

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 28, 2003.

OR

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 0-6217

INTEL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

94-1672743

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

95052-8119

(Zip Code)

(408) 765-8080

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address, and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Shares outstanding of the Registrant's common stock:

Class	Outstanding at July 25, 2003
Common stock, \$0.001 par value	6,510 million

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

INTEL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002
Net revenue	\$ 6,816	\$ 6,319	\$ 13,567	\$ 13,100
Cost of sales	3,348	3,350	6,587	6,651
Gross margin	3,468	2,969	6,980	6,449
Research and development	1,029	1,024	2,048	2,006
Marketing, general and administrative	1,079	1,063	2,097	2,135
Amortization and impairment of acquisition-related intangibles and costs	84	229	168	340
Purchased in-process research and development	—	14	—	14
Operating expenses	2,192	2,330	4,313	4,495
Operating income	1,276	639	2,667	1,954
Losses on equity securities, net	(58)	(59)	(185)	(105)
Interest and other, net	53	43	105	91
Income before taxes	1,271	623	2,587	1,940
Provision for taxes	375	177	776	558

Net income	\$ 896	\$ 446	\$ 1,811	\$ 1,382
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.21
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.20
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.04
Weighted average common shares outstanding	6,525	6,677	6,540	6,681
Weighted average common shares outstanding, assuming dilution	6,580	6,803	6,595	6,832

See accompanying notes.

2

INTEL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

(In Millions)	June 28, 2003	Dec. 28, 2002
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,382	\$ 7,404
Short-term investments	2,820	3,382
Trading assets	2,434	1,801
Accounts receivable, net	2,850	2,574
Inventories	2,152	2,276
Deferred tax assets	1,138	1,136
Other current assets	326	352
Total current assets	20,102	18,925
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$20,634 (\$19,065 at December 28, 2002)	17,292	17,847
Long-term investments	1,282	1,234
Goodwill	4,314	4,330
Other assets	1,475	1,888
Total assets	\$ 44,465	\$ 44,224
Liabilities and stockholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term debt	\$ 385	\$ 436
Accounts payable	1,603	1,543
Accrued compensation and benefits	1,079	1,287
Accrued advertising	631	622
Deferred income on shipments to distributors	598	475
Other accrued liabilities	941	1,075
Income taxes payable	1,462	1,157
Total current liabilities	6,699	6,595
Long-term debt	914	929
Deferred tax liabilities	1,362	1,232
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock	—	—
Common stock and capital in excess of par value	6,733	7,641
Acquisition-related unearned stock compensation	(37)	(63)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	65	43
Retained earnings	28,729	27,847
Total stockholders' equity	35,490	35,468
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 44,465	\$ 44,224

See accompanying notes.

3

INTEL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

(In Millions)	Six Months Ended	
	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	\$ 7,404	\$ 7,970
Cash flows provided by (used for) operating activities:		
Net income	1,811	1,382
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	2,307	2,296
Amortization and impairment of intangibles and other acquisition-related costs	232	394
Purchased in-process research and development	—	14
Losses on equity securities, net	185	105
Net loss on retirements and impairments of property, plant and equipment	124	195

Deferred taxes	116	229
Tax benefit from employee stock plans	85	188
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Trading assets	(470)	(225)
Accounts receivable	(279)	(300)
Inventories	123	(252)
Accounts payable	60	(111)
Accrued compensation and benefits	(203)	(287)
Income taxes payable	302	(328)
Other assets and liabilities	(34)	(83)
Total adjustments	2,548	1,835
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,359	3,217
Cash flows provided by (used for) investing activities:		
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(1,877)	(2,545)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	—	(50)
Purchases of available-for-sale investments	(3,647)	(2,956)
Maturities and sales of available-for-sale investments	4,256	2,474
Other investing activities	(11)	(179)
Net cash used for investing activities	(1,279)	(3,256)
Cash flows provided by (used for) financing activities:		
Decrease in short-term debt, net	(180)	(32)
Payments of long-term debt	(4)	(2)
Additions to long-term debt	—	35
Proceeds from sales of shares through employee stock plans and other	353	411
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(2,009)	(2,007)
Payment of dividends to stockholders	(262)	(268)
Net cash used for financing activities	(2,102)	(1,863)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	978	(1,902)
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 8,382	\$ 6,068
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 14	\$ 26
Income taxes	\$ 270	\$ 428

See accompanying notes.

INTEL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Unaudited

Note 1: Basis of Presentation

The accompanying interim consolidated condensed financial statements of Intel Corporation have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S.), consistent in all material respects with those applied in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 28, 2002. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. The accounting estimates that require management's most difficult and subjective judgments include: the assessment of recoverability of goodwill and property, plant, and equipment; the valuation of non-marketable equity securities and inventory; and the recognition and measurement of income tax assets and liabilities. The actual results experienced by the company may differ materially from management's estimates.

The interim financial information is unaudited, but reflects all normal adjustments, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to provide a fair statement of results for the interim periods presented. The interim financial statements should be read in connection with the financial statements in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 28, 2002.

Note 2: Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities." FIN 46 requires an investor with a majority of the variable interests (primary beneficiary) in a variable interest entity (VIE) to consolidate the entity and also requires majority and significant variable interest investors to provide certain disclosures. A VIE is an entity in which the voting equity investors do not have a controlling interest, or the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance the entity's activities without receiving additional subordinated financial support from other parties. For arrangements entered into with VIEs created prior to January 31, 2003, the provisions of FIN 46 are required to be adopted at the beginning of the first interim or annual period beginning after June 15, 2003. The provisions of FIN 46 were effective immediately for all arrangements entered into with new VIEs created after January 31, 2003.

For the promotion of strategic business objectives, Intel invests in and enters into arrangements with entities which may be VIEs. Intel is currently performing a review of its investments in both non-marketable and marketable securities as well as other arrangements to determine whether Intel is the primary beneficiary of any of the related entities. To date, the review has not identified any entity that would require consolidation. Intel expects to complete the review in the third quarter of 2003. Provided that Intel is not the primary beneficiary, the maximum exposure to losses related to any entity that may be determined to be a VIE is limited to the carrying amount of the investment in the entity.

Note 3: Employee Stock Options

The company has a stock option plan under which officers, key employees and non-employee directors may be granted options to purchase shares of the company's authorized but unissued common stock. The company also has a broad-based stock option plan under which stock options may be granted to all employees other than officers and directors. As of June 28, 2003, substantially all of our employees were participating in one of the stock option plans. The company's Executive Long-Term Stock Option Plan, under which certain key employees, including officers, were granted stock options, terminated in 1998. No further grants may be made under this plan, although options granted prior to the termination may remain outstanding. Under all of the plans, the option exercise price is equal to the fair market value of Intel common stock at the date of grant. In prior years, Intel also assumed the stock option plans and the outstanding options of certain acquired companies. No additional stock grants will be granted under these assumed plans. Options granted by Intel currently expire no later than 10 years from the grant date. Currently, options granted to existing and newly hired employees generally vest in increments over four or five years from the date of grant, and certain grants to key employees have delayed vesting generally beginning six years from the date of grant. In addition to the stock option plans, the company also has a Stock Participation Plan, under which eligible employees may purchase shares of Intel's common

In December 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation – Transition and Disclosure, an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 123" (SFAS No. 148). SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for companies making a voluntary change to fair value-based accounting for stock-based employee compensation. Intel continues to account for its stock option plans under the intrinsic value recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related Interpretations. Effective for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002, SFAS No. 148 also requires disclosure of pro-forma results on a quarterly basis as if the company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123.

As the exercise price of all options granted under these plans was equal to the market price of the underlying common stock on the grant date, no stock-based employee compensation, other than acquisition-related compensation, is recognized in net income. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended, to options granted under the stock option plans and rights to acquire stock granted under the company's Stock Participation Plan, collectively called "options." For purposes of this pro-forma disclosure, the value of the options is estimated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model and amortized ratably to expense over the options' vesting periods. Because the estimated value is determined as of the date of grant, the actual value ultimately realized by the employee may be significantly different.

(In Millions—Except Per Share Amounts)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002
Net income, as reported	\$ 896	\$ 446	\$ 1,811	\$ 1,382
Less: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value method for all options, net of tax	290	309	588	596
Pro-forma net income	\$ 606	\$ 137	\$ 1,223	\$ 786
Reported basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.21
Reported diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.20
Pro-forma basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.12
Pro-forma diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.12

SFAS No. 123 requires the use of option pricing models that were not developed for use in valuing employee stock options. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of short-lived exchange traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option-pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the option's expected life and the price volatility of the underlying stock. Because the company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in the opinion of management, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of employee stock options.

The weighted average estimated value of employee stock options granted during the second quarter of 2003 was \$8.02 (\$14.46 for the second quarter of 2002), and for the first half of 2003 was \$8.07 (\$14.64 for the first half of 2002). The values were estimated at the date of grant using the following weighted average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002
Expected life (in years)	4.0	6.0	4.2	6.0
Risk free interest rate	2.0%	3.7%	2.1%	3.7%
Volatility	.55	.49	.55	.49
Dividend yield	.4%	.3%	.4%	.3%

An analysis of historical information is used to determine the assumptions used, to the extent that historical information is relevant, based on the terms of the grants being issued in any given period. The expected life for options granted in the first six months of 2003 reflects options granted to existing employees that now normally vest ratably over four years from the date of grant. Options granted to existing employees during the first six months of 2002 generally vest five years from the date of grant.

Under the Stock Participation Plan, shares are only granted during the first and third quarter of each year. The estimated value of shares granted under the Stock Participation Plan during the first quarter of 2003 was \$5.19 (\$9.38 during the first quarter of 2002). The value of shares granted during the first quarter of 2003 and 2002 was estimated at the date of grant using the following assumptions:

	2003	2002
Expected life (in years)	0.5	0.5
Risk free interest rate	1.2%	1.8%
Volatility	.54	.50
Dividend yield	.4%	.3%

Additional information with respect to stock option plan activity is as follows:

(Shares in Millions)	Shares Available for Options	Outstanding Options	
		Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
December 29, 2001	1,054.6	768.5	\$ 25.33
Supplemental grant	(118.1)	118.1	\$ 20.23
Other grants	(55.5)	55.5	\$ 25.43
Exercises	—	(51.4)	\$ 6.79
Cancellations	40.8	(45.3)	\$ 33.56
December 28, 2002	921.8	845.4	\$ 25.31
Grants	(94.6)	94.6	\$ 18.52
Exercises	—	(31.2)	\$ 5.73

Cancellations	25.0	(26.0)	\$	29.66
June 28, 2003	852.2	882.8	\$	25.15

Under the Stock Participation Plan, 97.5 million shares remained available for issuance at June 28, 2003 out of 944 million shares authorized. Employees purchased 12.2 million shares for \$175 million in the first quarter of 2003 (7.1 million shares for \$179 million in the first quarter of 2002). The next scheduled purchase under the Stock Participation Plan is in the third quarter of 2003.

Note 4: Earnings Per Share

The shares used in the computation of the company's basic and diluted earnings per common share are as follows:

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002
Weighted average common shares outstanding	6,525	6,677	6,540	6,681
Dilutive effect of employee stock options	55	126	55	151
Weighted average common shares outstanding, assuming dilution	6,580	6,803	6,595	6,832

Weighted average common shares outstanding, assuming dilution, include the incremental shares that would be issued upon the assumed exercise of stock options. For the second quarter of 2003, approximately 563 million of the company's stock options outstanding (622 million for the first half of 2003) were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise prices of the stock options were equal to or greater than the average share price of the common shares, and therefore their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. These options could be dilutive in the future. For the second quarter of 2002, 248 million of the company's stock options outstanding (200 million for the first half of 2002) were excluded from the calculation.

8

Note 5: Common Stock Repurchase Program

During the second quarter of 2003, the company repurchased 51.8 million shares of common stock under the company's authorized repurchase program at a cost of \$1.0 billion (114.4 million shares for \$2.0 billion for the first half of 2003). Since the program began in 1990, the company has repurchased and retired approximately 1.8 billion shares at a cost of approximately \$32 billion. As of June 28, 2003, approximately 475 million shares remained available for repurchase under the existing repurchase authorization.

Note 6: Trading Assets

Trading assets at fair value at the end of each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	June 28, 2003	Dec. 28, 2002
Fixed income instruments	\$ 2,162	\$ 1,460
Equity securities	—	98
Equity securities offsetting deferred compensation	272	243
Total	\$ 2,434	\$ 1,801

Note 7: Inventories

Inventories at the end of each period were as follows:

(In Millions)	June 28, 2003	Dec. 28, 2002
Raw materials	\$ 245	\$ 223
Work in process	1,315	1,365
Finished goods	592	688
Total	\$ 2,152	\$ 2,276

Note 8: Losses on Equity Securities, Net

Net losses on investments in equity securities and certain equity derivatives were \$58 million for the second quarter of 2003 and \$185 million for the first half of 2003 (\$59 million for the second quarter of 2002 and \$105 million for the first half of 2002). These losses included impairments of non-marketable equity securities of approximately \$64 million for the second quarter of 2003 and \$204 million for the first half of 2003 (\$67 million for the second quarter of 2002 and \$264 million for the first half of 2002).

Note 9: Interest and Other, Net

Interest and other, net included:

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002
Interest income	\$ 67	\$ 81	\$ 135	\$ 160
Interest expense	(18)	(25)	(32)	(49)
Other, net	4	(13)	2	(20)
Total	\$ 53	\$ 43	\$ 105	\$ 91

9

Note 10: Goodwill

During the first half of 2003, no goodwill was recognized as a result of acquisitions. Goodwill by operating segment was as follows:

(In Millions)	Intel Communications Group	Wireless Communications and Computing Group	Intel Architecture Business	All Other	Total
December 28, 2002	\$ 3,644	\$ 611	\$ 69	\$ 6	\$ 4,330
Other adjustments	(8)	—	(2)	(6)	(16)
June 28, 2003	\$ 3,636	\$ 611	\$ 67	\$ —	\$ 4,314

During the second quarter of 2003, the goodwill related to one of the company's small seed businesses, included in the "all other" category, was impaired. The charge is included in marketing, general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statement of income. Seed businesses are businesses that support the company's initiatives. Also, goodwill in the Intel Communications Group (ICG) operating segment decreased primarily as a result of a small divestiture in the second quarter of 2003.

Note 11: Identified Intangible Assets

During the first half of 2003, no significant identified intangible assets were acquired and no identified intangible assets were impaired. Identified intangible assets as of June 28, 2003 consisted of the following:

(In Millions)	Gross Assets	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Acquisition-related developed technology	\$ 1,125	\$ (831)	\$ 294
Other acquisition-related intangibles	74	(60)	14
Intellectual property assets	716	(358)	358
Total identified intangible assets	\$ 1,915	\$ (1,249)	\$ 666

Identified intangible assets as of December 28, 2002 consisted of the following:

(In Millions)	Gross Assets	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Acquisition-related developed technology	\$ 1,125	\$ (727)	\$ 398
Other acquisition-related intangibles	74	(52)	22
Intellectual property assets	750	(336)	414
Total identified intangible assets	\$ 1,949	\$ (1,115)	\$ 834

Other acquisition-related intangibles include items such as trademarks and customer lists. Intellectual property assets primarily represent acquired technology licenses. Identified intangible assets are classified within other assets on the balance sheet.

10

All of the company's identified intangible assets are subject to amortization. Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs included the following:

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002
Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles	\$ 56	\$ 65	\$ 112	\$ 130
Impairment of acquisition-related intangibles	—	112	—	112
Amortization of acquisition-related unearned stock compensation	11	28	22	54
Other acquisition-related costs	17	24	34	44
Total	\$ 84	\$ 229	\$ 168	\$ 340

The impairment of acquisition-related intangibles in the second quarter of 2002 consists of developed technology and other acquisition-related intangibles, primarily related to the previous acquisition of Xircom, Inc. The amount of the impairment was determined using a fair-value approach based on discounted future cash flows. Other acquisition-related costs include the amortization of deferred cash payments that represent contingent compensation to employees related to previous acquisitions. The compensation is being recognized over the period earned.

Amortization of intellectual property assets was \$29 million for the second quarter of 2003 and \$64 million for the first half of 2003 (\$30 million for the second quarter of 2002 and \$54 million for the first half of 2002).

Based on identified intangible assets recorded at June 28, 2003, and assuming no subsequent impairment of the underlying assets, the annual amortization expense, excluding acquisition-related stock compensation and other acquisition-related costs, is expected to be as follows:

(In Millions)	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Acquisition-related intangibles	\$ 199	\$ 122	\$ 82	\$ 17	\$ —
Intellectual property assets	\$ 113	\$ 87	\$ 70	\$ 56	\$ 24

Note 12: Acquisition-Related Unearned Stock Compensation

Acquisition-related unearned stock compensation includes the portion of the purchase consideration related to shares issued contingent upon the continued employment of selected employee stockholders, and/or the completion of specified milestones. The unearned stock-based compensation also includes the intrinsic value of stock options assumed in acquisitions that is earned as the employees provide future services. The compensation is being recognized over the period earned, and the expense is included in the amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs. Amortization of unearned stock compensation was \$11 million for the second quarter of 2003 and \$22 million for the first half of 2003 (\$28 million for the second quarter of 2002 and \$54 million for the first half of 2002) related to acquisitions made in prior periods.

Note 13: Debt

The company's zero coupon senior exchangeable notes (Intel notes), with a total carrying amount of \$126 million as of June 28, 2003, are classified as short-term debt. The Intel notes are redeemable by Intel, provided specified market price criteria are met, through their maturity at February 1, 2004. The note holders have the right to exchange their Intel notes for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. convertible notes owned by Intel. The Intel note holders may exercise their exchange option on the Intel notes any time prior to January 12, 2004.

11

Facilities Financing Authority (the Authority). In July 2003, the company notified the bond trustee and the Authority of the company's intent to redeem bonds with a principal amount of \$80 million. The redemption will occur in September 2003. The remaining \$30 million of the bonds issued by the Authority and guaranteed by the company are adjustable or redeemable in December 2003. All of the bonds were classified as short-term debt as of June 28, 2003.

Note 14: Product Warranty

The company generally sells products with a limited warranty of product quality and a limited indemnification of customers against intellectual property infringement claims related to the company's products. The company accrues for known warranty and indemnification issues if a loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated, and accrues for estimated incurred but unidentified issues based on historical activity. The accrual and the related expense for known issues were not significant as of and for the second quarter and first half of 2003 and 2002. Due to product testing, the short time between product shipment and the detection and correction of product failures, and a low historical rate of payments on indemnification claims, the accrual for unidentified issues based on historical activity and the related expense were not significant as of and for the second quarter and first half of 2003 and 2002.

Note 15: Comprehensive Income

The components of other comprehensive income, net of tax, were as follows:

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002
Net income	\$ 896	\$ 446	\$ 1,811	\$ 1,382
Change in net unrealized gain on available-for-sale investments	16	(8)	11	(24)
Change in net unrealized gain on derivatives	6	20	11	17
	<u>\$ 918</u>	<u>\$ 458</u>	<u>\$ 1,833</u>	<u>\$ 1,375</u>

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, were as follows:

(In Millions)	June 28, 2003	Dec. 28, 2002
Accumulated net unrealized gain on available-for-sale investments	\$ 24	\$ 13
Accumulated net unrealized gain on derivatives	47	36
Accumulated minimum pension liability	(6)	(6)
Total accumulated other comprehensive income	<u>\$ 65</u>	<u>\$ 43</u>

Note 16: Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

As of June 28, 2003, the company had substantially completed the wind down of its Intel® Online Services Web hosting business. The company has recognized a related \$131 million in pre-tax charges to cost of sales, of which \$106 million was recorded in the second quarter of 2002 and the remainder was recorded in the first half of 2003 due to an increase in the estimate of assets that will no longer be utilized. Approximately \$123 million of the charges related to the impairment of the Web hosting business' assets, including leasehold improvements and server equipment. The amount of the impairment was determined based on discounted future cash flows and comparable market prices. The remaining \$8 million represented the accrual of lease and other exit-related costs. These charges were reflected in the "all other" category for segment reporting purposes. For both 2003 and 2002, the operating results of this business were not significant to the results of the company.

Note 17: Contingencies

Tax Matters

On August 4, 2003, in connection with the Internal Revenue Service's (IRS) regular examination of Intel's tax returns for the years 1999 and 2000, the company received notices of proposed adjustment relating to the tax benefit for export sales taken by the company. If the IRS ultimately prevails, the company's federal income tax liability for these years would increase by approximately \$600 million, plus interest. The IRS may make similar claims for years subsequent to 2000 in future audits.

The company disputes the proposed adjustments and intends to pursue this matter through applicable IRS and judicial procedures, as appropriate. Although the final resolution of the proposed adjustments is uncertain, based on currently available information, management believes that the ultimate outcome will not have a material adverse effect on the company's financial position, cash flows or overall trends in results of operations. In the event of an unfavorable resolution, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations of the period in which the matter is ultimately resolved.

Legal Proceedings

In November 2001, Broadcom Corporation filed suit against Intel in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The complaint alleged that certain Intel chipsets with integrated graphics infringe two Broadcom patents. A third patent relating to networking was dismissed from the case. The court granted Intel's motion to add counterclaims based on three related patents against Broadcom. In July 2003, the court granted various motions, including Intel's motions for summary judgment of non-infringement on both remaining Broadcom patents. In light of the court's granting of the motions, the parties have entered into an agreement pursuant to which they have jointly sought the dismissal of this case. The settlement is not expected to have a material impact on the company's results of operations or financial condition.

In 1997, Intergraph Corporation filed suit in Federal District Court in Alabama, generally alleging, among other claims, that Intel infringed certain Intergraph patents. In August 2001, Intergraph filed a second suit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, alleging that the Intel® Itanium® processor infringes two Intergraph microprocessor-related patents, and seeking an injunction and unspecified damages. In April 2002, Intel and Intergraph announced that they entered into a settlement agreement, pursuant to which they agreed to settle the Alabama lawsuit and dismiss it with prejudice.

In October 2002, the Texas court ruled that Intel infringed both patents at issue in that case and the Texas court has declined to reconsider its decision. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, Intel paid Intergraph \$150 million. Intel has appealed the trial court's decision, and if Intel prevails on appeal, no further payments will be due to Intergraph under the settlement agreement. However, if Intergraph prevails on either patent, the settlement agreement provides that Intel must pay Intergraph an additional \$100 million and will receive a license for the patents at issue in the case.

In May 2000, various plaintiffs filed a class action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 14d-10 in connection with Intel's acquisition of DSP Communications, Inc. The complaint alleged that Intel and CWC (Intel's wholly owned subsidiary at the time) agreed to pay certain DSP executives additional consideration of \$15.6 million not offered or paid to other stockholders. The alleged purpose of this payment to the insiders was to obtain DSP insiders' endorsement of Intel's tender offer in violation of the anti-discrimination provision of Section 14(d)(7) and Rule 14d-10. The plaintiffs sought unspecified damages for the class, and unspecified costs and expenses. In July 2002, the District Court granted Intel's motion for summary judgment, but in October 2002, the District Court vacated the summary judgment. In January 2003, the parties reached a settlement agreement, which was reviewed and approved by the court in June 2003. The settlement did not have a material impact on the company's results of operations or financial condition.

In September 2001, VIA Technologies, Inc. and Centaur Technology, Inc. sued Intel in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, alleging that the Intel® Pentium® 4 processor infringes a VIA microprocessor-related patent. In October 2001, Intel filed counterclaims against VIA, asserting that VIA's C3* microprocessors infringe Intel patents. In January 2002, VIA amended its complaint to allege that Intel's Pentium® II, Pentium® III, Celeron® and Pentium 4 processors infringe another patent. In August 2002, Intel added an additional claim that VIA's C3 microprocessors infringe an additional Intel patent, and VIA added an additional claim that Intel's Pentium III and Pentium 4 processors infringe another VIA patent. In April 2003, the parties entered into a settlement agreement, pursuant to which they agreed to dismiss with prejudice the claims and counterclaims in this lawsuit, and to dismiss all other pending legal claims between them in all jurisdictions. The confidential settlement agreement includes a patent cross-license agreement covering certain of each company's products, subject to certain terms and limitations. The settlement agreement did not have a material impact on the company's results of operations or financial condition.

In 2001, various plaintiffs filed five class action lawsuits against Intel alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These complaints were consolidated in an amended complaint filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. The lawsuit alleged that purchasers of Intel stock between July 19, 2000 and September 29, 2000 were misled by false and misleading statements by Intel and certain of its officers and directors concerning the company's business and financial condition. In July 2003, the court granted Intel's motion to dismiss the plaintiff's second amended complaint in its entirety with prejudice. In addition, various plaintiffs filed stockholder derivative complaints in California Superior Court and Delaware Chancery Court against the company's directors and certain officers, alleging that they mismanaged the company and otherwise breached their fiduciary obligations to the company. The plaintiffs in the California action filed the original and two successive amended complaints, and the California Superior Court sustained Intel's demurrers on each of these complaints. Following the court's dismissal without prejudice of these complaints, the plaintiffs notified the court and Intel in June 2003 that they would not file a fourth complaint and they signed a stipulation withdrawing their lawsuit with prejudice, which the court approved. The Delaware action remains pending and the complaint in that action seeks unspecified damages. The company disputes the plaintiffs' claims in the Delaware action and intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously.

In June 2002, various plaintiffs filed a lawsuit in the Third Judicial Circuit Court, Madison County, Illinois, against Intel, Hewlett Packard Co., HPDirect, Inc. and Gateway Inc., alleging that the defendants' advertisements and statements misled the public by suppressing and concealing the alleged material fact that systems that use the Intel Pentium 4 processor are less powerful and slower than systems using the Intel Pentium III processor and a competitor's processors. The plaintiffs claim that their lawsuit should be treated as a nationwide class action. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages, and attorney's fees and costs. The company disputes the plaintiffs' claims and intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously.

The company is currently a party to various claims and legal proceedings, including those noted above. If management believes that a loss arising from these actions is probable and can reasonably be estimated, the company records the amount of the loss, or the minimum estimated liability when the loss is estimated using a range and no point within the range is more probable than another. As additional information becomes available, any potential liability related to these actions is assessed and the estimates are revised, if necessary. Based on currently available information, management believes that the ultimate outcome of these actions, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the company's financial position or overall trends in results of operations. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling could include monetary damages or an injunction prohibiting Intel from selling one or more products. If an unfavorable ruling were to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations of the period in which the ruling occurs, or future periods.

Note 18: Operating Segment Information

The company reports three product-line operating segments: the Intel Architecture business, which is composed of the Desktop Platforms Group, the Mobile Platforms Group and the Enterprise Platforms Group; the Wireless Communications and Computing Group; and the Intel Communications Group.

The company's Executive Office consists of Chief Executive Officer (CEO) Craig R. Barrett and President and Chief Operating Officer (COO) Paul S. Otellini. The CEO and COO have joint responsibility as the Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM), as defined by SFAS No. 131. The CODM allocates resources to and assesses the performance of each operating segment using information about their revenue and operating profit before interest and taxes.

The Intel Architecture operating segment's products include microprocessors and related chipsets and motherboards. The Wireless Communications and Computing Group's products include flash memory, application processors, and cellular baseband chipsets for cellular handsets and handheld devices. The Intel Communications Group's products include Ethernet connectivity products, network processing components, embedded control chips (microcontrollers) and optical products.

In addition to these operating segments, the company has sales and marketing, manufacturing, finance and administration groups. Expenses of these groups are allocated to the operating segments and are included in the operating results reported below. Results of operations have been restated for certain reorganizations during the period. All prior period amounts have been restated to conform to the new presentation.

The "all other" category includes acquisition-related costs, including amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and in-process research and development. "All other" includes the results of operations of seed businesses that support the company's initiatives and the results of the Web hosting business, including the charges related to winding down this business. "All other" also includes certain corporate-level operating expenses, including a portion of profit-dependent bonus and other expenses not allocated to the operating segments.

Segment information is summarized as follows:

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002
Intel Architecture Business				
Revenue	\$ 5,833	\$ 5,213	\$ 11,593	\$ 10,981
Operating income	\$ 1,843	\$ 1,370	\$ 3,766	\$ 3,180
Wireless Communications and Computing Group				
Revenue	\$ 465	\$ 532	\$ 938	\$ 991
Operating loss	\$ (123)	\$ (98)	\$ (217)	\$ (166)
Intel Communications Group				
Revenue	\$ 508	\$ 536	\$ 1,011	\$ 1,054

Operating loss	\$	(143)	\$	(127)	\$	(283)	\$	(277)
All Other								
Revenue	\$	10	\$	38	\$	25	\$	74
Operating loss	\$	(301)	\$	(506)	\$	(599)	\$	(783)
Total								
Revenue	\$	6,816	\$	6,319	\$	13,567	\$	13,100
Operating income	\$	1,276	\$	639	\$	2,667	\$	1,954

16

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The "Strategy," "Critical Accounting Estimates" and "Outlook" sections contain a number of forward-looking statements, all of which are based on current expectations. Actual results may differ materially based on a variety of factors, including those discussed below under "Outlook." These statements do not reflect the potential impact of any mergers, acquisitions, divestitures or other business combinations that had not closed as of August 1, 2003.

Strategy

Our goal is to be the preeminent building block supplier to the worldwide Internet economy. Focusing on our core competencies in the design and manufacture of integrated circuits, as well as our expertise in digital computing and communications, we believe we are well positioned to drive the convergence of computing and communications through silicon integration. We focus on developing advanced technology solutions tailored to meet user requirements in specific settings, providing the features people want in their homes, at work and at play. We also provide key components for the networking and communications infrastructure needed to connect technology users. Each of our operating segments uses its core competencies, as well as key silicon architectures, to provide building blocks for technology solutions. The Intel Architecture business provides the advanced technologies to support the desktop, mobile and enterprise platforms. Our Wireless Communications and Computing Group (WCCG) focuses on component-level products and solutions for the wireless handheld communications and computing market segments. Finally, our Intel Communications Group (ICG) focuses on wired and wireless connectivity products and provides key components for networking and communications infrastructure devices.

All of our businesses operate in highly innovative environments characterized by continuing and rapid introduction of new products offering improved performance at lower prices. As part of our overall strategy, we use our core competencies, financial strength and global presence to compete vigorously in each relevant market segment. Our competition comes from established businesses as well as new entrants to the marketplace. With the trend toward convergence in computing and communications products, product offerings will likely cross over multiple categories, offering us new opportunities, but also resulting in more businesses that compete with us. Competition tends to increase pricing pressure on our products, which may mean that we must offer our products at lower prices than we had anticipated, resulting in lower profits. Because some of our competitors already have established products and product designs, it is inherently difficult for us to compete against them. In addition, certain market segments in which we compete, such as networking and telecommunications products, have experienced an overall economic decline, increasing the degree of competition for the remaining business within these market segments. When we believe it is appropriate, we will take various steps, including introducing new products and discontinuing older products, reducing prices, and offering rebates and other incentives in order to increase acceptance of our latest products and to be competitive within each relevant market segment.

We plan to cultivate new businesses and work with the computing and communications industry to expand product offerings and Internet capabilities, including the infrastructure for wireless access, and develop compelling software applications and operating systems designed to take advantage of higher performance microprocessors and chipsets as well as our other next-generation semiconductor components.

Intel Architecture Business

The Intel Architecture business supports the desktop, mobile and enterprise platforms. For the desktop platform, our strategy is to introduce ever-higher performance microprocessors and chipsets with advanced features, tailored for the different market segments of the worldwide computing market, using a tiered branding approach. For the mobile platform, our strategy is to deliver products optimized for the four mobility vectors: performance, battery life, form factor and wireless capability. The desktop and mobile platforms are based on the IA-32 architecture, which includes the Intel NetBurst[®] and the P6 microarchitectures. Our strategy for the enterprise platform is to provide high-performance processors and the best price for performance across the entire range of server and workstation market segments.

17

For the desktop performance market segment, we offer the Intel[®] Pentium[®] 4 processor, based on the Intel NetBurst microarchitecture, focused on both home and business applications. These processors are optimized to deliver high performance across a broad range of business and consumer applications, especially the latest technologies in Web, interactive 3D, and streaming video and audio environments. These processors also enhance the user's experience in many applications, such as e-Learning, Internet browsing and computer gaming. Also for the performance desktop, we offer the Pentium 4 processor with Hyper-Threading (HT) Technology. HT Technology, when used in a computer system with the other features required to take advantage of this technology, allows a multithreaded software program to run as though it has two processors at its disposal, even though it uses only one processor. We currently offer versions of the Pentium 4 processor with HT Technology at speeds up to 3.2 GHz that support the 800-MHz system bus. The 800-MHz bus can transmit information within the PC up to 50 percent faster than our previous 533-MHz version. To enable this increased performance we also offer the Intel[®] 875P chipset as well as the Intel[®] 865G and 865PE chipsets. These chipsets contain technical innovations that accelerate the speed at which data flows between the processor system bus and main memory as well as increase the computer's networking bandwidth with the use of Gigabit Ethernet technology. For the desktop value market segment, we offer the Intel[®] Celeron[®] processor, designed to meet the core computing needs and affordability requirements of some value-conscious PC users.

For the mobile market segment, we offer processors optimized for transportable, full size, thin and light, and ultra-portable notebook PCs. In March 2003, we introduced Intel[®] Centrino[™] mobile technology, our first computing technology designed and optimized specifically for wireless mobile PC users. Products based on Intel Centrino mobile technology include a combination of an Intel[®] Pentium[®] M processor with the Intel[®] 855 chipset family, both offered by the Mobile Platforms Group within the Intel Architecture business, and an Intel[®] PRO/Wireless 2100 network connection, from ICG. During the second quarter of 2003, we broadened the Intel Centrino mobile technology product line with a 1.7-GHz processor, a low-voltage 1.2-GHz processor, and an ultra-low-voltage 1 GHz processor. We also offer the Mobile Intel[®] Pentium[®] 4 processor for transportable notebook PCs – systems with near-desktop features, including high performance, larger screens, full size keyboards and multiple drives. In addition, we offer the Intel Celeron processor for the mobile value market segment.

To increase acceptance and deployment of our mobile products, we are focused on initiatives designed to support technologies addressing wireless solutions, software enabling, security and extended battery life for mobile PCs. We also work with standards bodies, trade associations, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and independent software vendors to align the industry, our customers and end users, thereby increasing acceptance of both our desktop and mobile platforms.

The Intel Architecture business also supports the enterprise platform with the Intel[®] Xeon[™] processor family, based on the Intel NetBurst microarchitecture, for

workstations and entry-level to high-end servers, and the Intel® Itanium® processor family for enterprise-class servers. The Intel Xeon processor with HT Technology is aimed at two-way servers (also known as dual-processing (DP) servers) and workstations. We also offer the Intel® Xeon Processor MP with HT Technology, for servers based on four or more processors. For the enterprise-class market segment, we offer the Intel® Itanium® 2 processor which is designed for managing large databases, handling high transaction volumes and other data intensive computing applications. During the second quarter of 2003, we introduced several new Itanium 2 processors, originally code-named Madison, running at speeds up to 1.5 GHz. These processors are based on 0.13-micron technology, provide up to 6 MB of cache memory, and are designed to plug into existing Itanium 2 system designs. As the technology industry develops operating systems and software with capabilities to address growing data traffic management, storage, computing and communications needs, and as traditional computing and telecommunications converge, we believe that there will be increased demand for our higher performance enterprise platform products. We also anticipate that the convergence of computing and communications will create new opportunities for server components (such as components for blade servers used in data centers) in new and existing communications market segments.

Our microprocessor business generally has followed a seasonal trend; however, there can be no assurance that this trend will continue. In four of the past five years, the company's sales of microprocessors were higher in the second half of the year, primarily due to back-to-school and holiday demand.

Wireless Communications and Computing Group

Within WCCG, our strategy is to deliver complete solutions that enable quick deployment of applications and services for wireless Internet and handheld communication and computing devices. The Intel® Personal Internet Client Architecture (Intel® PCA), an architecture platform that describes the communication and application and memory subsystems for data-enabled cellular phones and portable handheld devices, is a very important part of our WCCG strategy. We expect that the Intel PCA scalable platform will speed application development and allow faster time-to-market for our customers. For the handheld platform, our current products include Intel® Flash memory, processors based on the Intel® Xscale™ microarchitecture, and cellular baseband chipsets. During the second quarter of 2003, we began shipping the Intel StrataFlash® Wireless Memory, which brings higher storage densities, faster performance, and 1.8-volt operation to advanced data phone designs. The Intel Xscale technology provides the processing capability in data-enabled mobile phones and Personal Digital Assistants (PDAs), as well as other types of handheld devices such as Personal Media Players and Smart Displays. We are working toward the convergence of communications and computing in this market segment by developing technology for mobile handheld clients that combines baseband communication features with memory and applications processing functionality.

Intel Communications Group

Within ICG, our strategy is to be the leading supplier of communications silicon building blocks for OEMs. We are developing products that we believe are essential to build out the Internet: products designed for wired and wireless applications, including optical products and network processing components. Our strategy for Ethernet connectivity is to expand our product portfolio in the local area network (LAN) market segment and to address the emerging metropolitan area network (MAN) and networked storage market segments. Within the LAN and MAN market segments, we are investing in Gigabit Ethernet and 10-Gigabit Ethernet and wireless technologies based on industry standards for wireless 802.11 mobile applications and the emerging standard supporting 802.16 (or WiMax) for broadband connectivity. In the networked storage market segment, we are developing products that allow storage resources to be added to any location on either of the two most prevalent types of storage networks: Ethernet or Fibre Channel. For the optical market segment, our strategy is to deliver products based on industry standards, including Ethernet and data transport standards in the telecommunications industry (SONET/SDH). We are providing 10-Gigabit optical products at multiple levels of integration with decreased power consumption and increased signal transmission capability. In network processing, we deliver products that are basic building blocks for modular networking infrastructure. These products include advanced, programmable processors that are used to manage and direct data moving across the Internet and corporate networks. The transition to our 90-nanometer manufacturing process is a key factor in our execution of these strategies. The 90-nanometer manufacturing process is expected to enable many of our communications products to feature "mixed-signal" circuitry and high-speed transistors, aimed at creating a new generation of faster, more integrated, less costly communications chips. As we transition to this new process, we expect to build more of our communications products internally. Currently, third-party foundry manufacturers perform a significant portion of ICG's manufacturing.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The methods, estimates and judgments we use in applying our accounting policies have a significant impact on the results we report in our financial statements. Some of our accounting policies require us to make difficult and subjective judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates of matters that are inherently uncertain. Our most critical accounting estimates include the assessment of recoverability of goodwill, which impacts write-offs of goodwill; valuation of non-marketable equity securities, which impacts net gains (losses) on equity securities when we record impairments; valuation of inventory, which impacts gross margin; assessment of recoverability of long-lived assets, which primarily impacts gross margin when we impair assets or accelerate their depreciation; and recognition and measurement of current and deferred income tax assets and liabilities, which impacts our tax provision. Below, we discuss these policies further, as well as the estimates and judgments involved. We also have other policies that we consider to be key accounting policies, such as our policies for revenue recognition, including the deferral of revenues on sales to distributors; however, these policies do not meet the definition of critical accounting estimates because they do not generally require us to make estimates or judgments that are difficult or subjective.

Goodwill. In accordance with our accounting policy, we perform an annual impairment review in the fourth quarter of each year, or more frequently if indicators of potential impairment exist. Our impairment review process is based on a discounted future cash flow approach that uses our estimates of revenue for the reporting units, driven by assumed market growth rates and assumed market segment share, and estimated costs as well as appropriate discount rates. These estimates are consistent with the plans and estimates that we use to manage the underlying businesses. The estimates we used in our most recent review assumed that we will gain market segment share in the future and that the communications businesses will experience a gradual recovery and a return to growth from the current trends. We may incur charges for impairment of goodwill in the future if the communications sector does not recover as we expect, if we fail to deliver new products for these groups, if the products fail to gain expected market acceptance, if we fail to achieve our assumed revenue growth rates or assumed gross margin, or if interest rates increase significantly.

Non-Marketable Equity Securities. Our ability to recover our strategic investments in private, non-marketable equity securities and to earn a return on these investments is primarily dependent on how successfully these companies are able to execute to their business plans and how well their products are accepted, as well as their ability to obtain venture capital funding to continue operations and to grow. In the current equity market environment, their ability to obtain additional funding as well as to take advantage of liquidity events, such as initial public offerings, mergers and private sales, is significantly constrained.

Under our accounting policy, the carrying value of a non-marketable investment is the amount paid for the investment unless it has been determined to be other than temporarily impaired, in which case we write the investment down to its impaired value. We review all of our investments periodically for impairment; however, for non-marketable equity securities, the impairment analysis requires significant judgment. This analysis includes assessment of each investee's financial condition, the business outlook for its products and technology, its projected results and cash flows, the likelihood of obtaining subsequent rounds of financing and the impact of any relevant contractual equity preferences held by Intel or others. If an investee obtains additional funding at a valuation lower than our carrying amount, we presume that the investment is other than temporarily impaired, unless specific facts and circumstances indicate otherwise. As the equity markets have declined significantly over the past few years, we have experienced substantial impairments in our portfolio of non-marketable equity securities. If equity market conditions do not improve, as companies within our portfolio attempt to raise additional funds, the funds may not be available to them, or they may receive lower valuations, with more onerous investment terms than in previous financings, and the investments will likely become impaired. However, we are not able to determine at the present time which specific investments are likely to be impaired in the future, or the extent or timing of individual impairments.

Inventory. The valuation of inventory requires us to estimate obsolete or excess inventory and inventory that is not of saleable quality. The determination of obsolete or excess inventory requires us to estimate the future demand for our products within specific time horizons, generally six months or less. The estimates of future demand that we use in the valuation of inventory are the basis for our published revenue forecast, which is also consistent with our short-term manufacturing plan. If our demand forecast for specific products is greater than actual demand and we fail to reduce manufacturing output accordingly, we could be required to record additional inventory reserves, which would have a negative impact on our gross margin.

Long-Lived Assets. We assess the impairment of long-lived assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets or the asset grouping may not be recoverable. Factors we consider in deciding when to perform an impairment review include significant under-performance of a business or product line in relation to expectations, significant negative industry or economic trends, and significant changes or planned changes in our use of the assets. Recoverability of assets that will continue to be used in our operations is measured by comparing the carrying amount of the asset grouping to the related total future net cash flows. If an asset grouping's carrying value is not recoverable through those cash flows, the asset grouping is considered to be impaired. The impairment is measured by the difference between the assets' carrying amount and their fair value, based on the best information available, including market prices or a discounted cash flow analysis.

Due to our asset usage model and the fungible nature of our semiconductor manufacturing capacity, we must make subjective judgments in determining the asset groupings and the related independent cash flows for the asset recoverability test. In addition, as we make manufacturing process conversions and other factory planning decisions, we must make subjective judgments regarding the remaining useful lives of assets, primarily process-specific semiconductor manufacturing tools and building improvements. When we determine that the useful lives of assets are shorter than we had originally estimated, and there are sufficient cash flows to support the carrying value of the assets, we accelerate the rate of depreciation charges in order to fully depreciate the assets over their new, shorter, useful lives.

Income Taxes. In determining income tax expense for financial statement purposes, we must make certain estimates and judgments. These estimates and judgments occur in the calculation of certain tax liabilities and in the determination of the recoverability of certain of the deferred tax assets, which arise from temporary differences between the tax and financial statement recognition of revenue and expense.

We must assess the likelihood that we will be able to recover our deferred tax assets. If recovery is not likely, we must increase our provision for taxes by recording a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets that we estimate will not ultimately be recoverable. As of June 28, 2003, we believe that all of our recorded deferred tax assets will ultimately be recovered. However, should there be a change in our ability to recover our deferred tax assets, our tax provision would increase in the period in which we determine that the recovery is not probable.

In addition, the calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations. We recognize potential liabilities for anticipated tax audit issues in the United States (U.S.) and other tax jurisdictions based on our estimate of whether, and the extent to which, additional taxes will be due. If payment of these amounts ultimately proves to be unnecessary, the reversal of the liabilities would result in tax benefits being recognized in the period when we determine the liabilities are no longer necessary. If our estimate of tax liabilities proves to be less than the ultimate assessment, a further charge to expense would result. See "Note 17: Contingencies" in the Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements for a discussion of current tax matters.

Results of Operations – Second Quarter of 2003 Compared to Second Quarter of 2002

The following table sets forth certain consolidated statements of income data as a percentage of net revenue for the periods indicated:

	Q2 2003	Q2 2002
Net revenue	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of sales	49.1%	53.0%
Gross margin	50.9%	47.0%
Research and development	15.1%	16.2%
Marketing, general and administrative	15.9%	16.9%
Amortization and impairment of acquisition-related intangibles and costs	1.2%	3.6%
Purchased in-process research and development	—	0.2%
Operating income	18.7%	10.1%

Our net revenue for Q2 2003 was \$6.8 billion, an increase of 8% compared to Q2 2002. For the Intel Architecture business, net revenue was higher with increased sales of microprocessors and chipsets, and revenue has been toward the high end of seasonality for three consecutive quarters. The increased sales in the Intel Architecture business in Q2 2003 were partially offset by lower revenue for WCCG due to significantly lower sales of flash memory products and lower revenue for ICG. On a geographic basis, the Asia-Pacific region had a record revenue quarter, with an increase of 17% for Q2 2003 compared to Q2 2002. The revenue growth in the Asia Pacific region more than offset a decline in the Americas, as Asia continues to grow as a global manufacturing and design center. Revenue in both Japan and Europe also increased in Q2 2003 compared to Q2 2002.

Our overall gross margin percentage increased to 50.9% for Q2 2003 from 47.0% in Q2 2002. Gross margin for the Intel Architecture business was higher and gross margin percentages in our other businesses were lower. Gross margin for Q2 2002 also reflected the impact of a \$106 million charge related to the wind down of the Intel[®] Online Services Web hosting business, whose results are included in the "all other" category for segment reporting purposes. See "Outlook" for a discussion of gross margin expectations.

Intel Architecture Business

The revenue and operating income for the Intel Architecture operating segment for the second quarter of 2003 and 2002, were as follows:

(In Millions)	Q2 2003	Q2 2002
Revenue	\$ 5,833	\$ 5,213
Operating income	\$ 1,843	\$ 1,370

Net revenue for the Intel Architecture operating segment increased \$620 million, or 12%, in Q2 2003 compared to Q2 2002. The increase in revenue was primarily due to higher unit volumes of microprocessors and higher unit volumes of chipsets.

For Q2 2003, a substantial majority of our consolidated net revenue and gross margin came from sales of the Intel Pentium 4 microprocessor and related microprocessors based on the Intel NetBurst microarchitecture, as well as related chipsets and motherboards. For Q2 2002, a majority of our consolidated net revenue and gross margin came from sales of microprocessors and related products based on the Intel NetBurst microarchitecture. For the same period, sales of Intel Pentium III microprocessors and related products based on the P6 microarchitecture made up a significant, but rapidly decreasing, portion of our consolidated net revenue and gross margin.

Operating income increased to \$1,843 million in Q2 2003, compared to \$1,370 million in Q2 2002. The increase was primarily due to the impact of higher unit volumes and lower unit costs of microprocessors and chipsets partially offset by increased start-up costs relating to the ramp of 90 nanometer technology on 300-millimeter wafer manufacturing.

Wireless Communications and Computing Group

The revenue and operating loss for the WCCG operating segment for the second quarter of 2003 and 2002, were as follows:

(In Millions)	Q2 2003	Q2 2002
Revenue	\$ 465	\$ 532
Operating loss	\$ (123)	\$ (98)

Net revenue decreased by \$67 million, or 13%, in Q2 2003 compared to Q2 2002 due to lower unit volumes of flash memory products, partially offset by higher average selling prices due to a richer mix of higher-density flash products. The pricing environment remained difficult and we continued to experience the negative impacts of our Q1 2003 pricing strategy on certain products.

The operating loss increased to a loss of \$123 million in Q2 2003 compared to a loss of \$98 million in Q2 2002 primarily due to higher costs incurred for under-utilized factory capacity for flash memory products in Q2 2003 as well as lower revenue from flash memory products, partially offset by higher average selling prices and lower start-up charges.

Intel Communications Group

The revenue and operating loss for the ICG operating segment for the second quarter of 2003 and 2002, were as follows:

(In Millions)	Q2 2003	Q2 2002
Revenue	\$ 508	\$ 536
Operating loss	\$ (143)	\$ (127)

Net revenue decreased by \$28 million, or 5%, in Q2 2003 compared to Q2 2002, primarily due to lower revenue from sales of telecommunication-related products and microcontrollers. Net revenue for wired Ethernet connections also decreased, due to a continuing shift in product mix from higher-priced adapter cards to lower-priced local area network (LAN) on motherboard products. These decreases in revenue were partially offset by increased sales of wireless Ethernet connection products.

The operating loss increased to \$143 million in Q2 2003 from a \$127 million loss in Q2 2002 primarily due to the mix shift to lower-margin wired Ethernet connections. In the current competitive environment, sales of wireless Ethernet connections sold in conjunction with processors and chipsets comprising the Intel Centrino mobile technology also increased the operating loss. These negative impacts were partially offset by lower inventory write downs, as well as lower operating expenses in Q2 2003 as we continued our efforts to streamline operations and refocus on our core strategic areas.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses for the second quarter of 2003 and 2002 were as follows:

(In Millions)	Q2 2003	Q2 2002
Research and development	\$ 1,029	\$ 1,024
Marketing, general and administrative	\$ 1,079	\$ 1,063
Amortization and impairment of acquisition-related intangibles and costs	\$ 84	\$ 229
Purchased in-process research and development	\$ —	\$ 14

Research and development spending was essentially flat compared to Q2 2002. Marketing, general and administrative expenses were also essentially flat. Increased marketing spending related to the Intel Centrino mobile technology brand, higher Intel Inside[®] Program cooperative advertising expenses due to higher revenue in our Intel Architecture business, and increased profit-dependent bonus expenses were partially offset by lower discretionary spending and lower expenses as we reduced headcount and exited certain businesses. Research and development along with marketing, general and administrative expenses were 31% of net revenue in Q2 2003 and 33% of net revenue in Q2 2002.

Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs was \$84 million in Q2 2003. Amortization and impairment of acquisition-related intangibles and costs was \$229 million in Q2 2002, including an impairment of \$112 million, primarily related to the prior acquisition of Xircom, Inc. The decrease over the prior year also reflected an overall decrease in new acquisition activity, as intangibles related to prior acquisitions became fully amortized.

Losses on Equity Securities, Interest and Other, and Taxes

Losses on equity securities, net, interest and other, net and taxes for the second quarter of 2003 and 2002 were as follows:

(In Millions)	Q2 2003	Q2 2002
Losses on equity securities, net	\$ (58)	\$ (59)
Interest and other, net	\$ 53	\$ 43
Provision for taxes	\$ 375	\$ 177

Losses on equity securities and certain equity derivatives for Q2 2003 were \$58 million compared to \$59 million for Q2 2002. The net loss for both periods was primarily driven by impairment charges on non-marketable equity securities (approximately \$64 million for Q2 2003 and approximately \$67 million for Q2 2002).

Our effective income tax rate was 29.5% for Q2 2003, compared to 28.4% for Q2 2002. The rate for Q2 2003 is higher than the rate in the prior year due to a higher percentage of profits being expected in higher-tax jurisdictions in 2003 compared to 2002, partially offset by a \$13 million tax benefit associated with a divestiture completed in Q2 2003.

Results of Operations – First Half of 2003 compared to First Half of 2002

The following table sets forth certain consolidated statements of income data as a percentage of net revenue for the periods indicated:

	YTD 2003	YTD 2002
Net revenue	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of sales	48.6%	50.8%
Gross margin	51.4%	49.2%
Research and development	15.1%	15.3%
Marketing, general and administrative	15.4%	16.3%
Amortization and impairment of acquisition-related intangibles and costs	1.2%	2.6%
Purchased in-process research and development	—	0.1%
Operating income	19.7%	14.9%

Our net revenue of \$13.6 billion in the first half of 2003 increased 4% compared to the first half of 2002 primarily due to higher net revenue for the Intel Architecture business partially offset by lower revenues for WCCG and ICG. On a geographic basis, higher revenue in the Asia-Pacific region offset a decline in the Americas. Revenue in both Japan and Europe also increased in the first half of 2003 compared to the first half of 2002.

Our overall gross margin percentage increased to 51.4% for the first half of 2003 from 49.2% in the first half of 2002. Gross margin for the Intel Architecture business was higher and gross margin percentages in our other businesses were lower. The first half of 2002 included the impact of the \$106 million charge related to the Q2 2002 decision to wind down our Web hosting business. The results of the Web hosting business are included in the “all other” category for segment reporting purposes. See “Outlook” for a discussion of gross margin expectations.

Intel Architecture Business

The revenue and operating income for the Intel Architecture operating segment for the first half of 2003 and 2002, were as follows:

(In Millions)	YTD 2003	YTD 2002
Revenue	\$ 11,593	\$ 10,981
Operating income	\$ 3,766	\$ 3,180

Net revenue for the Intel Architecture operating segment increased by \$612 million, or 6%, in the first half of 2003 compared to the first half of 2002, primarily due to higher unit volumes for microprocessors and higher unit volumes for chipsets.

For the first half of 2003, a substantial majority of our consolidated net revenue and gross margin came from sales of the Intel Pentium 4 microprocessor and related microprocessors based on the Intel NetBurst microarchitecture, as well as related chipsets and motherboards. For the first half of 2002, a majority of our consolidated net revenue and gross margin came from sales of microprocessors and related products based on the Intel NetBurst microarchitecture. For the same period, sales of Intel Pentium III microprocessors and related products based on the P6 microarchitecture made up a significant, but rapidly decreasing, portion of our consolidated net revenue and gross margin.

Operating income increased to \$3,766 million in the first half of 2003, compared to \$3,180 million in the first half of 2002. The increase was primarily due to the impact of higher unit volumes of microprocessors and chipsets, partially offset by lower average selling prices for microprocessors and chipsets and increased start-up costs relating to the ramp of 90 nanometer technology on 300-millimeter wafer manufacturing. In addition, the increase was also due to an unusually high level of sales in Q1 2003 of microprocessor and chipset inventory that had previously been reserved. Finally, the first half of 2002 included the charge of \$155 million related to the Intergraph Corporation settlement.

Wireless Communications and Computing Group

The revenue and operating loss for the WCCG operating segment for the first half of 2003 and 2002, were as follows:

(In Millions)	YTD 2003	YTD 2002
Revenue	\$ 938	\$ 991
Operating loss	\$ (217)	\$ (166)

Net revenue decreased by \$53 million, or 5%, in the first half of 2003 compared to the first half of 2002 due to lower unit sales of flash memory products partially offset by higher unit volumes of application processors and baseband chipsets for data-enabled cellular phones and handheld computing devices. In the first half of 2003, revenue for flash memory products was negatively affected by lost business as a result of our pricing strategy on certain products, with revenue decreasing compared to the first half of 2002.

The operating loss increased to a loss of \$217 million in the first half of 2003 compared to a loss of \$166 million in the first half of 2002, primarily due to the impact of increased inventory reserves and lower revenue on flash memory products as well as higher costs incurred for under-utilized factory capacity for flash memory products. These negative impacts were partially offset by a richer mix of higher-density flash products with higher average selling prices and lower start-up charges.

Intel Communications Group

The revenue and operating loss for the ICG operating segment for the first half of 2003 and 2002, were as follows:

(In Millions)	YTD 2003	YTD 2002
Revenue	\$ 1,011	\$ 1,054
Operating loss	\$ (283)	\$ (277)

Net revenue decreased by \$43 million, or 4%, in the first half of 2003 compared to the first half of 2002, primarily due to lower revenue from sales of telecommunications-related products and microcontrollers. Revenue was also lower for wired Ethernet connections on higher unit shipments, due to the continuing shift in product mix to LAN on motherboard products. These decreases in revenue were partially offset by volume increases in wireless Ethernet connection products.

The operating loss increased to \$283 million in the first half of 2003 from a \$277 million loss in the first half of 2002, primarily due to the mix shift to lower-margin wired Ethernet connections. In the current competitive environment, sales of wireless Ethernet connections sold in conjunction with processors and chipsets comprising the Intel Centrino mobile technology also increased the operating loss. These negative impacts were partially offset by lower inventory write downs and lower operating expenses in Q2 2003.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses for the first half of 2003 and 2002 were as follows:

(In Millions)	YTD 2003	YTD 2002
Research and development	\$ 2,048	\$ 2,006
Marketing, general and administrative	\$ 2,097	\$ 2,135
Amortization and impairment of acquisition-related intangibles and costs	\$ 168	\$ 340
Purchased in-process research and development	\$ —	\$ 14

Research and development spending increased \$42 million, or 2%, in the first half of 2003 compared to the first half of 2002. This increase was primarily due to product development programs in the Intel Architecture business. Marketing, general and administrative expenses decreased \$38 million, or 2%, in the first half of 2003 compared to the first half of 2002, primarily due to lower discretionary spending and lower expenses as we reduced headcount and exited certain businesses. This decrease was partially offset by higher marketing expenses due to the launch of the Intel Centrino mobile technology brand in the first half of 2003, higher cooperative advertising expenses due to higher revenue in our Intel Architecture business, and increased profit-dependent bonus expenses. Research and development along with marketing, general and administrative expenses were 31% of net revenue in the first half of 2003 and 32% of net revenue in the first half of 2002.

Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs was \$168 million in the first half of 2003. Amortization and impairment of acquisition-related intangibles and costs was \$340 million in the first half of 2002, including an impairment of \$112 million, primarily related to the prior acquisition of Xircom, Inc. The decrease over the prior year also reflects the overall decrease in new acquisition activity, as prior acquisitions become fully amortized.

Losses on Equity Securities, Interest and Other, and Taxes

Losses on equity securities, net, interest and other, net and taxes for the first half of 2003 and 2002 were as follows:

(In Millions)	YTD 2003	YTD 2002
Losses on equity securities, net	\$ (185)	\$ (105)
Interest and other, net	\$ 105	\$ 91
Provision for taxes	\$ 776	\$ 558

Losses on equity securities and certain equity derivatives for the first half of 2003 were \$185 million compared to \$105 million for the first half of 2002. The net loss for the first half of 2003 was primarily driven by impairment charges on non-marketable equity securities, of approximately \$204 million. The losses in the first half of 2002 included impairment charges on non-marketable equity securities of approximately \$264 million, partially offset by net gains of approximately \$120 million, primarily related to the difference between the cost and the fair market value of formerly restricted non-marketable investments that we designated as trading assets in Q1 2002.

Our effective income tax rate was 30.0% for the first half of 2003, compared to 28.8% for the first half of 2002. The rate for the first half of 2003 is higher than the rate in the prior year due to a higher percentage of profits being expected in higher-tax jurisdictions in 2003 compared to 2002.

Financial Condition

Our financial condition remains strong. At June 28, 2003, cash, short-term investments and fixed income instruments included in trading assets totaled \$13.4 billion, up from \$12.2 billion at December 28, 2002. At June 28, 2003, total short-term and long-term debt was \$1.3 billion and represented 3.7% of stockholders' equity. At December 28, 2002, total debt of \$1.4 billion represented 3.8% of stockholders' equity.

For the first half of 2003, cash provided by operating activities was \$4.4 billion (\$3.2 billion for the first half of 2002). Cash was provided by net income adjusted for non-cash related items. Working capital uses of cash included an increase in accounts receivable and a decrease in accrued compensation and benefits. Working capital sources of cash included a decrease in inventories and an increase in accrued income taxes payable. Accounts receivable increased over December 2002 levels, primarily due to a higher proportion of sales occurring toward the end of the second quarter, as sales in the fourth quarter tend to be spread more evenly across the three months. The days' sales outstanding also increased from December 2002 but decreased slightly compared to the second quarter of 2002. Our three largest customers accounted for approximately 40% of net revenue for the first half of 2003. Additionally, these three largest customers accounted for approximately 40% of net accounts receivable at June 28, 2003. Accrued compensation and benefits decreased during the first six months as we paid year-end bonuses and made the annual cash contributions to our profit sharing plans during the first quarter of 2003.

We used \$1.3 billion in net cash for investing activities during the first half of 2003, compared to \$3.3 billion during the first half of 2002, as capital expenditures decreased to \$1.9 billion in the first half of 2003 from \$2.5 billion in the first half of 2002. We continued to invest in capital equipment and construction, primarily for additional microprocessor manufacturing capacity, but at a lower rate than in the same period for the prior year.

We used \$2.1 billion in net cash for financing activities in the first half of 2003, compared to \$1.9 billion in the first half of 2002. The major financing uses of cash in both periods were for the repurchase of shares and payment of dividends. In the first half of 2003, we purchased 114.4 million shares of common stock for \$2.0 billion and paid dividends of \$262 million, with similar amounts paid in the same period of the prior year. Debt repayments in 2003 primarily reflected payment of short-term balances related to securities lending transactions. Financing sources of cash during the first half of 2003 were primarily \$353 million in proceeds from the sale of shares pursuant to employee stock plans (\$411 million during the first half of 2002).

Another potential source of liquidity is authorized borrowings, including commercial paper, of \$3.0 billion. Maximum borrowings under our commercial paper program during the first half of 2003 were approximately \$30 million, although no commercial paper was outstanding at the end of the period. We also maintain the ability to offer an aggregate of approximately \$1.4 billion in debt, equity and other securities under U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) shelf registration statements.

We believe that we have the financial resources needed to meet our business requirements for the next twelve months, including capital expenditures for the expansion or upgrading of worldwide manufacturing capacity, working capital requirements, the dividend program and potential future acquisitions or strategic investments.

Employee Stock Options

Our stock option program is a broad-based, long-term retention program that is intended to attract and retain talented employees and align stockholder and employee

interests. The program currently consists of two plans: one under which officers, key employees and non-employee directors may be granted options to purchase shares of our stock, and a broad-based plan under which options may be granted to all employees other than officers and directors. Substantially all of our employees participate in one of the plans. Options granted by the company expire no later than 10 years from the grant date. During the first half of 2003, options granted to existing and newly hired employees generally vest in increments over four or five years from the date of grant, and certain grants to key employees have delayed vesting generally beginning six years from the date of grant.

We have a goal to keep the potential incremental dilution related to our option program to a long-term average of less than 2% annually. The dilution percentage is calculated using the new option grants for the year, net of options forfeited by employees leaving the company and options expired, divided by the total outstanding shares at the beginning of the year.

Options granted to employees, including officers, and non-employee directors from 1999 through the first half of 2003 are summarized as follows:

(Shares in Millions)	YTD 2003	2002	2001	2000	1999
Total options granted ⁽¹⁾	95	174	238	163	81
Less options forfeited ⁽¹⁾	(26)	(44)	(47)	(31)	(25)
Net options granted (forfeited)	69	130	191	132	56
Net grants as % of outstanding shares ⁽²⁾	1.0%	1.9%	2.8%	2.0%	1.2%
Grants to listed officers ⁽³⁾ as % of total options granted	2.7%	1.7%	0.8%	0.4%	0.9%
Grants to listed officers as % of outstanding shares ⁽²⁾	<0.1%	<0.1%	<0.1%	<0.1%	<0.1%
Cumulative options held by listed officers as % of total options outstanding	2.0%	2.1%	2.0%	2.4%	2.9%

⁽¹⁾ Excluding options assumed in connection with acquisitions.

⁽²⁾ Outstanding shares as of the beginning of each period.

⁽³⁾ "Listed officers" for 2003 are those listed in our proxy statement dated April 2, 2003, defined as our Chief Executive Officer and each of the four other most highly compensated executive officers. Leslie Vadasz, Executive Vice President and President, Intel Capital, retired effective June 1, 2003. Mr. Vadasz is included as a listed officer, consistent with our proxy statement. Stock option activity through June 28, 2003, including grants, exercises, forfeitures and cancellations, includes Mr. Vadasz's stock option activity.

Total options granted to the listed officers may not exceed 5% of total options granted in any year in accordance with a policy established by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. For the first half of 2003, options granted to listed officers amounted to 2.7% of the grants made to all employees. In 2003 and 2002, we made grants to key officers, including listed officers, and other senior-level employees in recognition of their future potential in leading the company. All stock option grants are made after a review by, and with the approval of, the Compensation Committee. All members of the Compensation Committee are independent directors, as defined in the applicable rules for issuers traded on The NASDAQ Stock Market*.

For additional information regarding stock option plans and plan activity for the first half of 2003 and for 2002, see Note 3: Employee Stock Options" in the Notes to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in this quarterly report. Information regarding our stock option plans should be read in connection with the information appearing under the heading "Report of the Compensation Committee on Executive Compensation" in our proxy statement dated April 2, 2003.

In-the-money and out-of-the-money⁽¹⁾ option information for total options outstanding as of June 28, 2003 was as follows:

(Shares in Millions)	Exercisable		Unexercisable		Total	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
In-the-money	221.5	\$ 12.94	231.1	\$ 18.98	452.6	\$ 16.03
Out-of-the-money	96.7	\$ 33.83	333.5	\$ 35.00	430.2	\$ 34.74
Total options outstanding	318.2	\$ 19.29	564.6	\$ 28.45	882.8	\$ 25.15

⁽¹⁾ Out-of-the-money options have an exercise price equal to or above \$20.57, the market price of Intel stock at the end of the second quarter of 2003.

Options granted to listed officers as a group for the first half of 2003 were as follows:

Number of Securities Underlying Option Grants	Percent of Total Options Granted to Employees	Exercise Price Per Share	Expiration Date	Potential Realizable Values at Assumed Annual Rates of Stock Price Appreciation for Option Term ⁽¹⁾	
				5%	10%
2,550,000	2.7%	\$16.42-\$18.63	2013	\$ 27,652,811	\$ 70,077,653

⁽¹⁾ Represents gains that could accrue for these options, assuming that the market price of Intel common stock appreciates over a period of 10 years at annualized rates of 5% and 10% from the date of grant. If the stock price does not increase above the exercise price, the realized value from these options would be zero.

Option exercises for the first half of 2003 and option values for listed officers as a group as of June 28, 2003 were as follows:

Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized	Number of Shares Underlying Unexercised Options at June 28, 2003		Values of Unexercised In-the-Money Options at June 28, 2003 ⁽¹⁾	
		Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable
1,924,416	\$ 26,673,862	8,526,157	9,474,854	\$ 62,751,632	\$ 14,400,404

(1) These amounts represent the difference between the exercise price and \$20.57, the market price of Intel stock at the end of the second quarter of 2003, for all in-the-money options held by the listed officers.

Information as of June 28, 2003 regarding equity compensation plans approved and not approved by stockholders is summarized in the following table (shares in millions):

Plan Category	(A) Number of Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options	(B) Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options	(C) Number of Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Shares Reflected in Column (A))
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	182.3	\$ 15.68	243.2 ⁽¹⁾
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders	688.3	\$ 27.81	706.5 ⁽²⁾
Total	870.6⁽³⁾	\$ 25.27	949.7

⁽¹⁾ Includes 145.7 million shares available for future issuance under our 1984 Stock Option Plan, as amended, generally used for grants to officers and directors. Also includes 97.5 million shares available under our 1976 Employee Stock Participation Plan.

⁽²⁾ Shares available under our 1997 Stock Option Plan, used for grants to employees other than officers and directors. The Board of Directors has adopted a policy that any new option plans, and any material amendments to existing plans, will be submitted for stockholder approval.

⁽³⁾ Total excludes 12.2 million shares issuable under outstanding options, with a weighted average exercise price of \$16.21, originally granted under plans we assumed in connection with acquisitions. We do not intend to grant any further options under these plans.

Outlook

Although uncertainty in global economic conditions continues to make it difficult to predict product demand, we believe we are seeing a return to more normal seasonal patterns. For the third quarter of 2003, we expect revenue to be between \$6.9 billion and \$7.5 billion, compared to second quarter revenue of \$6.8 billion. The midpoint of this range would be up approximately 6% compared to the second quarter of 2003, consistent with seasonal patterns. In the previous five years, revenue growth in the third quarter has ranged from 3% to 14% and averaged 7%. The midpoint of our range, \$7.2 billion, would represent year on year growth of 11%, largely related to our Intel Architecture business. Demand for our flash memory products remains uncertain in the highly competitive cellular handset market segment. Revenue growth for WCCG is largely dependent on the trend toward higher density flash memory products and continued end-user adoption of new leading-edge cellular handsets. The outlook for the telecommunications industry continues to be weak. In this environment, revenue growth for ICG is largely dependent on our securing design wins for new products, and OEMs taking these product designs to production.

Our financial results are substantially dependent on sales of microprocessors and related components by the Intel Architecture operating segment. Revenue is partly a function of the mix of microprocessor types and speeds sold as well as the mix of related chipsets and motherboards, all of which are difficult to forecast. Because of the wide price differences among performance desktop, value desktop, mobile and server microprocessors, the mix of types of microprocessors sold affects the average selling price that we will realize and has a large impact on our revenue and gross margin. Microprocessor revenue is also dependent on the availability of other parts of the system platform, including chipsets, motherboards, operating system software and application software. Revenue is also affected by our sales of other semiconductor and non-semiconductor products and is subject to the impact of economic conditions in various geographic regions.

We expect the gross margin percentage in the third quarter of 2003 to be approximately 54%, plus or minus a couple of points, as compared to 50.9% in the second quarter. The midpoint of this range represents an increase due to a combination of factors, primarily driven by higher revenue, as we spread our fixed costs over more units, lower startup costs in the third quarter, and lower unit costs. For the full year of 2003, our gross margin is expected to be 54%, plus or minus a few points. Our gross margin varies primarily with revenue levels, which are dependent on unit volumes and prices, as well as the mix of types and speeds of processors sold, and the mix of microprocessors, related chipsets and motherboards, and other semiconductor and non-semiconductor products. Variability of other factors will also continue to affect cost of sales and the gross margin percentage, including unit costs and yield issues associated with production at our factories, timing and execution of the manufacturing ramp, including the ramp of the 90-nanometer process technology on 300mm wafers, excess of manufacturing capacity, the reusability of factory equipment, impairment of manufacturing assets, excess inventory, inventory obsolescence and variations in inventory valuation.

We have significantly expanded our semiconductor manufacturing and assembly and test capacity over the last few years, and we continue to plan capacity based on the assumed continued success of our strategy and the acceptance of our products in specific market segments. We currently expect that capital spending will be between \$3.5 billion and \$3.9 billion in 2003, down from \$4.7 billion in 2002. The reduction is primarily the result of expected improvements in capital efficiency, with an increase in effective manufacturing capacity as we transition to the larger, 300mm wafer manufacturing process, and the timing of manufacturing process technology cycles. This capital-spending plan is dependent on expectations regarding production efficiencies and delivery times of various machinery and equipment, and construction schedules for new facilities. If the demand for our products does not grow and continue to move toward higher performance products in the various market segments, revenue and gross margin would be adversely affected and manufacturing capacity would be under-utilized and the rate of capital spending could be further reduced. We could be required to record an impairment of our manufacturing or assembly and test equipment and/or facilities, or factory planning decisions may cause us to record accelerated depreciation. However, in the long term, revenue and gross margin may also be affected if we do not add capacity fast enough to meet market demand when economic conditions improve.

We expect depreciation expense to be approximately \$1.2 billion for the third quarter of 2003 and \$4.7 billion for the full year 2003, down from our previous expectation of \$4.8 billion.

Spending on research and development, plus marketing, general and administrative expenses in the third quarter of 2003 is expected to be approximately \$2.2 billion, slightly higher than the second quarter. Expenses, particularly certain marketing and compensation-related expenses, may vary from this expectation, depending in part on the level of revenue and profits.

Research and development spending is expected to be approximately \$4.2 billion in 2003, higher than the previous expectation of \$4.0 billion. The increase is primarily

due to the shift in spending from cost of sales to research and development as the development team transitions from working on the 90-nanometer manufacturing process technology to developing the 65-nanometer technology.

Based on acquisitions completed through August 1, 2003, we expect amortization of acquisition-related intangibles and costs to be approximately \$70 million in the third quarter and \$300 million for the full year 2003.

We review our acquisition-related intangible assets for impairment whenever indicators of potential impairment exist. We also review our goodwill for impairment in the fourth quarter of each year, or earlier if indicators of potential impairment exist. If we fail to deliver new products for ICG and WCCG, if the products fail to gain expected market acceptance, or if market conditions in the communications businesses fail to improve, our revenue and cost forecasts may not be achieved and we may incur charges for impairment of acquisition-related intangible assets and goodwill.

We expect losses from equity securities and interest and other for the third quarter of 2003 to be a net loss of \$25 million. This is primarily due to an expected net loss on equity securities and associated equity derivatives of approximately \$60 million, primarily as a result of impairment charges on private equity investments, offset by expected net interest income. Our expectations for impairment charges in the third quarter are based on our experience and it is not possible to know at the present time which specific investments are likely to be impaired or the extent or timing of individual impairments. In addition, our expectations for gains or losses from equity securities and interest and other assume no unanticipated events and vary depending on equity market levels and volatility, gains or losses realized on the sale or exchange of securities, interest rates, cash balances, and changes in the fair value of derivative instruments.

At June 28, 2003, we held non-marketable equity securities with a carrying value of \$602 million. Our ability to recover our investments in non-marketable equity securities and to earn a return on these investments is primarily dependent on how successfully these companies are able to execute to their business plans and how their products are accepted, as well as their ability to obtain venture capital funding to continue operations and to grow. In the current equity market environment, their ability to obtain additional funding as well as to take advantage of liquidity events, such as initial public offerings, mergers and private sales, is significantly constrained. As the equity markets have declined significantly over the past few years, we have experienced substantial impairments in our portfolio of non-marketable equity securities. If equity market conditions do not improve, as companies within our portfolio attempt to raise additional funds, the funds may not be available to them, or they may receive lower valuations, with more onerous investment terms than in previous financings, and the investments will likely become impaired.

We currently expect our effective tax rate to be approximately 24% for the third quarter of 2003 and approximately 30.5% for the fourth quarter of 2003. The lower rate for the third quarter as compared to the second and fourth quarters is due to an expected tax benefit related to a divestiture which closed in July. The estimated effective tax rates are based on current tax law and the current expected income, may be affected by the closing of acquisitions or divestitures, the jurisdictions in which profits are determined to be earned and taxed, and the ability to realize deferred tax assets, and assume the company continues to receive the tax benefit for export sales (see "Note 17: Contingencies" in Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements).

33

We are currently a party to various legal proceedings and claims, including claims related to taxes. Management does not believe that the ultimate outcome of these legal proceedings and claims will have a material adverse effect on our financial position or overall trends in results of operations. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling could include monetary damages, additional taxes owed or, in cases where injunctive relief is sought, an injunction prohibiting Intel from selling one or more products. If an unfavorable ruling were to occur in any specific period, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations of that period or future periods. Management believes that, given our current liquidity and cash and investment balances, even an adverse judgment would not have a material impact on cash and investments or liquidity.

We operate globally with sales offices and research and development activities as well as manufacturing and assembly and test in many countries; and so we are subject to risks and factors associated with doing business outside the United States. Global operations involve inherent risks that include currency controls and fluctuations, tariff, import and other related restrictions and regulations. If terrorist activity, armed conflict, civil or military unrest or political instability occurs in the United States, Israel or other locations, such events may disrupt logistics, security and communications, and could also result in reduced demand for Intel's products. The impacts of major health concerns, such as the SARS illness, could also adversely affect our business and our customer order patterns. We could also be affected if labor issues disrupt our transportation arrangements or those of our customers or suppliers. On a worldwide basis, we regularly review our key infrastructure, systems, services and suppliers both internally and externally, to seek to identify significant vulnerabilities as well as areas of potential business impact if a disruptive event were to occur. Once identified, we assess the risks, and as we consider it to be appropriate, we initiate actions intended to minimize the risks and their potential impact. However, there can be no assurance that we have identified all significant risks or that we can mitigate all identified risks with reasonable effort.

Our future results of operations and the other forward-looking statements contained in this "Outlook" section, and in our "Strategy" and "Critical Accounting Estimates" sections, involve a number of risks and uncertainties—in particular the statements regarding our goals and strategies, new product introductions, plans to cultivate new businesses, market segment share and growth rate assumptions, future economic conditions and recovery in the communications businesses, revenue, pricing, gross margin and costs, capital spending, depreciation and amortization, research and development expenses, potential impairment of investments, the tax rate and pending legal proceedings. In addition to various factors that we have discussed above, a number of other factors could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations. Demand for our products, which impacts our revenue and gross margin percentage, is affected by business and economic conditions, as well as computing and communications industry trends and the development and timing of introduction of compelling software applications and operating systems that take advantage of the features of our products. Demand for our products is also affected by changes in customer order patterns, such as changes in the levels of inventory maintained by our customers and the timing of customer purchases. Revenue and gross margin could also be affected by competitive factors, such as competing chip architectures and manufacturing technologies, competing software-compatible microprocessors, pricing pressures and other competitive factors, as well as market acceptance of new products in specific market segments and the availability of sufficient inventory to meet demand. Our future revenue is also dependent on continuing technological advancement, including developing and implementing new processes and strategic products, as well as the timing of new product introductions, sustaining and growing new businesses and integrating and operating any acquired businesses. Our results could also be affected by adverse affects associated with product defects and errata (deviations from published specifications) and by litigation involving intellectual property, stockholder, consumer and other issues.

We believe that we have the product offerings, facilities, personnel, and competitive and financial resources for continued business success, but future revenue, costs, margins and profits are all influenced by a number of factors, including those discussed above, all of which are inherently difficult to forecast.

34

Status of Business Outlook and Scheduled Business Update

We expect that our corporate representatives will meet privately during the quarter with investors, investment analysts, the media and others, and may reiterate the Business Outlook published in this Form 10-Q. At the same time, we will keep this Form 10-Q and Outlook publicly available on our Investor Relations Web site (www.intc.com). Prior to the Business Update and related Quiet Periods (described below), the public can continue to rely on the Outlook published on the Web site as representing our current expectations on matters covered, unless we publish a notice stating otherwise.

We intend to publish a Mid-Quarter Business Update on September 4, 2003. From the close of business on August 29, 2003 until publication of the Update, we will observe a "Quiet Period" during which the Outlook and our filings with the SEC on Forms 10-K and 10-Q should be considered historical, speaking as of prior to the Quiet

Period only and not subject to update. During the Quiet Period, our representatives will not comment on the Outlook or our financial results or expectations.

A Quiet Period operating in similar fashion with regard to the Business Update and our SEC filings will begin at the close of business on September 12, 2003 and will extend until the day when our next quarterly Earnings Release is published, presently scheduled for October 14, 2003.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information in this section should be read in connection with the information on financial market risk related to changes in interest rates, non-U.S. currency exchange rates and equity market prices in Part II, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 28, 2002.

An adverse movement of equity market prices would have an impact on our strategic investments in non-marketable equity securities, although the impact cannot be directly quantified. Such a movement and the related underlying economic conditions would negatively affect the prospects of the companies we invest in, their ability to raise additional capital and the likelihood of our being able to realize our investments through liquidity events such as initial public offerings, mergers and private sales. At June 28, 2003, our strategic investments in non-marketable equity securities had a carrying amount of \$602 million, excluding equity derivatives that are subject to mark-to-market requirements.

35

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Quarterly Controls Evaluation and Related CEO and CFO Certifications

Within the 90 days prior to the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our “disclosure controls and procedures” (Disclosure Controls). The controls evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO).

Immediately following the Signatures section of this Quarterly Report are certifications of the CEO and the CFO, which are required in accord with Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). This Controls and Procedures section includes the information concerning the controls evaluation referred to in the certifications and it should be read in conjunction with the certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Definition of Disclosure Controls

Disclosure Controls are controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Quarterly Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission’s (Commission) rules and forms. Disclosure Controls are also designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our Disclosure Controls include components of our internal control over financial reporting, which consists of control processes designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. To the extent that components of our internal control over financial reporting are included in our Disclosure Controls, they are included in the scope of our quarterly controls evaluation.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

The company’s management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our Disclosure Controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system’s objectives will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Scope of the Controls Evaluation

The evaluation of our Disclosure Controls included a review of the controls’ objectives and design, the company’s implementation of the controls and the effect of the controls on the information generated for use in this Quarterly Report. In the course of the controls evaluation, we sought to identify data errors, controls problems or acts of fraud and confirm that appropriate corrective action, including process improvements, were being undertaken. This evaluation is performed on a quarterly basis so that the conclusions of management, including the CEO and CFO, concerning controls effectiveness can be reported in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and to supplement the disclosures made in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. Many of the components of our Disclosure Controls are also evaluated on an ongoing basis by our Internal Audit Department and by other personnel in our Finance organization, as well as our independent auditors who evaluate them in connection with determining their auditing procedures related to their report on our annual

36

financial statements and not to provide assurance on our controls. The overall goals of these various evaluation activities are to monitor our Disclosure Controls, and to modify them as necessary; our intent is to maintain the Disclosure Controls as dynamic systems that change as conditions warrant.

Among other matters, we also considered whether our evaluation identified any “significant deficiencies” or “material weaknesses” in our internal control over financial reporting, and whether the company had identified any acts of fraud involving personnel with a significant role in our internal control over financial reporting. This information was important both for the controls evaluation generally, and because Item 5 in the certifications of the CEO and CFO requires that the CEO and CFO disclose that information to our Board’s Audit Committee and to our independent auditors. In the professional auditing literature, “significant deficiencies” are referred to as “reportable conditions,” which are deficiencies in the design or operation of controls that could adversely affect our ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data in the financial statements. Auditing literature defines “material weakness” as a particularly serious reportable condition where the internal control does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud may occur in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements and the risk that such misstatements would not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We also sought to address other controls matters in the controls evaluation, and in each case if a problem was identified, we considered what revision, improvement and/or correction to make in accordance with our ongoing procedures.

Conclusions

Based upon the controls evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that, subject to the limitations noted above, as of the date of the controls evaluation, our Disclosure Controls were effective to provide reasonable assurance that material information relating to Intel and its consolidated subsidiaries is made known to management, including the CEO and CFO, particularly during the period when our periodic reports are being prepared.

From the date of the controls evaluation to the date of this Quarterly Report, there have been no significant changes in internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that could significantly affect internal control over financial reporting, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Tax Matters

On August 4, 2003, in connection with the Internal Revenue Service's (IRS) regular examination of Intel's tax returns for the years 1999 and 2000, we received notices of proposed adjustment relating to the tax benefit for export sales taken by the company. If the IRS ultimately prevails, the company's federal income tax liability for these years would increase by approximately \$600 million, plus interest. The IRS may make similar claims for years subsequent to 2000 in future audits.

The company disputes the proposed adjustments and intends to pursue this matter through applicable IRS and judicial procedures, as appropriate. Although the final resolution of the proposed adjustments is uncertain, based on currently available information, management believes that the ultimate outcome will not have a material adverse effect on the company's financial position, cash flows or overall trends in results of operations. In the event of an unfavorable resolution, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations of the period in which the matter is ultimately resolved.

Legal Proceedings

Intel currently is a party to various legal proceedings, including those noted below. While management currently believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or overall trends in results of operations, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties, and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling could include monetary damages or, in cases where injunctive relief is sought, an injunction prohibiting Intel from selling one or more products. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the net income of the period in which the ruling occurs, or future periods.

Broadcom Corporation v. Intel Corporation
U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas

In November 2001, Broadcom Corporation filed suit against Intel in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The complaint alleged that certain Intel chipsets with integrated graphics infringe two Broadcom patents. A third patent relating to networking was dismissed from the case. The court granted Intel's motion to add counterclaims based on three related patents against Broadcom. In July 2003, the court granted various motions, including Intel's motions for summary judgment of non-infringement on both remaining Broadcom patents. In light of the court's granting of the motions, the parties have entered into an agreement pursuant to which they have jointly sought the dismissal of this case. The settlement is not expected to have a material impact on the company's results of operations or financial condition.

Intergraph Corporation v. Intel
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama, Northeastern Division
U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas

In 1997, Intergraph Corporation filed suit in Federal District Court in Alabama, generally alleging, among other claims, that Intel infringed certain Intergraph patents. In August 2001, Intergraph filed a second suit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, alleging that the Intel[®] Itanium[®] processor infringes two Intergraph microprocessor-related patents, and seeking an injunction and unspecified damages. In April 2002, Intel and Intergraph announced that they entered into a settlement agreement, pursuant to which they agreed to settle the Alabama lawsuit and dismiss it with prejudice.

In October 2002, the Texas court ruled that Intel infringed both patents at issue in that case and the Texas court has declined to reconsider its decision. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, Intel paid Intergraph \$150 million. Intel has appealed the trial court's decision, and if Intel prevails on appeal, no further payments will be due to Intergraph under the settlement agreement. However, if Intergraph prevails on either patent, the settlement agreement provides that Intel must pay Intergraph an additional \$100 million and will receive a license for the patents at issue in the case.

Edward Harris, et al v. Intel Corporation, et al
U.S. District Court, Northern California

In May 2000, various plaintiffs filed a class action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 14d-10 in connection with Intel's acquisition of DSP Communications, Inc. The complaint alleged that Intel and CWC (Intel's wholly owned subsidiary at the time) agreed to pay certain DSP executives additional consideration of \$15.6 million not offered or paid to other stockholders. The alleged purpose of this payment to the insiders was to obtain DSP insiders' endorsement of Intel's tender offer in violation of the anti-discrimination provision of Section 14(d)(7) and Rule 14d-10. The plaintiffs sought unspecified damages for the class, and unspecified costs and expenses. In July 2002, the District Court granted Intel's motion for summary judgment, but in October 2002, the District Court vacated the summary judgment. In January 2003, the parties reached a settlement agreement, which was reviewed and approved by the court in June 2003. The settlement did not have a material impact on the company's results of operations or financial condition.

In re Intel Corporation Securities Litigation (Consolidated), U.S. Dist. Ct., Northern Calif.
Dr. Jayant S. Patel, et al. v. Gordon Moore, et al., Calif. Superior Ct., Santa Clara County
Howard Lasker, et al. v. Gordon Moore, et al., Del. Chancery Ct., New Castle County

In 2001, various plaintiffs filed five class action lawsuits against Intel alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These complaints were consolidated in an amended complaint filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. The lawsuit alleged that purchasers of Intel stock between July 19, 2000 and September 29, 2000 were misled by false and misleading statements by Intel and certain of its officers and directors concerning the company's business and financial condition. In July 2003, the court granted Intel's motion to dismiss the plaintiff's second amended complaint in its entirety with prejudice.

In addition, various plaintiffs filed stockholder derivative complaints in California Superior Court and Delaware Chancery Court against the company's directors and certain officers, alleging that they mismanaged the company and otherwise breached their fiduciary obligations to the company. The plaintiffs in the California action filed the

original and two successive amended complaints, and the California Superior Court sustained Intel's demurrers on each of these complaints. Following the court's dismissal without prejudice of these complaints, the plaintiffs notified the court and Intel in June 2003 that they would not file a fourth complaint and they signed a stipulation withdrawing their lawsuit with prejudice, which the court approved. The Delaware action remains pending and the complaint in that action seeks unspecified damages. The company disputes the plaintiffs' claims in the Delaware action and intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously.

*Deanna Neubauer et al. v. Intel Corporation, Gateway Inc., Hewlett-Packard Co. and HPDirect, Inc.,
Third Judicial Circuit Court, Madison County, Illinois*

In June 2002, various plaintiffs filed a lawsuit in the Third Judicial Circuit Court, Madison County, Illinois, against Intel, Hewlett Packard Co., HPDirect, Inc. and Gateway Inc., alleging that the defendants' advertisements and statements misled the public by suppressing and concealing the alleged material fact that systems that use the Intel® Pentium 4® processor are less powerful and slower than systems using the Intel® Pentium® III processor and a competitor's processors. The plaintiffs claim that their lawsuit should be treated as a nationwide class action. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages, and attorney's fees and costs. The company disputes the plaintiffs' claims and intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

At Intel Corporation's Annual Stockholders' Meeting on May 21, 2003, stockholders elected each of the director nominees, but did not approve the stockholder proposal on expensing of stock options.

	Number of Shares			
	Voted For	Withheld		
1. To elect a board of directors to hold office until the next annual stockholders' meeting or until their respective successors have been elected or appointed.				
C. Barrett	5,490,799,060	125,338,669		
J. Browne	5,461,179,048	154,958,681		
W. Chen	5,463,995,977	152,141,752		
A. Grove	5,528,110,572	88,027,157		
J. Guzy	5,458,765,404	157,372,325		
R. Hundt	5,525,129,508	91,008,221		
P. Otellini	5,526,773,535	89,364,194		
D. Pottruck	5,490,113,294	126,024,435		
J. Shaw	5,462,907,024	153,230,705		
D. Yoffie	5,526,868,167	89,269,562		
C. Young	5,522,421,032	93,716,697		
			Number of Shares	
	Voted For	Voted Against	Abstain	Broker Non-Votes
2. To approve a non-binding proposal for the company to expense stock options in the income statement. *	1,875,086,845	1,913,677,878	154,355,059	1,673,017,947

* Pursuant to Section 216 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, the affirmative vote of the majority of the votes cast was required to pass this resolution. A total of 3,943,119,782 votes, 60.32% of the total shares outstanding, were cast on this proposal. 1,673,017,947 fewer shares voted on Proposal 2 than voted on Proposal 1, the election of directors. "Broker non-votes" accounted for this difference in voted shares. For certain types of "non-routine" proposals, such as Proposal 2, brokers do not have the discretionary authority to vote their clients' shares, and therefore they must refrain from voting on such proposals in the absence of instructions from their clients.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

- On July 23, 2003, the Board of Directors approved an amendment to Intel's bylaws to increase the number of authorized directors from 11 to 12. This increase created a vacancy which was filled by the Board's appointment of John L. Thornton to the Board of Directors on July 23, 2003. The Board's action in amending the Bylaws also provides that the number of authorized directors will decrease back to 11 when Charles E. Young reaches the mandatory retirement age for directors in May 2004.
- The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has decided to continue the engagement of Ernst & Young LLP as Intel's independent auditors. This decision by the Committee is the conclusion of the review process more fully described in the company's Proxy Statement dated April 2, 2003. The Committee undertook an extensive review, which involved consideration of all of the Big Four accounting firms as candidates for selection as independent auditor.

Intel, the Intel Logo, Intel Inside, Celeron, Intel Centrino, Intel NetBurst, Intel Xeon, Intel XScale, Intel StrataFlash, Itanium and Pentium are trademarks or registered trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries in the United States and other countries. *Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits

- 3.2 Intel Corporation Bylaws as amended.
- 12.1 Statement setting forth the computation of ratios of earnings to fixed charges.
- 99.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial and Principal Accounting Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

- 1. On April 15, 2003, Intel furnished a report on Form 8-K relating to its financial information for the quarter ended March 28, 2002 and forward-looking statements relating to 2003 and the second quarter of 2003, as presented in a press release of April 15, 2003.
- 2. On June 5, 2003, Intel furnished a report on Form 8-K relating to an announcement regarding an update to forward-looking statements relating to 2003 and the second quarter of 2003, as presented in a press release of June 5, 2003.

42

Signatures and Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of the Company.

The following pages include the Signatures page for this Form 10-Q, and certain certifications of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) of the company.

The certifications include references to an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's "disclosure controls and procedures" and its "internal control over financial reporting." Item 4 of Part I of this Quarterly Report presents the conclusions of the CEO and the CFO about the effectiveness of such controls based on and as of the date of such evaluation (relating to Item 4 of the certification), and contains additional information concerning disclosures to the company's Audit Committee and independent auditors with regard to deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting and fraud and related matters (Items 5 and 6 of the certifications).

43

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

INTEL CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Date: August 5, 2003

By: /s/ Andy D. Bryant
Andy D. Bryant
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and
Principal Accounting Officer

44

CERTIFICATION

I, Craig R. Barrett, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Intel Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects, the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
 - c) Presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a) All significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report

financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: August 5, 2003

By: /s/ Craig R. Barrett
Craig R. Barrett
Chief Executive Officer

45

CERTIFICATION

I, Andy D. Bryant, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Intel Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present, in all material respects, the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;

b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and

c) Presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) All significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: August 5, 2003

By: /s/ Andy D. Bryant
Andy D. Bryant
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and
Principal Accounting Officer

46

INTEL CORPORATION

BYLAWS

ARTICLE I

Offices

Section 1. Registered Office. The registered office of the corporation in the State of Delaware shall be in the City of Wilmington, County of New Castle.

Section 2. Other Offices. The corporation shall also have and maintain an office or principal place of business at 2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, County of Santa Clara, State of California, and may also have offices at such other places, both within and without the State of Delaware, as the Board of Directors may from time to time determine or the business of the corporation may require.

ARTICLE II

Stockholders' MeetingsSection 1. Place of Meetings.

(a) Meetings of the stockholders of the corporation shall be held at such place, either within or without the State of Delaware, as may be designated from time to time by the Board of Directors, or, if not so designated, then at the office of the corporation required to be maintained pursuant to Section 2 of Article I hereof.

(b) The Board of Directors may, in its sole discretion, determine that the meeting shall not be held at any place, but may instead be held solely by means of remote communication in accordance with Section 211(a)(2) of the Delaware General Corporation Law. If authorized by the Board of Directors in its sole discretion, and subject to such guidelines and procedures as the Board of Directors may adopt, stockholders and proxyholders not physically present at a meeting of stockholders may, by means of remote communication (a) participate in a meeting of stockholders; and (b) be deemed present in person and vote at a meeting of stockholders whether such meeting is to be held at a designated place or solely by means of remote communication, provided that (i) the corporation shall implement reasonable measures to verify that each person deemed present and permitted to vote at the meeting by means of remote communication is a stockholder or proxyholder; (ii) the corporation shall implement reasonable measures to provide such stockholders and proxyholders a reasonable opportunity to participate in the meeting and to vote on matters submitted to

1

the stockholders, including an opportunity to read or hear the proceedings of the meeting substantially concurrently with such proceedings; and (iii) if any stockholder or proxyholder votes or takes other action at the meeting by means of remote communication, a record of such vote or other action shall be maintained by the corporation.

Section 2. Annual Meetings. The annual meetings of the stockholders of the corporation for the purpose of election of directors and for such other business as may lawfully come before it, shall be held on such date and at such time as may be designated from time to time by the Board of Directors, but in no event more than fifteen (15) months after the date of the preceding annual meeting.

Section 3. Special Meetings. Special meetings of the stockholders of the corporation may be called, for any purpose or purposes, by the Chairman of the Board or the President or the Board of Directors at any time.

Section 4. Notice of Meetings.

(a) Except as otherwise provided by law or the Certificate of Incorporation, written notice (as the term "written" is defined in Article XII hereof) of each meeting of stockholders, specifying the place, if any, date and hour of the meeting; the means of remote communications, if any, by which stockholders and proxyholders may be deemed to be present in person and vote at such meeting; and purpose or purposes of the meeting, shall be given not less than ten nor more than sixty days before the date of the meeting to each stockholder entitled to vote thereat, directed to the stockholder in accordance with the procedures set forth in Article X hereof. Notice shall be deemed to have been given to all stockholders of record who share an address if notice is given in accordance with the "householding" rules set forth in Rule 14a-3(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Exchange Act").

(b) If at any meeting action is proposed to be taken which, if taken, would entitle stockholders fulfilling the requirements of Section 262(d) of the Delaware General Corporation Law to an appraisal of the fair value of their shares, the notice of such meeting shall contain a statement of that purpose and to that effect and shall be accompanied by a copy of that statutory section.

(c) When a meeting is adjourned to another time or place, notice need not be given of the adjourned meeting if the time and place, if any, thereof, and the means of remote communications, if any, by which stockholders and proxyholders may be deemed to be present in person and vote at such meeting, are announced at the meeting at which the adjournment is taken unless the adjournment is for more than thirty days, or unless after the adjournment a new record date is fixed for the adjourned meeting, in which event a notice of the adjourned meeting shall be given to each stockholder of record entitled to vote at the meeting.

2

(d) Notice of the time, place and purpose of any meeting of stockholders may be waived in writing, either before or after such meeting, and to the extent permitted by law, will be waived by any stockholder by his attendance thereat, in person or by proxy. Any stockholder so waiving notice of such meeting shall be bound by the proceedings of any such meeting in all respects as if due notice thereof had been given.

(e) Unless and until voted, every proxy shall be revocable at the pleasure of the person who executed it or of his legal representatives or assigns, except in those cases where an irrevocable proxy permitted by statute has been given.

Section 5. Quorum and Voting.

(a) At all meetings of stockholders, except where otherwise provided by law, the Certificate of Incorporation, or these Bylaws, the presence, in person or by proxy duly authorized, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of stock entitled to vote shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. Shares, the voting of which at said meeting have been enjoined, or which for any reason cannot be lawfully voted at such meeting, shall not be counted to determine a quorum at said meeting. In the absence of a quorum, any meeting of stockholders may be adjourned, from time to time, by vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented thereat, but no other business shall be transacted at such meeting. At such adjourned meeting at which a quorum is present or represented, any business may be transacted which might have been transacted at the original meeting. The stockholders present at a duly called or convened meeting, at which a quorum is present, may continue to transact business until adjournment, notwithstanding the withdrawal of enough stockholders to leave less than a quorum.

(b) Except as otherwise provided by law, the Certificate of Incorporation or these Bylaws, all action taken by the holders of a majority of the voting power represented at any meeting at which a quorum is present shall be valid and binding upon the corporation.

Section 6. Voting Rights.

(a) Except as otherwise provided by law, only persons in whose names shares entitled to vote stand on the stock records of the corporation on the record date for determining the stockholders entitled to vote at said meeting shall be entitled to vote at such meeting. Shares standing in the names of two or more persons shall be voted or represented in accordance with the determination of the majority of such persons, or, if only one of such persons is present in person or represented by proxy, such person shall have the right to vote such shares and such shares shall be deemed to be represented for the purpose of determining a quorum.

(b) Every person entitled to vote or execute consents shall have the right to do so either in person or by an agent or agents authorized by a written proxy executed by such person or his duly authorized agent, which proxy shall be filed with

3

the Secretary of the corporation at or before the meeting at which it is to be used. Said proxy so appointed need not be a stockholder. No proxy shall be voted on after three years from its date unless the proxy provides for a longer period.

Section 7. List of Stockholders. The officer who has charge of the stock ledger of the corporation shall prepare and make, at least ten days before every meeting of stockholders, a complete list of the stockholders entitled to vote at said meeting, arranged in alphabetical order, showing the address of and the number of shares registered in the name of each stockholder. Nothing contained in Section 219 of the Delaware General Corporation Law shall require the corporation to include electronic mail addresses or other electronic contact information on such list. Such list shall be open to the examination of any stockholder, for any purpose germane to the meeting, for a period of at least ten days prior to the meeting, either (a) on a reasonably accessible electronic network, provided that the information required to gain access to such list is provided with the notice of the meeting, or (b) during ordinary business hours, at the principal place of business of the corporation. In the event that the corporation determines to make the list available on an electronic network, the corporation may take reasonable steps to ensure that such information is available only to stockholders of the corporation. If the meeting is to be held at a place, the list shall be produced and kept at the time and place of the meeting during the whole time thereof and may be inspected by any stockholder who is present. If the meeting is to be held solely by means of remote communication, the list shall also be open to the examination of any stockholder during the whole time of the meeting on a reasonably accessible electronic network, and the information required to access such list shall be provided with the notice of the meeting.

Section 8. Action Without Meeting.

(a) Unless otherwise provided in the Certificate of Incorporation, any action required by statute to be taken at any annual or special meeting of stockholders of the corporation, or any action which may be taken at any annual or special meeting of such stockholders, may be taken without a meeting, without prior notice and without a vote, if a consent or consents in writing, setting forth the action so taken, are signed by the holders of outstanding stock having not less than the minimum number of votes that would be necessary to authorize or take such action at a meeting at which all shares entitled to vote thereon were present and voted. To be effective, a written consent must be delivered to the corporation by delivery to its registered office in Delaware, its principal place of business, or an officer or agent of the corporation having custody of the book in which proceedings of meetings of stockholders are recorded. Delivery made to the corporation's registered office shall be by hand or by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested. Every written consent shall bear the date of signature of each stockholder who signs the consent and no written consent shall be effective to take the corporate action referred to therein unless, within sixty days of the earliest dated consent delivered in the manner required by this section to the corporation, written consents signed by a sufficient number of holders to take action are delivered to the corporation in accordance with this section.

4

(b) A telegram, cablegram or other electronic transmission consenting to an action to be taken and transmitted by a stockholder or proxyholder, or by a person or persons authorized to act for a stockholder or proxyholder, shall be deemed to be written, signed and dated for the purposes of this section, provided that any such telegram, cablegram or other electronic transmission sets forth or is delivered with information from which the corporation can determine (a) that the telegram, cablegram or other electronic transmission was transmitted by the stockholder or proxyholder or by a person or persons authorized to act for the stockholder or proxyholder, and (b) the date on which such stockholder or proxyholder or authorized person or persons transmitted such telegram, cablegram or electronic transmission. The date on which such telegram, cablegram or electronic transmission is transmitted shall be deemed to be the date on which such consent was signed. Except to the extent and in the manner authorized by the Board of Directors, no consent given by telegram, cablegram or other electronic transmission shall be deemed to have been delivered until such consent is reproduced in paper form and until such paper form shall be delivered to the corporation by delivery to its registered office in Delaware, its principal place of business or an officer or agent of the corporation having custody of the book in which proceedings of meetings of stockholders are recorded. Delivery made to the corporation's registered office shall be made by hand or by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested.

(c) Any copy, facsimile or other reliable reproduction of a consent in writing may be substituted or used in lieu of the original writing for any and all purposes for which the original writing could be used, provided that such copy, facsimile or other reproduction shall be a complete reproduction of the entire original writing.

(d) Prompt notice of the taking of the corporate action without a meeting by less than unanimous written consent shall be given to those stockholders who have not consented in writing and who, if the action had been taken at a meeting, would have been entitled to notice of the meeting if the record date of such meeting had been the date that written consents signed by a sufficient number of stockholders to take the action were delivered to the corporation in the manner required by this section.

Section 9. Nominations and Stockholder Business.

(a) Nominations of persons for election to the Board of Directors of the corporation and the proposal of business to be considered by the stockholders may be made at an annual meeting of stockholders (a) pursuant to the corporation's notice of meeting, (b) by or at the direction of the Board of Directors, or (c) by any stockholder of the corporation who is a stockholder of record at the time of giving of notice provided for in this Section 9, who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who complied with the notice procedures set forth in this Section 9.

(b) For nominations or other business to be properly brought before an annual meeting by a stockholder pursuant to this Section 9, the stockholder must have given timely notice thereof in writing to the Secretary of the corporation, and such business must be a proper subject for stockholder action under the Delaware General

5

Corporation Law. To be timely, a stockholder's notice shall be delivered to the Secretary at the principal executive offices of the corporation (if delivered by electronic mail or facsimile, the stockholder's notice shall be directed to the Secretary at the electronic mail address or facsimile number, as the case may be, specified in the company's most recent proxy statement) not less than 45 days nor more than 120 days prior to the date on which the corporation first mailed its proxy materials for the prior year's annual meeting of stockholders; provided, however, that in the event that the date of the annual meeting is advanced by more than 30 days or delayed (other than as a result of

adjournment) by more than 30 days from the anniversary of the previous year's annual meeting, notice by the stockholder to be timely must be delivered not later than the close of business on the later of the 60th day prior to such annual meeting or the 10th day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made. Such stockholder's notice shall set forth (a) as to each person whom the stockholder proposes to nominate for election or reelection as a director all information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors, or is otherwise required, in each case pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act (including such person's written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a director if elected); (b) as to any other business that the stockholder proposes to bring before the meeting, a brief description of the business desired to be brought before the meeting, the reasons for conducting such business at the meeting and any material interest in such business of such stockholder and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the proposal is made; and (c) as to the stockholder giving the notice and the beneficial owners if any on whose behalf the nomination or proposal is made (i) the name and address of such stockholder, as they appear on the corporation's books, and of such beneficial owner, and (ii) the class and number of shares of the corporation which are owned beneficially and of record by such stockholder and such beneficial owner.

(c) Notwithstanding anything in this Section 9 to the contrary, in the event that the number of directors to be elected to the Board of Directors of the corporation is increased and there is no public announcement specifying the size of the increased Board of Directors made by the corporation at least 70 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting, a stockholder's notice required by this Section 9 shall also be considered timely, but only with respect to nominees for any new positions created by such increase, if it shall be delivered to the Secretary at the principal executive offices of the corporation not later than the close of business on the 10th day following the day on which such public announcement is first made by the corporation.

(d) Only such business shall be conducted at a special meeting of stockholders as shall have been brought before the meeting pursuant to the corporation's notice of meeting. Nominations of persons for election to the Board of Directors may be made at a special meeting of stockholders at which directors are to be elected pursuant to the corporation's notice of meeting (a) by or at the direction of the Board of Directors or (b) by any stockholder of the corporation who is a stockholder of record at the time of giving of notice provided for in this section, who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who complies with the notice procedures set forth in this section.

6

Nominations by stockholders of persons for election to the Board of Directors may be made at such a special meeting of stockholders if the stockholder's notice required by this section shall be delivered to the Secretary at the principal executive offices of the corporation (if delivered by electronic mail or facsimile, the stockholder's notice shall be directed to the Secretary at the electronic mail address or facsimile number, as the case may be, specified in the company's most recent proxy statement) not earlier than the 120th day prior to such special meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 60th day prior to such special meeting or the 10th day following the day on which public announcement is first made of the date of the special meeting and of the nominees proposed by the Board of Directors to be elected at such meeting.

(e) Only those persons who are nominated in accordance with the procedures set forth in this section shall be eligible for election as directors at any meeting of stockholders. Only such business shall be conducted at a meeting of stockholders as shall have been brought before the meeting in accordance with the procedures set forth in this section. The chairman of the meeting shall have the power and duty to determine whether a nomination or any business proposed to be brought before the meeting was made in accordance with the procedures set forth in this section and, if any proposed nomination or business is not in compliance with this section, to declare that such defective proposal shall be disregarded.

(f) For purposes of this section, "public announcement" shall mean disclosure in a press release reported by the Dow Jones News Service, Associated Press or comparable national news service or in a document publicly filed by the corporation with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 9, 13, 14 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

(g) Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 9, a stockholder shall also comply with all applicable requirements of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations thereunder with respect to the matters set forth in this Section 9. Nothing in this Section 9 shall be deemed to affect any rights of stockholders to request inclusion of proposals in the corporation's proxy statement pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act.

ARTICLE III

Directors

Section 1. Number and Term of Office. The number of directors which shall constitute the whole of the Board of Directors shall be twelve (12). With the exception of the first Board of Directors, which shall be elected by the incorporator, and except as provided in Section 3 of this Article III, the directors shall be elected by a plurality vote of the shares represented in person or by proxy, at the stockholders annual meeting in each year and entitled to vote on the election of directors. Elected directors shall hold office until the next annual meeting and until their successors shall be duly elected and qualified. Directors need not be stockholders. If, for any cause, the Board of Directors shall not have been elected at an annual meeting, they may be

7

elected as soon thereafter as convenient at a special meeting of the stockholders called for that purpose in the manner provided in these Bylaws.

Section 2. Powers. The powers of the corporation shall be exercised, its business conducted and its property controlled by or under the direction of the Board of Directors.

Section 3. Vacancies. Vacancies and newly created directorships resulting from any increase in the authorized number of directors may be filled by a majority of the directors then in office, although less than a quorum, or by a sole remaining director, and each director so elected shall hold office for the unexpired portion of the term of the director whose place shall be vacant, and until his successor shall have been duly elected and qualified. A vacancy in the Board of Directors shall be deemed to exist under this section in the case of the death, removal or resignation of any director, or if the stockholders fail at any meeting of stockholders at which directors are to be elected (including any meeting referred to in Section 4 below) to elect the number of directors then constituting the whole Board.

Section 4. Resignations and Removals.

(a) Any director may resign at any time by delivering his written resignation to the Secretary, such resignation to specify whether it will be effective at a particular time, upon receipt by the Secretary or at the pleasure of the Board of Directors. If no such specification is made, it shall be deemed effective at the pleasure of the Board of Directors. When one or more directors shall resign from the Board, effective at a future date, a majority of the directors then in office, including those who have so resigned, shall have power to fill such vacancy or vacancies, the vote thereon to take effect when such resignation or resignations shall become effective, and each director so chosen shall hold office for the unexpired portion of the term of the director whose place shall be vacated and until his successor shall have been duly elected and qualified.

(b) Except as provided in Section 141 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, at a special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose in the manner hereinabove provided, the Board of Directors, or any individual director, may be removed from office, with or without cause, and a new director or directors elected by a vote of stockholders holding a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote at an election of directors.

Section 5. Meetings.

(a) The annual meeting of the Board of Directors shall be held immediately after the annual stockholders' meeting and at the place where such meeting is held or at the place announced by the Chairman at such meeting. No notice of an annual meeting of the Board of Directors shall be necessary and such meeting shall be held for the purpose of electing officers and transacting such other business as may lawfully come before it.

8

(b) Except as hereinafter otherwise provided, regular meetings of the Board of Directors shall be held in the office of the corporation required to be maintained pursuant to Section 2 of Article I hereof. Regular meetings of the Board of Directors may also be held at any place within or without the State of Delaware which has been designated by resolutions of the Board of Directors or the written consent of all directors. Notice of regular meetings of the directors is hereby dispensed with and no notice whatever of any such meetings need be given.

(c) Special meetings of the Board of Directors may be held at any time and place within or without the State of Delaware whenever called by the Chairman of the Board, the President or by any two of the directors.

(d) Written notice of the time and place of all special meetings of the Board of Directors shall be delivered to each director at least 24 hours before the start of the meeting, or if sent by first class mail, at least 72 hours before the start of the meeting. Notice of any meeting may be waived in writing at any time before or after the meeting and will be waived by any director by attendance thereat.

Section 6. Quorum and Voting.

(a) A quorum of the Board of Directors shall consist of a majority of the exact number of directors fixed from time to time in accordance with Section 1 of Article III of these Bylaws, but not less than one; provided, however, at any meeting whether a quorum be present or otherwise, a majority of the directors present may adjourn from time to time until the time fixed for the next regular meeting of the Board of Directors, without notice other than by announcement at the meeting.

(b) At each meeting of the Board at which a quorum is present, all questions and business shall be determined by a vote of a majority of the directors present, unless a different vote be required by law, the Certificate of Incorporation, or these Bylaws.

(c) Any member of the Board of Directors, or of any committee thereof, may participate in a meeting by means of conference telephone or other communication equipment by means of which all persons participating in the meeting can hear each other, and participation in a meeting by such means shall constitute presence in person at such meeting.

(d) The transactions of any meeting of the Board of Directors, or any committee thereof, however called or noticed, or wherever held, shall be as valid as though had at a meeting duly held after regular call and notice, if a quorum be present and if, either before or after the meeting, each of the directors not present shall deliver to the corporation a written waiver of notice, or a consent to holding such meeting, or an approval of the minutes thereof. All such waivers, consents or approvals shall be filed with the corporate records or made a part of the minutes of the meeting.

Section 7. Action Without Meeting. Unless otherwise restricted by the Certificate of Incorporation or these Bylaws, any action required or permitted to be

9

taken at any meeting of the Board of Directors or of any committee thereof may be taken without a meeting, if all members of the Board or of such committee, as the case may be, consent thereto in writing, and such writing or writings are filed with the minutes of proceedings of the Board or committee. Such filing shall be in paper form if the minutes are maintained in paper form or shall be in electronic form if the minutes are maintained in electronic form.

Section 8. Fees and Compensation. Directors shall not receive any stated salary for their services as directors but by resolution of the Board, a fixed fee, with or without expense of attendance, may be allowed for attendance at each meeting and at each meeting of any committee of the Board of Directors. Nothing herein contained shall be construed to preclude any director from serving the corporation in any other capacity as an officer, agent, employee, or otherwise, and receiving compensation therefor.

Section 9. Committees.

(a) Executive Committee: The Board of Directors may appoint an Executive Committee of not less than one member, each of whom shall be a director. The Executive Committee, to the extent permitted by Delaware law, these Bylaws, the Executive Committee Charter or other resolutions of the Board of Directors, shall have and may exercise when the Board of Directors is not in session all powers of the Board of Directors in the management of the business and affairs of the corporation, including, without limitation, the power and authority to declare a dividend or to authorize the issuance of stock, except such committee shall not have the power or authority to (a) approve or adopt, or recommend to the corporation's stockholders, any action or matter expressly required by the Delaware General Corporation Law to be submitted to stockholders for approval, or (b) adopt, amend or repeal any bylaw of the corporation.

(b) Other Committees: The Board of Directors may appoint such other committees as may be permitted by law. Such other committees appointed by the Board of Directors shall have such powers and perform such duties as may be prescribed by the resolution or resolutions creating such committee, but in no event shall any such committee have the powers denied to the Executive Committee in these Bylaws.

(c) Term: The members of all committees of the Board of Directors shall serve a term coexistent with that of the Board of Directors which shall have appointed such committee. The Board, subject to the provisions of subsections (a) or (b) of this Section 9, may at any time increase or decrease the number of members of a committee or terminate the existence of a committee; provided, that no committee shall consist of less than one member. The membership of a committee member shall terminate on the date of his death or voluntary resignation, but the Board may at any time for any reason remove any individual committee member and the Board may fill any committee vacancy created by death, resignation, removal or increase in the number of members of the committee. The Board of Directors may designate one or more directors as alternate members of any committee, who may replace any absent or

10

disqualified member at any meeting of the committee, and, in addition, in the absence or disqualification of any member of a committee, the member or members thereof present at any meeting and not disqualified from voting, whether or not the member or members constitutes a quorum, may unanimously appoint another member of the Board of Directors to act at the meeting in the place of any such absent or disqualified member.

(d) Meetings: Unless the Board of Directors shall otherwise provide, regular meetings of the Executive Committee or any other committee appointed pursuant to this Section 9 shall be held at such times and places as are determined by the Board of Directors, or by any such committee, and when notice thereof has been given to each member of such committee, no further notice of such regular meetings need be given thereafter; special meetings of any such committee may be held at the principal office of the corporation required to be maintained pursuant to Section 2 of Article I hereof, or at any place which has been designated from time to time by

resolution of such committee or by written consent of all members thereof, and may be called by any director who is a member of such committee, upon written notice to the members of such committee of the time and place of such special meeting given in the manner provided for the giving of written notice to members of the Board of Directors of the time and place of special meetings of the Board of Directors. Notice of any special meeting of any committee may be waived in writing at any time before or after the meeting and will be waived by any director by attendance thereat. A majority of the authorized number of members of any such committee shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business, and the act of a majority of those present at any meeting at which a quorum is present shall be the act of such committee.

Section 10. Emeritus Director. The Board of Directors may, from time to time, elect one or more Emeritus Directors, each of whom shall serve, at the pleasure of the Board, until the first meeting of the Board next following the Annual Meeting of Stockholders and for a maximum period of 3 years, subject to an annual review, or until earlier resignation or removal by the Board (except that founders of the company may remain as Emeritus Directors, subject to the annual review, or until earlier resignation or removal by the Board). Emeritus Directors shall serve as advisors and consultants to the Board of Directors and may be appointed by the Board to serve as advisors and consultants to committees of the Board. Emeritus Directors may be invited to attend meetings of the Board or any committee of the Board for which they have been appointed to serve as advisors and consultants and, if present, may participate in the discussions occurring during such meetings. Emeritus Directors shall not be permitted to vote on matters brought before the Board or any committee thereof and shall not be counted for the purpose of determining whether a quorum of the Board or the committee is present. Emeritus Directors shall receive no fee for their services as Emeritus Directors. Emeritus Directors will not be entitled to receive reimbursement for expenses of meeting attendance, except as approved by the Chairman of the Board. Emeritus Directors may be removed at any time by the Board of Directors.

Section 11: Emergency Bylaws. In the event of any emergency, disaster or catastrophe, as referred to in Section 110 of the Delaware General Corporation Law,

11

or other similar emergency condition, as a result of which a quorum of the Board of Directors or a standing committee of the Board cannot readily be convened for action, then the director or directors in attendance at the meeting shall constitute a quorum. Such director or directors in attendance may further take action to appoint one or more of themselves or other directors to membership on any standing or temporary committees of the Board as they shall deem necessary and appropriate.

ARTICLE IV

Officers

Section 1. Officers Designated. The officers of the corporation shall be a Chairman of the Board of Directors who shall be a member of the Board of Directors, a President, one or more Vice Presidents, a Secretary, and a Treasurer. The order of the seniority of the Vice Presidents shall be in the order of their nomination, unless otherwise determined by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors or the Chairman of the Board or the President may also appoint one or more assistant secretaries, assistant treasurers, and such other officers and agents with such powers and duties as it or he or she shall deem necessary. The Board of Directors may assign such additional titles to one or more of the officers as they shall deem appropriate. Any one person may hold any number of offices of the corporation at any one time unless specifically prohibited therefrom by law. The salaries and other compensation of the officers of the corporation shall be fixed by or in the manner designated by the Board of Directors.

Section 2. Tenure and Duties of Officers.

(a) General: All officers shall hold office at the pleasure of the Board of Directors and until their successors shall have been duly elected and qualified, unless sooner removed. Any officer elected or appointed by the Board of Directors may be removed at any time by the Board of Directors. If the office of any officer becomes vacant for any reason, the vacancy may be filled by the Board of Directors. Nothing in these Bylaws shall be construed as creating any kind of contractual right to employment with the corporation.

(b) Duties of the Chairman of the Board of Directors: The Chairman of the Board of Directors shall preside at all meetings of the stockholders and the Board of Directors. The Chairman of the Board of Directors shall perform such other duties and have such other powers as the Board of Directors shall designate from time to time.

(c) Duties of President: The President shall preside at all meetings of the stockholders and at all meetings of the Board of Directors, unless the Chairman of the Board of Directors has been appointed and is present. The President shall perform such other duties and have such other powers as the Board of Directors shall designate from time to time.

12

(d) Duties of Vice Presidents: The Vice Presidents, in the order of their seniority, may assume and perform the duties of the President in the absence or disability of the President or whenever the office of the President is vacant. The Vice President shall perform such other duties and have such other powers as the Board of Directors or the President shall designate from time to time.

(e) Duties of Secretary: The Secretary shall attend all meetings of the stockholders and of the Board of Directors and any committee thereof, and shall record all acts and proceedings thereof in the minute book of the corporation and shall keep the seal of the corporation in safe custody. The Secretary shall give notice, in conformity with these Bylaws, of all meetings of the stockholders, and of all meetings of the Board of Directors and any Committee thereof requiring notice. The Secretary shall perform such other duties and have such other powers as the Board of Directors shall designate from time to time. The President may direct any Assistant Secretary to assume and perform the duties of the Secretary in the absence or disability of the Secretary, and each Assistant Secretary shall perform such other duties and have such other powers as the Board of Directors or the President shall designate from time to time.

(f) Duties of Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer: Each of the Chief Financial Officer and the Treasurer shall control, audit and arrange the financial affairs of the corporation, consistent with the responsibilities delegated to each of them by the corporation's President. The Chief Financial Officer or Treasurer, as the case may be, shall receive and deposit all monies belonging to the corporation and shall pay out the same only in such manner as the Board of Directors may from time to time determine, and shall perform such other further duties as the Board of Directors may require. It shall be the duty of the assistant treasurers to assist the Treasurer in the performance of the Treasurer's duties and generally to perform such other duties as may be delegated to them by the Board of Directors.

ARTICLE V

Execution of Corporate Instruments, and Voting of Securities Owned by the Corporation

Section 1. Execution of Corporate Instruments.

(a) The Board of Directors may, in its discretion, determine the method and designate the signatory officer or officers, or other person or persons, to execute any corporate instrument or document, or to sign the corporate name without limitation, except where otherwise provided by law, and such execution or signature shall be binding upon the corporation.

(b) Unless otherwise specifically determined by the Board of Directors or otherwise required by law, formal contracts of the corporation, promissory notes, deeds of trust, mortgages and other evidences of indebtedness of the corporation, and other corporate instruments or documents requiring the corporate seal, and certificates of

shares of stock owned by the corporation, shall be executed, signed or endorsed by the Chairman of the Board, the President, any Vice President or the Secretary. All other instruments and documents requiring the corporate signature, but not requiring the corporate seal, may be executed as aforesaid or in such other manner as may be directed by the Board of Directors.

(c) All checks and drafts drawn on banks or other depositories on funds to the credit of the corporation, or in special accounts of the corporation, shall be signed by such person or persons as the Board of Directors shall authorize so to do.

Section 2. Voting of Securities Owned by Corporation. All stock and other securities of other corporations owned or held by the corporation for itself, or for other parties in any capacity, shall be voted, and all proxies with respect thereto shall be executed, by the person authorized so to do by resolution of the Board of Directors or, in the absence of such authorization, by the Chairman of the Board (if there be such an officer appointed), or by the President, or by any Vice President.

ARTICLE VI

Shares of Stock

Section 1. Form and Execution of Certificates. Certificates for the shares of stock of the corporation shall be in such form as is consistent with the Certificate of Incorporation and applicable law. Every holder of stock in the corporation shall be entitled to have a certificate signed by, or in the name of the corporation by, the Chairman of the Board (if there be such an officer appointed), or by the President or any Vice President and by the Treasurer or Assistant Treasurer or the Secretary or Assistant Secretary, certifying the number of shares owned by him in the corporation. Any or all of the signatures on the certificate may be a facsimile. In case any officer, transfer agent, or registrar who has signed or whose facsimile signature has been placed upon a certificate shall have ceased to be such officer, transfer agent, or registrar before such certificate is issued, it may be issued with the same effect as if he or she were such officer, transfer agent, or registrar at the date of issue. If the corporation shall be authorized to issue more than one class of stock or more than one series of any class, the powers, designations, preferences and relative, participating, optional or other special rights of each class of stock or series thereof and the qualifications, limitations or restrictions of such preferences and/or rights shall be set forth in full or summarized on the face or back of the certificate which the corporation shall issue to represent such class or series of stock, provided that, except as otherwise provided in Section 202 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, in lieu of the foregoing requirements, there may be set forth on the face or back of the certificate which the corporation shall issue to represent such class or series of stock, a statement that the corporation will furnish without charge to each stockholder who so requests the powers, designations, preferences and relative, participating, optional or other special rights of each class of stock or series thereof and the qualifications, limitations or restrictions of such preferences and/or rights.

Section 2. Lost Certificates. The Board of Directors may direct a new certificate or certificates to be issued in place of any certificate or certificates theretofore issued by the corporation alleged to have been lost or destroyed, upon the making of an affidavit of that fact by the person claiming the certificate of stock to be lost or destroyed. When authorizing such issue of a new certificate or certificates, the Board of Directors may, in its discretion and as a condition precedent to the issuance thereof, require the owner of such lost or destroyed certificate or certificates, or his legal representative, to indemnify the corporation in such manner as it shall require and/or to give the corporation a surety bond in such form and amount as it may direct as indemnity against any claim that may be made against the corporation with respect to the certificate alleged to have been lost or destroyed.

Section 3. Transfers. Transfers of record of shares of stock of the corporation shall be made only upon its books by the holders thereof, in person or by attorney duly authorized, and upon the surrender of a certificate or certificates for a like number of shares, properly endorsed.

Section 4. Fixing Record Dates.

(a) In order that the corporation may determine the stockholders entitled to notice of or to vote at any meeting of stockholders or any adjournment thereof, the Board of Directors may fix a record date, which record date shall not precede the date upon which the resolution fixing the record date is adopted by the Board of Directors, and which record date shall not be more than sixty nor less than ten days before the date of such meeting. If no record date is fixed by the Board of Directors, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to notice of or to vote at a meeting of stockholders shall be at the close of business on the day next preceding the day on which notice is given, or, if notice is waived, at the close of business on the day next preceding the date on which the meeting is held. A determination of stockholders of record entitled to notice of or to vote at a meeting of stockholders shall apply to any adjournment of the meeting; provided, however, that the Board of Directors may fix a new record date for the adjourned meeting.

(b) In order that the corporation may determine the stockholders entitled to consent to corporate action in writing without a meeting, the Board of Directors may fix a record date, which record date shall not precede the date upon which the resolution fixing the record date is adopted by the Board of Directors, and which date shall not be more than ten days after the date upon which the resolution fixing the record date is adopted by the Board of Directors. If no record date has been fixed by the Board of Directors, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to consent to corporate action in writing without a meeting, when no prior action by the Board of Directors is required by the Delaware General Corporation Law, shall be the first date on which a signed written consent setting forth the action taken or proposed to be taken is delivered (a) to the corporation by delivery to its registered office in Delaware, its principal place of business, or an officer or agent of the corporation having custody of the book in which proceedings of meetings of stockholders are recorded, or (b) directly to the corporation, if authorized by the Board of Directors in the case of consents

submitted by electronic transmission. Delivery made to a corporation's registered office shall be by hand or by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested. If no record date has been fixed by the Board of Directors and prior action by the Board of Directors is required by law, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to consent to corporate action in writing without a meeting shall be at the close of business on the day on which the Board of Directors adopts the resolution taking such prior action.

(c) In order that the corporation may determine the stockholders entitled to receive payment of any dividend or other distribution or allotment of any rights or the stockholders entitled to exercise any rights in respect of any change, conversion or exchange of stock, or for the purpose of any other lawful action, the Board of Directors may fix a record date, which record date shall not precede the date upon which the resolution fixing the record date is adopted, and which record date shall be not more than sixty days prior to such action. If no record date is fixed, the record date for determining stockholders for any such purpose shall be at the close of business on the day on which the Board of Directors adopts the resolution relating thereto.

Section 5. Registered Stockholders. The corporation shall be entitled to recognize the exclusive right of a person registered on its books as the owner of

shares to receive dividends, and to vote as such owner, and shall not be bound to recognize any equitable or other claim to or interest in such share or shares on the part of any other person, whether or not it shall have express or other notice thereof, except as otherwise provided by the laws of Delaware.

ARTICLE VII

Other Securities of the Corporation

All bonds, debentures and other corporate securities of the corporation, other than stock certificates, may be signed by the Chairman of the Board or the President or any Vice President or such other person as may be authorized by the Board of Directors and the corporate seal impressed thereon or a facsimile of such seal imprinted thereon and attested by the signature of the Secretary or an Assistant Secretary, or the Treasurer or an Assistant Treasurer; provided, however, that where any such bond, debenture or other corporate security shall be authenticated by the manual signature of a trustee under an indenture pursuant to which such bond, debenture or other corporate security shall be issued, the signature of the persons signing and attesting the corporate seal on such bond, debenture or other corporate security may be the imprinted facsimile of the signatures of such persons. Interest coupons appertaining to any such bond, debenture or other corporate security, authenticated by a trustee as aforesaid, shall be signed by the Treasurer or Assistant Treasurer of the corporation, or such other person as may be authorized by the Board of Directors, or bear imprinted thereon the facsimile signature of such person. In case any officer who shall have signed or attested any bond, debenture or other corporate security, or whose facsimile signature shall appear thereon shall have ceased to be such officer of the corporation before the bond, debenture or other corporate security so signed or attested shall have been delivered, such bond, debenture or other

16

corporate security nevertheless may be adopted by the corporation and issued and delivered as though the person who signed the same or whose facsimile signature shall have been used thereon had not ceased to be such officer of the corporation.

17

ARTICLE VIII

Corporate Seal

The corporation shall have a common seal, upon which shall be inscribed:

“Intel Corporation
Incorporated March 1, 1989
Delaware”

In the event the corporation changes its name, the corporate seal shall be changed to reflect such new name.

ARTICLE IX

Indemnification of Officers, Directors, Employees and Agents

Section 1. Right to Indemnification. Each person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to or is involved (as a party, witness, or otherwise), in any threatened, pending, or completed action, suit, arbitration, alternative dispute mechanism, inquiry, administrative or legislative hearing, investigation or any other actual, threatened or completed proceeding, including any and all appeals, whether civil, criminal, administrative, or investigative (hereinafter a “Proceeding”), by reason of the fact that he or she, or a person of whom he or she is the legal representative, is or was a director, officer, employee, or agent of the corporation (including service with respect to employee benefit plans) or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee, or agent of another corporation or of a partnership, joint venture, trust, or other enterprise, whether the basis of the Proceeding is alleged action in an official capacity as a director, officer, employee, or agent or in any other capacity while serving as a director, officer, employee, or agent (hereafter an “Agent”), shall be indemnified and held harmless by the corporation to the fullest extent authorized by the Delaware General Corporation Law, as the same exists or may hereafter be amended or interpreted (but, in the case of any such amendment or interpretation, only to the extent that such amendment or interpretation permits the corporation to provide broader indemnification rights than were permitted prior thereto) against all expenses, liability, and loss (including attorneys’ fees, judgments, fines, ERISA excise taxes or penalties, and amounts paid or to be paid in settlement, and any interest, assessments, or other charges imposed thereon, and any federal, state, local, or foreign taxes imposed on any Agent as a result of the actual or deemed receipt of any payments under this Article) reasonably incurred or suffered by such person in connection with investigating, defending, being a witness in, or participating in (including on appeal), or preparing for any of the foregoing in, any Proceeding (hereinafter “Expenses”); provided, however, that except as to actions to enforce indemnification rights pursuant to Section 3 of this Article, the corporation shall indemnify any Agent seeking indemnification in connection with a Proceeding (or part thereof) initiated by such person only if the Proceeding (or

18

part thereof) was authorized by the Board of Directors of the corporation. The right to indemnification conferred in this Article shall be a contract right.

Section 2. Authority to Advance Expenses. Expenses incurred by an officer or director (acting in his capacity as such) in defending a Proceeding shall be paid by the corporation in advance of the final disposition of such Proceeding, provided, however, that if required by the Delaware General Corporation Law, as amended, such Expenses shall be advanced only upon delivery to the corporation of an undertaking by or on behalf of such director or officer to repay such amount if it shall ultimately be determined that he or she is not entitled to be indemnified by the corporation as authorized in this Article or otherwise. Expenses incurred by other Agents of the corporation (or by the directors or officers not acting in their capacity as such, including service with respect to employee benefit plans) may be advanced upon such terms and conditions as the Board of Directors deems appropriate. Any obligation to reimburse the corporation for Expense advances shall be unsecured and no interest shall be charged thereon.

Section 3. Right of Claimant to Bring Suit. If a claim under Section 1 or 2 of this Article is not paid in full by the corporation within thirty (30) days after a written claim has been received by the corporation, the claimant may at any time thereafter bring suit, in a court of competent jurisdiction in the state of Delaware, against the corporation to recover the unpaid amount of the claim and, if successful in whole or in part, the claimant shall be entitled to be paid also the expense (including attorneys’ fees) of prosecuting such claim. It shall be a defense to any such action (other than an action brought to enforce a claim for expenses incurred in defending a Proceeding in advance of its final disposition where the required undertaking has been tendered to the corporation) that the claimant has not met the standards of conduct that make it permissible under the Delaware General Corporation Law for the corporation to indemnify the claimant for the amount claimed. The burden of proving such a defense shall be on the corporation. Neither the failure of the corporation (including its Board of Directors, independent legal counsel, or its stockholders) to have made a determination prior to the commencement of such action that indemnification of the claimant is proper under the circumstances because he or she has met the applicable standard of conduct set forth in the Delaware General Corporation Law, nor an actual determination by the corporation (including its Board of Directors, independent legal counsel, or its

stockholders) that the claimant had not met such applicable standard of conduct, shall be a defense to the action or create a presumption that the claimant has not met the applicable standard of conduct.

Section 4. Provisions Nonexclusive. The rights conferred on any person by this Article shall not be exclusive of any other rights that such person may have or hereafter acquire under any statute, provision of the Certificate of Incorporation, agreement, vote of stockholders or disinterested directors, or otherwise, both as to action in an official capacity and as to action in another capacity while holding such office. To the extent that any provision of the Certificate, agreement, or vote of the stockholders or disinterested directors is inconsistent with these Bylaws, the provision, agreement, or vote shall take precedence.

19

Section 5. Authority to Insure. The corporation may purchase and maintain insurance to protect itself and any Agent against any Expense, whether or not the corporation would have the power to indemnify the Agent against such Expense under applicable law or the provisions of this Article.

Section 6. Survival of Rights. The rights provided by this Article shall continue as to a person who has ceased to be an Agent and shall inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors, and administrators of such a person.

Section 7. Settlement of Claims. The corporation shall not be liable to indemnify any Agent under this Article (a) for any amounts paid in settlement of any action or claim effected without the corporation's written consent, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld; or (b) for any judicial award if the corporation was not given a reasonable and timely opportunity, at its expense, to participate in the defense of such action.

Section 8. Effect of Amendment. Any amendment, repeal, or modification of this Article shall not adversely affect any right or protection of any Agent existing at the time of such amendment, repeal, or modification.

Section 9. Subrogation. In the event of payment under this Article, the corporation shall be subrogated to the extent of such payment to all of the rights of recovery of the Agent, who shall execute all papers required and shall do everything that may be necessary to secure such rights, including the execution of such documents necessary to enable the corporation effectively to bring suit to enforce such rights.

Section 10. No Duplication of Payments. The corporation shall not be liable under this Article to make any payment in connection with any claim made against the Agent to the extent the Agent has otherwise actually received payment (under any insurance policy, agreement, vote, or otherwise) of the amounts otherwise indemnifiable hereunder.

ARTICLE X

Notices

(a) Whenever, under any provisions of these Bylaws, notice is required to be given to any stockholder, the same shall be given in writing, either (a) timely and duly deposited in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, and addressed to the stockholder's last known post office address as shown by the stock record of the corporation or its transfer agent or (b) by a form of electronic transmission consented to by the stockholder to whom the notice is given, except to the extent prohibited by Section 232(e) of the Delaware General Corporation Law. Any consent to receive notice by electronic transmission shall be revocable by the stockholder by written notice to the corporation. Any such consent shall be deemed revoked if (i) the corporation is unable to deliver by electronic transmission two consecutive notices given by the

20

corporation in accordance with such consent and (ii) such inability becomes known to the Secretary or an Assistant Secretary of the corporation or to the transfer agent, or other person responsible for the giving of notice; provided, however, the inadvertent failure to treat such inability as a revocation shall not invalidate any meeting or other action.

(b) Any notice required to be given to any director may be given by the method hereinabove stated. Any such notice, other than one which is delivered personally, shall be sent to such post office address, facsimile number or electronic mail address as such director shall have filed in writing with the Secretary of the corporation, or, in the absence of such filing, to the last known post office address of such director. It shall not be necessary that the same method of giving notice be employed in respect of all directors, but one permissible method may be employed in respect of any one or more, and any other permissible method or methods may be employed in respect of any other or others.

(c) If no post office address of a stockholder or director be known, such notice may be sent to the office of the corporation required to be maintained pursuant to Section 2 of Article I hereof. An affidavit executed by a duly authorized and competent employee of the corporation or the transfer agent or other agent of the corporation appointed with respect to the class of stock affected, specifying the name and post office address or the names and post office addresses of the stockholder or stockholders, director or directors, to whom any such notice or notices was or were given, and the time and method of giving the same (or, for any stockholder or director to whom notice has been directed by electronic transmission, the form of electronic transmission and the facsimile number, electronic mail address or other location to which such notice was directed and the time at which such notice was directed to each such director or stockholder), shall be prima facie evidence of the statements therein contained.

(d) All notices given by mail, as above provided, shall be deemed to have been given as at the time of mailing. All notices given to stockholders by a form of electronic transmission, as above provided, shall be deemed to have been given: (a) if by facsimile, when directed to a number at which the stockholder has consented to receive notice; (b) if by electronic mail, when directed to an electronic mail address at which the stockholder has consented to receive notice; (c) if by a posting on an electronic network together with separate notice to the stockholder of such specific posting, upon the later of (i) such posting and (ii) the giving of such separate notice; and (d) if by any other form of electronic transmission, when directed to the stockholder. All notices given to directors by a form of electronic transmission, as above provided, shall be deemed to have been given when directed to the electronic mail address, facsimile number, or other location filed in writing by the director with the Secretary of the corporation.

(e) The period or limitation of time within which any stockholder may exercise any option or right, or enjoy any privilege or benefit, or be required to act, or within which any director may exercise any power or right, or enjoy any privilege,

21

pursuant to any notice sent him in the manner above provided, shall not be affected or extended in any manner by the failure of such a stockholder or such director to receive such notice.

(f) Whenever any notice is required to be given under the provisions of the statutes or of the Certificate of Incorporation, or of these Bylaws, a waiver thereof in writing given by the person or persons entitled to said notice, whether before or after the time stated therein, shall be deemed equivalent thereto.

(g) Whenever notice is required to be given, under any provision of law or of the Certificate of Incorporation or Bylaws of the corporation, to any person with whom communication is unlawful, the giving of such notice to such person shall not be required and there shall be no duty to apply to any governmental authority or agency for a license or permit to give such notice to such person. Any action or meeting which shall be taken or held without notice to any such person with whom communication is unlawful shall have the same force and effect as if such notice had been duly given. In the event that the action taken by the corporation is such as to require the filing of a certificate under any provision of the Delaware General Corporation Law, the certificate shall state, if such is the fact and if notice is required, that notice was given to all persons entitled to receive notice except such persons with whom communication is unlawful.

(h) Whenever notice is to be given to the corporation by a stockholder under any provision of law or of the Certificate of Incorporation or Bylaws of the corporation, such notice shall be delivered to the Secretary at the principal executive offices of the corporation. If delivered by electronic mail or facsimile, the stockholder's notice shall be directed to the Secretary at the electronic mail address or facsimile number, as the case may be, specified in the company's most recent proxy statement.

ARTICLE XI

Amendments

Unless otherwise provided in the Certificate of Incorporation, these Bylaws may be repealed, altered or amended or new Bylaws adopted by written consent of the stockholders in the manner authorized by Section 8 of Article II, or at any meeting of the stockholders, either annual or special, by the affirmative vote of a majority of the stock entitled to vote at such meeting. The Board of Directors shall also have the authority to repeal, alter or amend these Bylaws or adopt new Bylaws (including, without limitation, the amendment of any Bylaws setting forth the number of directors who shall constitute the whole Board of Directors) by unanimous written consent or at any annual, regular, or special meeting by the affirmative vote of a majority of the whole number of directors, subject to the power of the stockholders to change or repeal such Bylaws and provided that the Board of Directors shall not make or alter any Bylaws fixing the qualifications, classifications, term of office or compensation of directors.

22

ARTICLE XII

Electronic Transmission

When used in these Bylaws, the terms "written" and "in writing" shall include any "electronic transmission," as defined in Section 232(c) of the Delaware General Corporation Law, including without limitation any telegram, cablegram, facsimile transmission and communication by electronic mail.

23

INTEL CORPORATION
STATEMENT SETTING FORTH THE COMPUTATION
OF RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES

(in millions)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 28, 2003	June 29, 2002
Income before taxes	\$ 2,587	\$ 1,940
Add fixed charges net of capitalized interest	54	78
Income before taxes and fixed charges (net of capitalized interest)	<u>\$ 2,641</u>	<u>\$ 2,018</u>
Fixed charges:		
Interest	\$ 32	\$ 49
Capitalized interest	—	1
Estimated interest component of rental expense	22	29
Total	<u>\$ 54</u>	<u>\$ 79</u>
Ratio of earnings before taxes and fixed charges, to fixed charges	49	26

CERTIFICATION

Each of the undersigned hereby certifies, for the purposes of section 1350 of chapter 63 of title 18 of the United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, in his capacity as an officer of Intel Corporation ("Intel"), that, to his knowledge, the Quarterly Report of Intel on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 28, 2003, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operation of Intel. This written statement is being furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to such Form 10-Q. A signed original of this statement has been provided to Intel and will be retained by Intel and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Dated: August 5, 2003

By: /s/ Craig R. Barrett
Craig R. Barrett
Chief Executive Officer

Dated: August 5, 2003

By: /s/ Andy D. Bryant
Andy D. Bryant
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and
Principal Accounting Officer
