reduced demand for Intel's products. We could also be affected if labor issues disrupt our transportation arrangements or those of our customers or suppliers. On a worldwide basis, we regularly review our key infrastructure, systems, services and suppliers both internally and externally, to seek to identify significant vulnerabilities as well as areas of potential business impact if a disruptive event were to occur. Once identified, we assess the risks, and as we consider it to be appropriate, we initiate actions intended to minimize the risks and their potential impact. However, there can be no assurance that we have identified all significant risks or that we can mitigate all identified risks with reasonable effort.

---

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)**

**Outlook (continued)**

Our future results of operations and the other forward-looking statements contained in this Outlook section, and in our Strategy, Critical Accounting Estimates and Employee Stock Options sections, involve a number of risks and uncertainties--in particular the statements regarding
our goals and strategies, expectations regarding new product introductions, plans to cultivate new businesses, market segment share and growth rate assumptions, future economic conditions and recovery in the communications businesses, revenues, pricing, gross margin and costs, capital spending, depreciation and amortization, research and development expenses, potential impairment of investments, the tax rate and pending legal proceedings. In addition to various factors that we have discussed above, a number of other factors could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations. Demand for our products, which impacts our revenue and gross margin percentage, is affected by business and economic conditions, as well as computing and communications industry trends and the development and timing of introduction of compelling software applications. Demand for our products is also affected by changes in customer order patterns, such as changes in the levels of inventory maintained by our customers and the timing of customer purchases. Revenue and gross margin could also be affected by competitive factors, such as competing chip architectures and manufacturing technologies, competing software-compatible microprocessors and acceptance of new products in specific market segments, and pricing pressures. Our future revenues are also dependent on continuing technological advancement, including developing and implementing new processes and strategic products, as well as sustaining and growing new businesses and integrating and operating any acquired businesses. Our gross margin could also be affected by the execution of the manufacturing ramp, excess manufacturing capacity, excess or obsolete inventory and variations in inventory valuation, as well as adverse effects associated with product defects and with errata (deviations from published specifications). Our results could also be affected by litigation involving intellectual property, stockholder, consumer and other issues.

We believe that we have the product offerings, facilities, personnel, and competitive and financial resources for continued business success, but future revenues, costs, margins and profits are all influenced by a number of factors, including those discussed above, all of which are inherently difficult to forecast.

**Status of Business Outlook and Scheduled Business Update**

We expect that our corporate representatives will meet privately during the quarter with investors, investment analysts, the media and others, and may reiterate the Business Outlook published in this Form 10-Q. At the same time, we will keep this Form 10-Q and Outlook publicly available on our Web site (www.intc.com). Prior to the Business Update and related Quiet Periods (described below), the public can continue to rely on the Outlook published on the Web site as representing our current expectations on matters covered, unless we publish a notice stating otherwise.

We intend to publish a Mid-Quarter Business Update on December 5, 2002. From the close of business on November 29, 2002 until publication of the Update, we will observe a "Quiet Period" during which the Outlook and our filings with the SEC on Forms 10-K and 10-Q should be considered historical, speaking as of prior to the Quiet Period only and not subject to update. During the Quiet Period, our representatives will not comment on the Outlook or our financial results or expectations.

A Quiet Period operating in similar fashion with regard to the Business Update and our SEC filings will begin at the close of business on December 13, 2002 and will extend until the day when our next quarterly Earnings Release is published, presently scheduled for January 14, 2003.

Intel, the Intel Logo, Intel Inside, Celeron, Intel NetBurst, Intel Xeon, Intel XScale, Itanium, and Pentium are trademarks or registered trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries in the United States and other countries. StrongARM is licensed to Intel by ARM, Ltd.

* Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

---

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

For financial market risks related to changes in interest rates and non-U.S. currency exchange rates, reference is made to Part II, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 29, 2001 and to the subheading "Financial Market Risks" under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" on page 16 of our 2001 Annual Report to Stockholders.

We have a portfolio of equity investments that includes marketable securities classified as either marketable strategic equity securities or trading assets as well as derivative equity instruments such as warrants and options. To the extent that these investments continue to have strategic value, we typically do not attempt to reduce or eliminate our market exposure. For those securities that are no longer considered strategic, management will evaluate market and economic factors in its decision on the timing of disposal and whether it is possible and appropriate to hedge the equity market risk. Our investments are generally in companies in the high-technology industry, and a substantial majority of the market value of the portfolio is in two sectors: computing and communications, including networking and storage companies. As of September 28, 2002 the fair value of the company's portfolio of marketable equity investments and equity derivative instruments, including hedging positions, was $366 million.

We analyzed the historical movements over the past several years of high-technology stock indices that we considered appropriate. Based on the analysis, we estimated that it was reasonably possible that the prices of the stocks in our portfolio could experience a 30% adverse change in the near term. Assuming a 30% adverse change in market prices, and after reflecting the impact of hedges and offsetting positions, our portfolio would decrease in value by approximately $35 million, based on the value of the portfolio at September 28, 2002. This estimate is not necessarily indicative of future performance, and actual results may differ materially. The marketable portfolio is substantially concentrated in
An adverse movement of equity market prices would also have an impact on our strategic investments in non-marketable equity securities, although the impact cannot be directly quantified. Such a movement and the related underlying economic conditions would negatively affect the prospects of the companies we invest in, their ability to raise additional capital and the likelihood of our being able to realize our investments through liquidity events such as initial public offerings, mergers and private sales. At September 28, 2002, our strategic investments in non-marketable equity securities, excluding equity derivatives, had a carrying amount of $884 million.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

**Quarterly evaluation of the company's Disclosure Controls and Internal Controls.** Within the 90 days prior to the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the company evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its "disclosure controls and procedures" (Disclosure Controls), and its "internal controls and procedures for financial reporting" (Internal Controls). This evaluation (the Controls Evaluation) was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO). Rules adopted by the SEC require that in this section of the Quarterly Report we present the conclusions of the CEO and the CFO about the effectiveness of our Disclosure Controls and Internal Controls based on and as of the date of the Controls Evaluation.

**CEO and CFO Certifications.** Appearing immediately following the Signatures section of this Quarterly Report there are two separate forms of "Certifications" of the CEO and the CFO. The first form of Certification is required in accord with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Section 302 Certification). This section of the Quarterly Report which you are currently reading is the information concerning the Controls Evaluation referred to in the Section 302 Certifications and this information should be read in conjunction with the Section 302 Certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

**Disclosure Controls and Internal Controls.** Disclosure Controls are procedures that are designed with the objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act), such as this Quarterly Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) rules and forms. Disclosure Controls are also designed with the objective of ensuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Internal Controls are procedures which are designed with the objective of providing reasonable assurance that (1) our transactions are properly authorized; (2) our assets are safeguarded against unauthorized or improper use; and (3) our transactions are properly recorded and reported, all to permit the preparation of our financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

**Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls.** The company's management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our Disclosure Controls or our Internal Controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

**Scope of the Controls Evaluation.** The CEO/CFO evaluation of our Disclosure Controls and our Internal Controls included a review of the controls' objectives and design, the controls' implementation by the company and the effect of the controls on the information generated for use in this Quarterly Report. In the course of the Controls Evaluation, we sought to identify data errors, controls problems or acts of fraud and to confirm that appropriate corrective action, including process improvements, were being undertaken. This type of evaluation will be done on a quarterly basis so that the conclusions concerning controls effectiveness can be reported in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our Internal Controls are also evaluated on an ongoing basis by our Internal Audit Department, by other personnel in our Finance organization and by our independent auditors in connection with their audit and review activities. The overall goals of these various evaluation activities are to monitor our Disclosure Controls and our Internal Controls and to make modifications as necessary; our intent in this regard is that the Disclosure Controls and the Internal Controls will be maintained as dynamic systems that change (including with improvements and corrections) as conditions warrant.

Among other matters, we sought in our evaluation to determine whether there were any "significant deficiencies" or "material weaknesses" in the company's Internal Controls, or whether the company had identified any acts of fraud involving personnel who have a significant role in
the company's Internal Controls. This information was important both for the Controls Evaluation generally and because items 5 and 6 in the Section 302 Certifications of the CEO and CFO require that the CEO and CFO disclose that information to our Board's Audit Committee and to our independent auditors and to report on related matters in this section of the Quarterly Report. In the professional auditing literature, "significant deficiencies" are referred to as "reportable conditions"; these are control issues that could have a significant adverse effect on the ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data in the financial statements. A "material weakness" is defined in the auditing literature as a particularly serious reportable condition where the internal control does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud may occur in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We also sought to deal with other controls matters in the Controls Evaluation, and in each case if a problem was identified, we considered what revision, improvement and/or correction to make in accord with our on-going procedures.

In accord with SEC requirements, the CEO and CFO note that, since the date of the Controls Evaluation to the date of this Quarterly Report, there have been no significant changes in Internal Controls or in other factors that could significantly affect Internal Controls, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Conclusions. Based upon the Controls Evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that, subject to the limitations noted above, our Disclosure Controls are effective to ensure that material information relating to Intel and its consolidated subsidiaries is made known to management, including the CEO and CFO, particularly during the period when our periodic reports are being prepared, and that our Internal Controls are effective to provide reasonable assurance that our financial statements are fairly presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Reference is made to Item 3, Legal Proceedings in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 29, 2001 for descriptions of the following and other legal proceedings.

Intergraph Corporation v. Intel
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama, Northeastern Division
U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas

In November 1997, Intergraph Corporation filed suit in Federal District Court in Alabama, generally alleging that Intel attempted to coerce Intergraph into relinquishing certain patent rights and that Intel infringed five Intergraph microprocessor-related patents. This suit included alleged violations of antitrust laws and various state law claims.

In August 2001, Intergraph filed a second suit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, alleging that the Intel(R) Itanium(R) processor infringes two Intergraph microprocessor-related patents, and seeking an injunction and unspecified damages.

In April 2002, Intel and Intergraph announced that they entered into a settlement agreement, pursuant to which they agreed to settle the Alabama lawsuit and dismiss it with prejudice. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, Intel made a cash payment of $300 million to Intergraph and in return received a license under all Intergraph patents and patent applications filed before April 4, 2012, excluding the patents at issue in the Texas case. Intel will also obtain ownership of fifteen Intergraph patents and has obtained a general release covering all matters in controversy in the Alabama case.

In October 2002, the Texas court ruled that Intel infringed both patents at issue in that case, and the Texas court has declined to reconsider its decision. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, Intel intends to pay Intergraph $150 million on or before November 29, 2002. Intel plans to appeal the trial court's decision, and if Intel prevails on appeal, no further payments will be due to Intergraph under the settlement agreement. However, if Intergraph prevails on either patent, the settlement agreement provides that Intel must pay Intergraph an additional $100 million and will receive a license for the patents at issue in the case.

Edward Harris, et al v. Intel Corporation, et al
U.S. District Court, Northern California

In May 2000, various plaintiffs filed a class action lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 14d-10 in connection with Intel's acquisition of DSP Communications, Inc. The complaint alleged that Intel and CWC (Intel's wholly-owned subsidiary at the time) agreed to pay certain DSP insiders additional consideration of $15.6 million not offered or paid to other stockholders. The alleged purpose of this payment to the insiders was to obtain DSP insiders' endorsement of Intel's tender offer in violation of the anti-discrimination provision of Section 14(d)(7) and Rule 14d-10. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages for the class, and unspecified costs and expenses. In July 2002, the District Court granted Intel's motion for summary judgment, but in October 2002, the District Court vacated the summary judgment and limited discovery is now proceeding. The company disputes the plaintiff's claims and intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously.
Item 1. Legal Proceedings (continued)

VIA Technologies and Centaur Technology, Inc. v. Intel
U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas


In re Intel Corporation Securities Litigation (Consolidated) U.S. Dist. Ct., Northern Cal.
Dr. Jayant S. Patel, et al. v. Gordon Moore, et al., Calif. Superior Ct., Santa Clara County

In September, October and November 2001, various plaintiffs filed five class-action lawsuits against Intel alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The five complaints were consolidated in an amended complaint filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. The amended complaint alleges that purchasers of Intel stock between July 19, 2000 and September 29, 2000 were misled by false and misleading statements by Intel and certain of its officers and directors concerning the company's business and financial condition. On October 22, 2002, the U.S. District Court granted Intel's motion to dismiss the amended complaint and gave the plaintiffs thirty days to file a second amended complaint. In addition, various plaintiffs filed stockholder derivative complaints in California Superior Court and Delaware Chancery Court against the company's directors and certain officers, alleging that they mismanaged the company and otherwise breached their fiduciary obligations to the company. In May 2002, the California Superior Court sustained Intel's demurrer to the California complaint and granted plaintiffs leave to file an amended complaint. The Company's demurrer to the amended complaint is pending before the Court. All complaints seek unspecified damages. The company disputes all plaintiffs' claims in all actions and intends to defend the lawsuits vigorously.

Deanna Neubauer et al. v. Intel Corporation, Gateway Inc., Hewlett-Packard Co. and HPDirect, Inc.
Third Judicial Circuit Court, Madison County, Illinois

In June 2002, various plaintiffs filed a lawsuit in the Third Judicial Circuit Court, Madison County, Illinois against Intel, Hewlett-Packard Co., HPDirect, Inc. and Gateway Inc., alleging that defendants' advertisements and statements misled the public by suppressing and concealing the alleged material fact that systems that use the Intel Pentium 4 processor are less powerful and slower than systems using the Intel Pentium III processor and a competitor's processors. The plaintiffs claim that their lawsuit should be treated as a nationwide class action. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages and attorney's fees and costs. The company disputes the plaintiffs' claims and intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously.

We are currently a party to various legal proceedings, including those noted above. While management, including internal counsel, currently believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or overall trends in results of operations, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations of the period in which the ruling occurs. The estimate of the potential impact on our financial position or overall results of operations for the above legal proceedings could change in the future.

Item 5. Other Information

1. Consistent with Section 10A(i)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as added by Section 202 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the company is responsible for listing the non-audit services approved in the third quarter of 2002 by the company's Audit Committee to be performed by Ernst & Young, the company's external auditor. Non-audit services are defined in the law as services other than those provided in connection with an audit or a review of the financial statements of the company. The non-audit services approved by the Audit Committee in the third quarter of 2002 (see list below) are each considered by the company to be audit-related services, except for the tax consultations, which are considered to be other services. Each of the services has been approved in accord with a pre-approval from the Audit Committee or the Committee's Chairman pursuant to delegated authority by the Committee.
During the quarterly period covered by this filing, the Audit Committee approved new or recurring engagements of Ernst & Young for the following non-audit services: (1) audit of various company benefit plans; (2) consultations regarding certain accounting matters; (3) an audit on a divested business; (4) periodic pricing and customer inventory compliance audits; and (5) tax consultations.

2. On September 11, 2002 the Board of Directors approved an amendment to Intel's bylaws to provide for revised board meeting quorum requirements in the event of an emergency, catastrophe or disaster (as referred to in relevant Delaware law). New Article III, section 11 also provides for increased flexibility in the appointment of board committee members in such a circumstance.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits

3.2 Intel Corporation Bylaws as amended.

12.1 Statement setting forth the computation of ratios of earnings to fixed charges.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K


2. On August 8, 2002, Intel filed a report on Form 8-K related to Commission Order No. 4-460 (June 27, 2002), and the published Statement of the Commission Staff (July 29, 2002), attaching copies of the sworn statements of Craig R. Barrett, Chief Executive Officer, and Andy D. Bryant, Chief Financial Officer.


Signatures, and Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of the Company.

The following pages include the Signatures page for this Form 10-Q, and two separate Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) of the company.

The first form of Certification is required by Rule 13a-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) in accord with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Section 302 Certification). The Section 302 Certification includes references to an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's "disclosure controls and procedures" and its "internal controls and procedures for financial reporting". Item 4 of Part I of this Quarterly Report presents the conclusions of the CEO and the CFO about the effectiveness of such controls based on and as of the date of such evaluation (relating to Item 4 of the Section 302 Certification), and contains additional information concerning disclosures to the company's Audit Committee and independent auditors with regard to deficiencies in internal controls and fraud (Item 5 of the Section 302 Certification) and related matters (Item 6 of the Section 302 Certification).

The second form of Certification is required by section 1350 of chapter 63 of title 18 of the United States Code.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

INTEL CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Date: November 5, 2002

By: /s/ Andy D. Bryant

Andy D. Bryant
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and
Principle Accounting Officer
CERTIFICATION

I, Craig R. Barrett, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Intel Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:

   a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;

   b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and

   c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

   a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

   b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: November 5, 2002

By: /s/ C. R. Barrett

Craig R. Barrett
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION (continued)

I, Andy D. Bryant, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Intel Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures
(as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:

a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;

b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and

c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: November 5, 2002

By: /s/ Andy D. Bryant

Andy D. Bryant
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and
Principle Accounting Officer

CERTIFICATION (continued)

Each of the undersigned hereby certifies, for the purposes of section 1350 of chapter 63 of title 18 of the United States Code, in his capacity as an officer of Intel Corporation ("Intel"), that, to his knowledge, the Quarterly Report of Intel on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 28, 2002, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operation of Intel.

Date: November 5, 2002

By: /s/ C. R. Barrett

Craig R. Barrett
Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 5, 2002

By: /s/ Andy D. Bryant

Andy D. Bryant
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and
Principle Accounting Officer
# INTEL CORPORATION

**STATEMENT SETTING FORTH THE COMPUTATION OF RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES**

*(in millions)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nine Months Ended</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2002</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 2001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before taxes</td>
<td>$2,857</td>
<td>$1,389</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add fixed charges net of capitalized interest</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before taxes and fixed charges (net of capitalized interest)</td>
<td>$2,970</td>
<td>$1,480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed charges:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>$67</td>
<td>$41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalized interest</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated interest component of rental expense</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$114</td>
<td>$96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of earnings before taxes and fixed charges, to fixed charges</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTEL CORPORATION

BYLAWS

ARTICLE I

Offices

Section 1. Registered Office. The registered office of the corporation in the State of Delaware shall be in the City of Wilmington, County of New Castle.

Section 2. Other Offices. The corporation shall also have and maintain an office or principal place of business at 2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, County of Santa Clara, State of California, and may also have offices at such other places, both within and without the State of Delaware, as the Board of Directors may from time to time determine or the business of the corporation may require.

ARTICLE II

Stockholders’ Meetings

Section 1. Place of Meetings.

(a) Meetings of the stockholders of the corporation shall be held at such place, either within or without the State of Delaware, as may be designated from time to time by the Board of Directors, or, if not so designated, then at the office of the corporation required to be maintained pursuant to Section 2 of Article I hereof.

(b) The Board of Directors may, in its sole discretion, determine that the meeting shall not be held at any place, but may instead be held solely by means of remote communication in accordance with Section 211(a)(2) of the Delaware General Corporation Law. If authorized by the Board of Directors in its sole discretion, and subject to such guidelines and procedures as the Board of Directors may adopt, stockholders and proxyholders not physically present at a meeting of stockholders may, by means of remote communication (a) participate in a meeting of stockholders; and (b) be deemed present in person and vote at a meeting of stockholders whether such meeting is to be held at a designated place or solely by means of remote communication, provided that (i) the corporation shall implement reasonable measures to verify that each person deemed present and permitted to vote at the meeting by means of remote communication is a stockholder or proxyholder; (ii) the corporation shall implement reasonable measures to provide such stockholders and proxyholders a reasonable opportunity to participate in the meeting and to vote on matters submitted to the stockholders, including an opportunity to read or hear the proceedings of the meeting substantially concurrently with such proceedings; and (iii) if any stockholder or proxyholder votes or takes other action at the meeting by means of remote communication, a record of such vote or other action shall be maintained by the corporation.

Section 2. Annual Meetings. The annual meetings of the stockholders of the corporation for the purpose of election of directors and for such other business as may lawfully come before it, shall be held on such date and at such time as may be designated from time to time by the Board of Directors, but in no event more than fifteen (15) months after the date of the preceding annual meeting.

Section 3. Special Meetings. Special meetings of the stockholders of the corporation may be called, for any purpose or purposes, by the Chairman of the Board or the President or the Board of Directors at any time.

Section 4. Notice of Meetings.

(a) Except as otherwise provided by law or the Certificate of Incorporation, written notice (as the term "written" is defined in Article XII hereof) of each meeting of stockholders, specifying the place, if any, date and hour of the meeting; the means of remote communications, if any, by which stockholders and proxyholders may be deemed to be present in person and vote at such meeting; and purpose or purposes of the meeting, shall be given not less than ten nor more than sixty days before the date of the meeting to each stockholder entitled to vote thereat, directed to the stockholder in accordance with the procedures set forth in Article X hereof. Notice shall be deemed to have been given to all stockholders of record who share an address if notice is given in accordance with the "householding" rules set forth in Rule 14a-3(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Exchange Act").

(b) If at any meeting action is proposed to be taken which, if taken, would entitle stockholders fulfilling the requirements of Section 262(d) of the Delaware General Corporation Law to an appraisal of the fair value of their shares, the notice of such meeting shall contain a statement of that purpose and to that effect and shall be accompanied by a copy of that statutory section.

(c) When a meeting is adjourned to another time or place, notice need not be given of the adjourned meeting if the time and place, if any, thereof, and the means of remote communications, if any, by which stockholders and proxyholders may be deemed to be present in person and vote at such meeting, are announced at the meeting at which the adjournment is taken unless the adjournment is for more than thirty days, or unless after the adjournment a new record date is fixed for the adjourned meeting, in which event a notice of the adjourned meeting shall be given to each stockholder of record entitled to vote at the
meeting.

(d) Notice of the time, place and purpose of any meeting of stockholders may be waived in writing, either before or after such meeting, and to the extent permitted by law, will be waived by any stockholder by his attendance thereat, in person or by proxy. Any stockholder so waiving notice of such meeting shall be bound by the proceedings of any such meeting in all respects as if due notice thereof had been given.

(e) Unless and until voted, every proxy shall be revocable at the pleasure of the person who executed it or of his legal representatives or assigns, except in those cases where an irrevocable proxy permitted by statute has been given.

Section 5. Quorum and Voting.

(a) At all meetings of stockholders, except where otherwise provided by law, the Certificate of Incorporation, or these Bylaws, the presence, in person or by proxy duly authorized, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of stock entitled to vote shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. Shares, the voting of which at said meeting have been enjoined, or which for any reason cannot be lawfully voted at such meeting, shall not be counted to determine a quorum at said meeting. In the absence of a quorum, any meeting of stockholders may be adjourned, from time to time, by vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented thereat, but no other business shall be transacted at such meeting. At such adjourned meeting at which a quorum is present or represented, any business may be transacted which might have been transacted at the original meeting. The stockholders present at a duly called or convened meeting, at which a quorum is present, may continue to transact business until adjournment, notwithstanding the withdrawal of enough stockholders to leave less than a quorum.

(b) Except as otherwise provided by law, the Certificate of Incorporation or these Bylaws, all action taken by the holders of a majority of the voting power represented at any meeting at which a quorum is present shall be valid and binding upon the corporation.


(a) Except as otherwise provided by law, only persons in whose names shares entitled to vote stand on the stock records of the corporation on the record date for determining the stockholders entitled to vote at said meeting shall be entitled to vote at such meeting. Shares standing in the names of two or more persons shall be voted or represented in accordance with the determination of the majority of such persons, or, if only one of such persons is present in person or represented by proxy, such person shall have the right to vote such shares and such shares shall be deemed to be represented for the purpose of determining a quorum.

(b) Every person entitled to vote or execute consents shall have the right to do so either in person or by an agent or agents authorized by a written proxy executed by such person or his duly authorized agent, which proxy shall be filed with the Secretary of the corporation at or before the meeting at which it is to be used. Said proxy so appointed need not be a stockholder. No proxy shall be voted on after three years from its date unless the proxy provides for a longer period.

Section 7. List of Stockholders. The officer who has charge of the stock ledger of the corporation shall prepare and make, at least ten days before every meeting of stockholders, a complete list of the stockholders entitled to vote at said meeting, arranged in alphabetical order, showing the address of and the number of shares registered in the name of each stockholder. Nothing contained in Section 219 of the Delaware General Corporation Law shall require the corporation to include electronic mail addresses or other electronic contact information on such list. Such list shall be open to the examination of any stockholder, for any purpose germane to the meeting, for a period of at least ten days prior to the meeting, either (a) on a reasonably accessible electronic network, provided that the information required to gain access to such list is provided with the notification of the meeting, or (b) during ordinary business hours, at the principal place of business of the corporation. In the event that the corporation determines to make the list available on an electronic network, the corporation may take reasonable steps to ensure that such information is available only to stockholders of the corporation. If the meeting is to be held at a place, the list shall be produced and kept at the time and place of the meeting during the whole time thereof and may be inspected by any stockholder who is present. If the meeting is to be held solely by means of remote communication, the list shall also be open to the examination of any stockholder during the whole time of the meeting on a reasonably accessible electronic network, and the information required to access such list shall be provided with the notice of the meeting.

Section 8. Action Without Meeting.

(a) Unless otherwise provided in the Certificate of Incorporation, any action required by statute to be taken at any annual or special meeting of stockholders of the corporation, or any action which may be taken at any annual or special meeting of such stockholders, may be taken without a meeting, without prior notice and without a vote, if a consent or consents in writing, setting forth the action so taken, are signed by the holders of outstanding stock having not less than the minimum number of votes that would be necessary to authorize or take such action at a meeting at which all shares entitled to vote thereon were present and voted. To be effective, a written consent must be delivered to the corporation by delivery to its registered office in Delaware, its principal place of business, or an officer or agent of the corporation having custody of the book in which proceedings of meetings
of stockholders are recorded. Delivery made to the corporation’s registered office shall be by hand or by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested. Every written consent shall bear the date of signature of each stockholder who signs the consent and no written consent shall be effective to take the corporate action referred to therein unless, within sixty days of the earliest dated consent delivered in the manner required by this section to the corporation, written consents signed by a sufficient number of holders to take action are delivered to the corporation in accordance with this section.

(b) A telegram, cablegram or other electronic transmission consenting to an action to be taken and transmitted by a stockholder or proxyholder, or by a person or persons authorized to act for a stockholder or proxyholder, shall be deemed to be written, signed and dated for the purposes of this section, provided that any such telegram, cablegram or other electronic transmission sets forth or is delivered with information from which the corporation can determine (a) that the telegram, cablegram or other electronic transmission was transmitted by the stockholder or proxyholder or by a person or persons authorized to act for the stockholder or proxyholder, and (b) the date on which such stockholder or proxyholder or authorized person or persons transmitted such telegram, cablegram or electronic transmission. The date on which such telegram, cablegram or electronic transmission is transmitted shall be deemed to be the date on which such consent was signed. Except to the extent and in the manner authorized by the Board of Directors, no consent given by telegram, cablegram or other electronic transmission shall be deemed to have been delivered until such consent is reproduced in paper form and until such paper form shall be delivered to the corporation by delivery to its registered office in Delaware, its principal place of business or an officer or agent of the corporation having custody of the book in which proceedings of meetings of stockholders are recorded. Delivery made to the corporation’s registered office shall be made by hand or by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested.

(c) Any copy, facsimile or other reliable reproduction of a consent in writing may be substituted or used in lieu of the original writing for any and all purposes for which the original writing could be used, provided that such copy, facsimile or other reproduction shall be a complete reproduction of the entire original writing.

(d) Prompt notice of the taking of the corporate action without a meeting by less than unanimous written consent shall be given to those stockholders who have not consented in writing and who, if the action had been taken at a meeting, would have been entitled to notice of the meeting if the record date of such meeting had been the date that written consents signed by a sufficient number of stockholders to take the action were delivered to the corporation in the manner required by this section.


(a) Nominations of persons for election to the Board of Directors of the corporation and the proposal of business to be considered by the stockholders may be made at an annual meeting of stockholders (a) pursuant to the corporation’s notice of meeting, (b) by or at the direction of the Board of Directors, or (c) by any stockholder of the corporation who is a stockholder of record at the time of giving of notice provided for in this Section 9, who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who complied with the notice procedures set forth in this Section 9.

(b) For nominations or other business to be properly brought before an annual meeting by a stockholder pursuant to this Section 9, the stockholder must have given timely notice thereof in writing to the Secretary of the corporation, and such business must be a proper subject for stockholder action under the Delaware General Corporation Law. To be timely, a stockholder’s notice shall be delivered to the Secretary at the principal executive offices of the corporation (if delivered by electronic mail or facsimile, the stockholder’s notice shall be directed to the Secretary at the electronic mail address or facsimile number, as the case may be, specified in the company’s most recent proxy statement) not less than 45 days nor more than 120 days prior to the date on which the corporation first mailed its proxy materials for the prior year’s annual meeting of stockholders; provided, however, that in the event that the date of the annual meeting is advanced by more than 30 days or delayed (other than as a result of adjournment) by more than 30 days from the anniversary of the previous year’s annual meeting, notice by the stockholder to be timely must be delivered not later than the close of business on the later of the 60th day prior to such annual meeting or the 10th day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made. Such stockholder’s notice shall set forth (a) to each person whom the stockholder proposes to nominate for election or reelection as a director all information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors, or is otherwise required, in each case pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act (including such person’s written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a director if elected); (b) as to any other business that the stockholder proposes to bring before the meeting, a brief description of the business desired to be brought before the meeting, the reasons for conducting such business at the meeting and any material interest in such business of such stockholder and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the proposal is made; and (c) as to the stockholder giving the notice and the beneficial owners if any on whose behalf the nomination or proposal is made (i) the name and address of such stockholder, as they appear on the corporation’s books, and of such beneficial owner, and (ii) the class and number of shares of the corporation which are owned beneficially and of record by such stockholder and such beneficial owner.

(c) Notwithstanding anything in this Section 9 to the contrary, in the event that the number of directors to be elected to the Board of Directors of the corporation is increased and there is no public announcement specifying the size of the increased Board of Directors made by the corporation at least 70 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year’s annual meeting, a stockholder’s notice required by this Section 9 shall also be considered timely, but only with respect to nominees for any new positions created by such increase, if it shall be delivered to the Secretary at the principal executive offices of the corporation not
ARTICLE III

Directors

Section 1. Number and Term of Office. The number of directors which shall constitute the whole of the Board of Directors shall be eleven (11). With the exception of the first Board of Directors, which shall be elected by the incorporator, and except as provided in Section 3 of this Article III, the directors shall be elected by a plurality vote of the shares represented in person or by proxy, at the stockholders annual meeting in each year and entitled to vote on the election of directors. Elected directors shall hold office until the next annual meeting and until their successors shall be duly elected and qualified. Directors need not be stockholders. If, for any cause, the Board of Directors shall not have been elected at an annual meeting, they may be elected as soon thereafter as convenient at a special meeting of the stockholders called for that purpose in the manner provided in these Bylaws.

Section 2. Powers. The powers of the corporation shall be exercised, its business conducted and its property controlled by or under the direction of the Board of Directors.

Section 3. Vacancies. Vacancies and newly created directorships resulting from any increase in the authorized number of directors may be filled by a majority of the directors then in office, although less than a quorum, or by a sole remaining director, and each director so elected shall hold office for the unexpired portion of the term of the director whose place shall be vacant, and until his successor shall have been duly elected and qualified. A vacancy in the Board of Directors shall be deemed to exist under this section in the case of the death, removal or resignation of any director, or if the stockholders fail at any meeting of stockholders to elect directors, in the manner provided in Section 3 of this Article III, the directors shall be elected by a plurality vote of the shares represented in person or by proxy, at the stockholders annual meeting in each year and entitled to vote on the election of directors. Elected directors shall hold office until the next annual meeting and until their successors shall be duly elected and qualified. Directors need not be stockholders. If, for any cause, the Board of Directors shall not have been elected at an annual meeting, they may be elected as soon thereafter as convenient at a special meeting of the stockholders called for that purpose in the manner provided in these Bylaws.

Section 4. Resignations and Removals.

(a) Any director may resign at any time by delivering his written resignation to the Secretary, such resignation to specify whether it will be effective at a particular time, upon receipt by the Secretary or at the pleasure of the Board of Directors. If no such specification is made, it shall be deemed effective at the pleasure of the Board of Directors. When one or more directors shall resign from the Board, effective at a future date, a majority of the directors then in office, including those who have so resigned, shall have power to fill such vacancy or vacancies, the vote thereon to take effect when such resignation or resignations shall become effective, and each director so chosen shall hold office for the unexpired portion of the term of the
director whose place shall be vacated and until his successor shall have been duly elected and qualified.

(b) Except as provided in Section 141 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, at a special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose in the manner hereinabove provided, the Board of Directors, or any individual director, may be removed from office, with or without cause, and a new director or directors elected by a vote of stockholders holding a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote at an election of directors.

Section 5. Meetings.

(a) The annual meeting of the Board of Directors shall be held immediately after the annual stockholders’ meeting and at the place where such meeting is held or at the place announced by the Chairman at such meeting. No notice of an annual meeting of the Board of Directors shall be necessary and such meeting shall be held for the purpose of electing officers and transacting such other business as may lawfully come before it.

(b) Except as hereinafter otherwise provided, regular meetings of the Board of Directors shall be held in the office of the corporation required to be maintained pursuant to Section 2 of Article I hereof. Regular meetings of the Board of Directors may also be held at any place within or without the State of Delaware which has been designated by resolutions of the Board of Directors or the written consent of all directors. Notice of regular meetings of the directors is hereby dispensed with and no notice whatever of any such meetings need be given.

(c) Special meetings of the Board of Directors may be held at any time and place within or without the State of Delaware whenever called by the Chairman of the Board, the President or by any two of the directors.

(d) Written notice of the time and place of all special meetings of the Board of Directors shall be delivered to each director at least 24 hours before the start of the meeting, or if sent by first class mail, at least 72 hours before the start of the meeting. Notice of any meeting may be waived in writing at any time before or after the meeting and will be waived by any director by attendance thereat.

Section 6. Quorum and Voting.

(a) A quorum of the Board of Directors shall consist of a majority of the exact number of directors fixed from time to time in accordance with Section 1 of Article III of these Bylaws, but not less than one; provided, however, at any meeting whether a quorum be present or otherwise, a majority of the directors present may adjourn from time to time until the time fixed for the next regular meeting of the Board of Directors, without notice other than by announcement at the meeting.

(b) At each meeting of the Board at which a quorum is present, all questions and business shall be determined by a vote of a majority of the directors present, unless a different vote be required by law, the Certificate of Incorporation, or these Bylaws.

(c) Any member of the Board of Directors, or of any committee thereof, may participate in a meeting by means of conference telephone or other communication equipment by means of which all persons participating in the meeting can hear each other, and participation in a meeting by such means shall constitute presence in person at such meeting.

(d) The transactions of any meeting of the Board of Directors, or any committee thereof, however called or noticed, or wherever held, shall be as valid as though had at a meeting duly held after regular call and notice, if a quorum be present and if, either before or after the meeting, each of the directors not present shall deliver to the corporation a written waiver of notice, or a consent to holding such meeting, or an approval of the minutes thereof. All such waivers, consents or approvals shall be filed with the corporate records or made a part of the minutes of the meeting.

Section 7. Action Without Meeting. Unless otherwise restricted by the Certificate of Incorporation or these Bylaws, any action required or permitted to be taken at any meeting of the Board of Directors or of any committee thereof may be taken without a meeting, if all members of the Board or of such committee, as the case may be, consent thereto in writing, and such writing or writings are filed with the minutes of proceedings of the Board or committee. Such filing shall be in paper form if the minutes are maintained in paper form or shall be in electronic form if the minutes are maintained in electronic form.

Section 8. Fees and Compensation. Directors shall not receive any stated salary for their services as directors but by resolution of the Board, a fixed fee, with or without expense of attendance, may be allowed for attendance at each meeting and at each meeting of any committee of the Board of Directors. Nothing herein contained shall be construed to preclude any director from serving the corporation in any other capacity as an officer, agent, employee, or otherwise, and receiving compensation therefor.

Section 9. Committees.

(a) Executive Committee: The Board of Directors may appoint an Executive Committee of not less than one member, each of whom shall be a director. The Executive Committee, to the extent permitted by Delaware law, these Bylaws, the Executive Committee Charter or other resolutions of the Board of Directors, shall have and may exercise when the Board of
Directors. The Board of Directors or the Chairman of the Board or the President may also appoint one or more assistant
seniority of the Vice Presidents shall be in the order of their nomination, unless otherwise determined by the Board of
member of the Board of Directors, a President, one or more Vice Presidents, a Secretary, and a Treasurer. The order of the
Directors or a standing committee of the Board cannot readily be convened for action, then the director or directors in attendance
the Delaware General Corporation Law, or other similar emergency condition, as a result of which a quorum of the Board of
determining whether a quorum of the Board or the committee is present. Emeritus Directors shall receive no fee for their services
directed from time to time by resolution of such committee or by written consent of all members thereof, and may be called by
any director who is a member of such committee, upon written notice to the members of such committee of the time and place of
special meeting given in the manner provided for the giving of written notice to members of the Board of Directors of the
time and place of special meetings of the Board of Directors. Notice of any special meeting of any committee may be waived in
writing at any time before or after the meeting and will be waived by any director by attendance thereat. A majority of the
authorized number of members of any such committee shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business, and the act of a
majority of those present at any meeting at which a quorum is present shall be the act of such committee.

Section 10. Emeritus Director. The Board of Directors may, from time to time, elect one or more Emeritus Directors, each
of whom shall serve, at the pleasure of the Board, until the first meeting of the Board next following the Annual Meeting of
Stockholders and for a maximum period of 3 years, subject to an annual review, or until earlier resignation or removal by the
Board (except that founders of the company may remain as Emeritus Directors, subject to the annual review, or until earlier
resignation or removal by the Board). Emeritus Directors shall serve as advisors and consultants to the Board of Directors and
may be appointed by the Board to serve as advisors and consultants to committees of the Board. Emeritus Directors may be
invited to attend meetings of the Board or any committee of the Board for which they have been appointed to serve as advisors
and consultants and, if present, may participate in the discussions occurring during such meetings. Emeritus Directors shall not
be permitted to vote on matters brought before the Board or any committee thereof and shall not be counted for the purpose of
determining whether a quorum of the Board or the committee is present. Emeritus Directors shall receive no fee for their services
as Emeritus Directors. Emeritus Directors will not be entitled to receive reimbursement for expenses of meeting attendance,
except as approved by the Chairman of the Board. Emeritus Directors may be removed at any time by the Board of Directors.

Section 11: Emergency Bylaws. In the event of any emergency, disaster or catastrophe, as referred to in Section 110 of
the Delaware General Corporation Law, or other similar emergency condition, as a result of which a quorum of the Board of
Directors or a standing committee of the Board cannot readily be convened for action, then the director or directors in attendance
at the meeting shall constitute a quorum. Such director or directors in attendance may further take action to appoint one or more
of themselves or other directors to membership on any standing or temporary committees of the Board as they shall deem
necessary and appropriate.

ARTICLE IV

Officers

Section 1. Officers Designated. The officers of the corporation shall be a Chairman of the Board of Directors who shall be a
member of the Board of Directors, a President, one or more Vice Presidents, a Secretary, and a Treasurer. The order of the
seniority of the Vice Presidents shall be in the order of their nomination, unless otherwise determined by the Board of
Directors. The Board of Directors or the Chairman of the Board or the President may also appoint one or more assistant
secretaries, assistant treasurers, and such other officers and agents with such powers and duties as it or he or she shall deem necessary. The Board of Directors may assign such additional titles to one or more of the officers as they shall deem appropriate. Any one person may hold any number of offices of the corporation at any one time unless specifically prohibited therefrom by law. The salaries and other compensation of the officers of the corporation shall be fixed by or in the manner designated by the Board of Directors.

Section 2. Tenure and Duties of Officers

(a) General: All officers shall hold office at the pleasure of the Board of Directors and until their successors shall have been duly elected and qualified, unless sooner removed. Any officer elected or appointed by the Board of Directors may be removed at any time by the Board of Directors. If the office of any officer becomes vacant for any reason, the vacancy may be filled by the Board of Directors. Nothing in these Bylaws shall be construed as creating any kind of contractual right to employment with the corporation.

(b) Duties of the Chairman of the Board of Directors. The Chairman of the Board of Directors shall preside at all meetings of the stockholders and the Board of Directors. The Chairman of the Board of Directors shall perform such other duties and have such other powers as the Board of Directors shall designate from time to time.

(c) Duties of President. The President shall preside at all meetings of the stockholders and at all meetings of the Board of Directors, unless the Chairman of the Board of Directors has been appointed and is present. The President shall perform such other duties and have such other powers as the Board of Directors shall designate from time to time.

(d) Duties of Vice Presidents. The Vice Presidents, in the order of their seniority, may assume and perform the duties of the President in the absence or disability of the President or whenever the office of the President is vacant. The Vice President shall perform such other duties and have such other powers as the Board of Directors or the President shall designate from time to time.

(e) Duties of Secretary. The Secretary shall attend all meetings of the stockholders and of the Board of Directors and any committee thereof, and shall record all acts and proceedings thereof in the minute book of the corporation and shall keep the seal of the corporation in safe custody. The Secretary shall give notice, in conformity with these Bylaws, of all meetings of the stockholders, and of all meetings of the Board of Directors and any Committee thereof requiring notice. The Secretary shall perform such other duties and have such other powers as the Board of Directors shall designate from time to time. The President may direct any Assistant Secretary to assume and perform the duties of the Secretary in the absence or disability of the Secretary, and each Assistant Secretary shall perform such other duties and have such other powers as the Board of Directors or the President shall designate from time to time.

(f) Duties of Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. Each of the Chief Financial Officer and the Treasurer shall control, audit and arrange the financial affairs of the corporation, consistent with the responsibilities delegated to each of them by the corporation's President. The Chief Financial Officer or Treasurer, as the case may be, shall receive and deposit all monies belonging to the corporation and shall pay out the same only in such manner as the Board of Directors may from time to time determine, and shall perform such other further duties as the Board of Directors may require. It shall be the duty of the assistant treasurers to assist the Treasurer in the performance of the Treasurer's duties and generally to perform such other duties as may be delegated to them by the Board of Directors.

ARTICLE V

Execution of Corporate Instruments, and
Voting of Securities Owned by the Corporation

Section 1. Execution of Corporate Instruments.

(a) The Board of Directors may, in its discretion, determine the method and designate the signatory officer or officers, or other person or persons, to execute any corporate instrument or document, or to sign the corporate name without limitation, except where otherwise provided by law, and such execution or signature shall be binding upon the corporation.

(b) Unless otherwise specifically determined by the Board of Directors or otherwise required by law, formal contracts of the corporation, promissory notes, deeds of trust, mortgages and other evidences of indebtedness of the corporation, and other corporate instruments or documents requiring the corporate seal, and certificates of shares of stock owned by the corporation, shall be executed, signed or endorsed by the Chairman of the Board, the President, any Vice President or the Secretary. All other instruments and documents requiring the corporate signature, but not requiring the corporate seal, may be executed as aforesaid or in such other manner as may be directed by the Board of Directors.

(c) All checks and drafts drawn on banks or other depositaries on funds to the credit of the corporation, or in special accounts of the corporation, shall be signed by such person or persons as the Board of Directors shall authorize so to do.
Section 2. Voting of Securities Owned by Corporation. All stock and other securities of other corporations owned or held by the corporation for itself, or for other parties in any capacity, shall be voted, and all proxies with respect thereto shall be executed, by the person authorized so to do by resolution of the Board of Directors or, in the absence of such authorization, by the Chairman of the Board (if there be such an officer appointed), or by the President, or by any Vice President.

ARTICLE VI

Shares of Stock

Section 1. Form and Execution of Certificates. Certificates for the shares of stock of the corporation shall be in such form as is consistent with the Certificate of Incorporation and applicable law. Every holder of stock in the corporation shall be entitled to have a certificate signed by, or in the name of the corporation by, the Chairman of the Board (if there be such an officer appointed), or by the President or any Vice President and by the Treasurer or Assistant Treasurer or the Secretary or Assistant Secretary, certifying the number of shares owned by him in the corporation. Any or all of the signatures on the certificate may be a facsimile. In case any officer, transfer agent, or registrar who has signed or whose facsimile signature has been placed upon a certificate shall have ceased to be such officer, transfer agent, or registrar before such certificate is issued, it may be issued with the same effect as if he or she were such officer, transfer agent, or registrar at the date of issue. If the corporation shall be authorized to issue more than one class of stock or more than one series of any class, the powers, designations, preferences and relative, participating, optional or other special rights of each class of stock or series thereof and the qualifications, limitations or restrictions of such preferences and/or rights shall be set forth in full or summarized on the face or back of the certificate which the corporation shall issue to represent such class or series of stock, provided that, except as otherwise provided in Section 202 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, in lieu of the foregoing requirements, there may be set forth on the face or back of the certificate which the corporation shall issue to represent such class or series of stock, a statement that the corporation will furnish without charge to each stockholder who so requests the powers, designations, preferences and relative, participating, optional or other special rights of each class of stock or series thereof and the qualifications, limitations or restrictions of such preferences and/or rights.

Section 2. Lost Certificates. The Board of Directors may direct a new certificate or certificates to be issued in place of any certificate or certificates theretofore issued by the corporation alleged to have been lost or destroyed, upon the making of an affidavit of that fact by the person claiming the certificate of stock to be lost or destroyed. When authorizing such issue of a new certificate or certificates, the Board of Directors may, in its discretion and as a condition precedent to the issuance thereof, require the owner of such lost or destroyed certificate or certificates, or his legal representative, to indemnify the corporation in such manner as it shall require and/or to give the corporation a surety bond in such form and amount as it may direct as indemnity against any claim that may be made against the corporation with respect to the certificate alleged to have been lost or destroyed.

Section 3. Transfers. Transfers of record of shares of stock of the corporation shall be made only upon its books by the holders thereof, in person or by attorney duly authorized, and upon the surrender of a certificate or certificates for a like number of shares, properly endorsed.

Section 4. Fixing Record Dates.

(a) In order that the corporation may determine the stockholders entitled to notice of or to vote at any meeting of stockholders or any adjournment thereof, the Board of Directors may fix a record date, which record date shall not precede the date upon which the resolution fixing the record date is adopted by the Board of Directors, and which record date shall not be more than sixty nor less than ten days before the date of such meeting. If no record date is fixed by the Board of Directors, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to notice of or to vote at a meeting of stockholders shall be at the close of business on the day next preceding the day on which notice is given, or, if notice is waived, at the close of business on the day next preceding the date on which the meeting is held. A determination of stockholders of record entitled to notice of or to vote at a meeting of stockholders shall apply to any adjournment of the meeting; provided, however, that the Board of Directors may fix a new record date for the adjourned meeting.

(b) In order that the corporation may determine the stockholders entitled to consent to corporate action in writing without a meeting, the Board of Directors may fix a record date, which record date shall not precede the date upon which the resolution fixing the record date is adopted by the Board of Directors, and which date shall not be more than ten days after the date upon which the resolution fixing the record date is adopted by the Board of Directors. If no record date has been fixed by the Board of Directors, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to consent to corporate action in writing without a meeting shall be at the close of business on the day on which the Board of Directors adopts
(c) In order that the corporation may determine the stockholders entitled to receive payment of any dividend or other distribution or allotment of any rights or the stockholders entitled to exercise any rights in respect of any change, conversion or exchange of stock, or for the purpose of any other lawful action, the Board of Directors may fix a record date, which record date shall not precede the date upon which the resolution fixing the record date is adopted, and which record date shall be not more than sixty days prior to such action. If no record date is fixed, the record date for determining stockholders for any such purpose shall be at the close of business on the day on which the Board of Directors adopts the resolution relating thereto.

Section 5. Registered Stockholders. The corporation shall be entitled to recognize the exclusive right of a person registered on its books as the owner of shares to receive dividends, and to vote as such owner, and shall not be bound to recognize any equitable or other claim to or interest in such share or shares on the part of any other person, whether or not it shall have express or other notice thereof, except as otherwise provided by the laws of Delaware.

ARTICLE VII
Other Securities of the Corporation

All bonds, debentures and other corporate securities of the corporation, other than stock certificates, may be signed by the Chairman of the Board or the President or any Vice President or such other person as may be authorized by the Board of Directors and the corporate seal impressed thereon or a facsimile of such seal imprinted thereon and attested by the signature of the Secretary or an Assistant Secretary, or the Treasurer or an Assistant Treasurer; provided, however, that where any such bond, debenture or other corporate security shall be authenticated by the manual signature of a trustee under an indenture pursuant to which such bond, debenture or other corporate security shall be issued, the signature of the persons signing and attesting the corporate seal on such bond, debenture or other corporate security may be the imprinted facsimile of the signatures of such persons. Interest coupons appertaining to any such bond, debenture or other corporate security, authenticated by a trustee as aforesaid, shall be signed by the Treasurer or Assistant Treasurer of the corporation, or such other person as may be authorized by the Board of Directors, or bear imprinted thereon the facsimile signature of such person. In case any officer who shall have signed or attested any bond, debenture or other corporate security, or whose facsimile signature shall appear thereon shall have ceased to be such officer of the corporation before the bond, debenture or other corporate security so signed or attested shall have been delivered, such bond, debenture or other corporate security nevertheless may be adopted by the corporation and issued and delivered as though the person who signed the same or whose facsimile signature shall have been used thereon had not ceased to be such officer of the corporation.

ARTICLE VIII
Corporate Seal

The corporation shall have a common seal, upon which shall be inscribed:

"Intel Corporation
Incorporated March 1, 1989
Delaware"

In the event the corporation changes its name, the corporate seal shall be changed to reflect such new name.

ARTICLE IX
Indemnification of Officers, Directors, Employees and Agents

Section 1. Right to Indemnification. Each person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to or is involved (as a party, witness, or otherwise), in any threatened, pending, or completed action, suit, or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative, or investigative (hereinafter a "Proceeding"), by reason of the fact that he or she, or a person of whom he or she is the legal representative, is or was a director, officer, employee, or agent of the corporation or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee, or agent of another corporation or of a partnership, joint venture, trust, or other enterprise, including service with respect to employee benefit plans, whether the basis of the Proceeding is alleged action in an official capacity as a director, officer, employee, or agent or in any other capacity while serving as a director, officer, employee, or agent (hereafter an "Agent"), shall be indemnified and held harmless by the corporation to the fullest extent authorized by the Delaware General Corporation Law, as the same exists or may hereafter be amended or interpreted (but, in the case of any such amendment or interpretation, only to the extent that such amendment or interpretation permits the corporation to provide broader indemnification rights than were permitted prior thereto) against all expenses, liability, and loss (including attorneys' fees, judgments, fines, ERISA excise taxes or penalties, and amounts paid or to be paid in settlement, and any interest, assessments, or other charges imposed thereon, and any federal, state, local, or foreign taxes imposed on any Agent as a result of the actual or
Section 2. Authority to Advance Expenses. Expenses incurred by an officer or director (acting in his capacity as such) in defending a Proceeding shall be paid by the corporation in advance of the final disposition of such Proceeding, provided, however, that if required by the Delaware General Corporation Law, as amended, such Expenses shall be advanced only upon delivery to the corporation of an undertaking by or on behalf of such director or officer to repay such amount if it shall ultimately be determined that he or she is not entitled to be indemnified by the corporation as authorized in this Article or otherwise. Expenses incurred by other Agents of the corporation (or by the directors or officers not acting in their capacity as such, including service with respect to employee benefit plans) may be advanced upon such terms and conditions as the Board of Directors deems appropriate. Any obligation to reimburse the corporation for Expense advances shall be unsecured and no interest shall be charged thereon.

Section 3. Right of Claimant to Bring Suit. If a claim under Section 1 or 2 of this Article is not paid in full by the corporation within thirty (30) days after a written claim has been received by the corporation, the claimant may at any time thereafter bring suit against the corporation to recover the unpaid amount of the claim and, if successful in whole or in part, the claimant shall be entitled to be paid also the expense (including attorneys' fees) of prosecuting such claim. It shall be a defense to any such action (other than an action brought to enforce a claim for expenses incurred in defending a Proceeding in advance of its final disposition where the required undertaking has been tendered to the corporation) that the claimant has not met the standards of conduct that make it permissible under the Delaware General Corporation Law for the corporation to indemnify the claimant for the amount claimed. The burden of proving such a defense shall be on the corporation. Neither the failure of the corporation (including its Board of Directors, independent legal counsel, or its stockholders) to have made a determination prior to the commencement of such action that indemnification of the claimant is proper under the circumstances because he or she has met the applicable standard of conduct set forth in the Delaware General Corporation Law, nor an actual determination by the corporation (including its Board of Directors, independent legal counsel, or its stockholders) that the claimant had not met such applicable standard of conduct, shall be a defense to the action or create a presumption that the claimant has not met the applicable standard of conduct.

Section 4. Provisions Nonexclusive. The rights conferred on any person by this Article shall not be exclusive of any other rights that such person may have or hereafter acquire under any statute, provision of the Certificate of Incorporation, agreement, vote of stockholders or disinterested directors, or otherwise, both as to action in an official capacity and as to action in another capacity while holding such office. To the extent that any provision of the Certificate, agreement, or vote of the stockholders or disinterested directors is inconsistent with these Bylaws, the provision, agreement, or vote shall take precedence.

Section 5. Authority to Insure. The corporation may purchase and maintain insurance to protect itself and any Agent against any Expense, whether or not the corporation would have the power to indemnify the Agent against such Expense under applicable law or the provisions of this Article.

Section 6. Survival of Rights. The rights provided by this Article shall continue as to a person who has ceased to be an Agent and shall inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors, and administrators of such a person.

Section 7. Settlement of Claims. The corporation shall not be liable to indemnify any Agent under this Article (a) for any amounts paid in settlement of any action or claim effected without the corporation's written consent, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld; or (b) for any judicial award if the corporation was not given a reasonable and timely opportunity, at its expense, to participate in the defense of such action.

Section 8. Effect of Amendment. Any amendment, repeal, or modification of this Article shall not adversely affect any right or protection of any Agent existing at the time of such amendment, repeal, or modification.

Section 9. Subrogation. In the event of payment under this Article, the corporation shall be subrogated to the extent of such payment to all of the rights of recovery of the Agent, who shall execute all papers required and shall do everything that may be necessary to secure such rights, including the execution of such documents necessary to enable the corporation effectively to bring suit to enforce such rights.

Section 10. No Duplication of Payments. The corporation shall not be liable under this Article to make any payment in connection with any claim made against the Agent to the extent the Agent has otherwise actually received payment (under any insurance policy, agreement, vote, or otherwise) of the amounts otherwise indemnifiable hereunder.
ARTICLE X

Notices

(a) Whenever, under any provisions of these Bylaws, notice is required to be given to any stockholder, the same shall be
given in writing, either (a) timely and duly deposited in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, and addressed to the
stockholder's last known post office address as shown by the stock record of the corporation or its transfer agent or (b) by a form
of electronic transmission consented to by the stockholder to whom the notice is given, except to the extent prohibited by Section
232(e) of the Delaware General Corporation Law. Any consent to receive notice by electronic transmission shall be revocable by
the stockholder by written notice to the corporation. Any such consent shall be deemed revoked if (i) the corporation is unable to
deliver by electronic transmission two consecutive notices given by the corporation in accordance with such consent and (ii) such
inability becomes known to the Secretary or an Assistant Secretary of the corporation or to the transfer agent, or other person
responsible for the giving of notice; provided, however, the inadvertent failure to treat such inability as a revocation shall not
invalidate any meeting or other action.

(b) Any notice required to be given to any director may be given by the method hereinabove stated. Any such notice, other
than one which is delivered personally, shall be sent to such post office address, facsimile number or electronic mail address as
such director shall have filed in writing with the Secretary of the corporation, or, in the absence of such filing, to the last known
post office address of such director. It shall not be necessary that the same method of giving notice be employed in respect of all
directors, but one permissible method may be employed in respect of any one or more, and any other permissible method or
methods may be employed in respect of any other or others.

(c) If no post office address of a stockholder or director be known, such notice may be sent to the office of the corporation
required to be maintained pursuant to Section 2 of Article I hereof. An affidavit executed by a duly authorized and competent
employee of the corporation or the transfer agent or other agent of the corporation appointed with respect to the class of stock
affected, specifying the name and post office address or the names and post office addresses of the stockholder or stockholders,
director or directors, to whom any such notice or notices was or were given, and the time and method of giving the same (or, for
any stockholder or director to whom notice has been directed by electronic transmission, the form of electronic transmission and
the facsimile number, electronic mail address or other location to which such notice was directed and the time at which such
notice was directed to each such director or stockholder), shall be prima facie evidence of the statements therein contained.

(d) All notices given by mail, as above provided, shall be deemed to have been given as at the time of mailing. All notices
given to stockholders by a form of electronic transmission, as above provided, shall be deemed to have been given: (a) if by
facsimile, when directed to a number at which the stockholder has consented to receive notice; (b) if by electronic mail, when
directed to an electronic mail address at which the stockholder has consented to receive notice; (c) if by a posting on an electronic
network together with separate notice to the stockholder of such specific posting, upon the later of (i) such posting and (ii) the
giving of such separate notice; and (d) if by any other form of electronic transmission, when directed to the stockholder. All
notices given to directors by a form of electronic transmission, as above provided, shall be deemed to have been given when
directed to the electronic mail address, facsimile number, or other location filed in writing by the director with the Secretary of the
corporation.

(e) The period or limitation of time within which any stockholder may exercise any option or right, or enjoy any privilege or
benefit, or be required to act, or within which any director may exercise any power or right, or enjoy any privilege, pursuant to any
notice sent him in the manner above provided, shall not be affected or extended in any manner by the failure of such a
stockholder or such director to receive such notice.

(f) Whenever any notice is required to be given under the provisions of the statutes or of the Certificate of Incorporation, or
of these Bylaws, a waiver thereof in writing given by the person or persons entitled to said notice, whether before or after the time
stated therein, shall be deemed equivalent thereto.

(g) Whenever notice is required to be given, under any provision of law or of the Certificate of Incorporation or Bylaws of the
corporation, to any person with whom communication is unlawful, the giving of such notice to such person shall not be
required and there shall be no duty to apply to any governmental authority or agency for a license or permit to give such notice to
such person. Any action or meeting which shall be taken or held without notice to any such person with whom communication is
unlawful shall have the same force and effect as if such notice had been duly given. In the event that the action taken by the
corporation is such as to require the filing of a certificate under any provision of the Delaware General Corporation Law, the
certificate shall state, if such is the fact and if notice is required, that notice was given to all persons entitled to receive notice
except such persons with whom communication is unlawful.

(h) Whenever notice is to be given to the corporation by a stockholder under any provision of law or of the Certificate of
Incorporation or Bylaws of the corporation, such notice shall be delivered to the Secretary at the principal executive offices of the
corporation. If delivered by electronic mail or facsimile, the stockholder's notice shall be directed to the Secretary at the electronic
mail address or facsimile number, as the case may be, specified in the company's most recent proxy statement.

ARTICLE XI
Amendments

Unless otherwise provided in the Certificate of Incorporation, these Bylaws may be repealed, altered or amended or new Bylaws adopted by written consent of the stockholders in the manner authorized by Section 8 of Article II, or at any meeting of the stockholders, either annual or special, by the affirmative vote of a majority of the stock entitled to vote at such meeting. The Board of Directors shall also have the authority to repeal, alter or amend these Bylaws or adopt new Bylaws (including, without limitation, the amendment of any Bylaws setting forth the number of directors who shall constitute the whole Board of Directors) by unanimous written consent or at any annual, regular, or special meeting by the affirmative vote of a majority of the whole number of directors, subject to the power of the stockholders to change or repeal such Bylaws and provided that the Board of Directors shall not make or alter any Bylaws fixing the qualifications, classifications, term of office or compensation of directors.

ARTICLE XII

Electronic Transmission

When used in these Bylaws, the terms "written" and "in writing" shall include any "electronic transmission," as defined in Section 232(c) of the Delaware General Corporation Law, including without limitation any telegram, cablegram, facsimile transmission and communication by electronic mail.